

**From:** Charles Valentine [mailto:Charles.Valentine@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 25, 2009 11:01 AM  
**To:** EBSA, E-OHPSCA - EBSA  
**Subject:** Comments on Parity in Mental Health Legislation

Please accept my letter, attached below, commenting on upcoming Parity legislation regarding EEG Biofeedback.

Thank You,  
Dr. Charles A. Valentine

Re: Comments regarding Regulatory Guidance USCG-2007-27022  
page 19157, II B specific areas 1 and 4

Greetings:

I am a clinical psychologist in private practice who provides EEG biofeedback treatment to individuals with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Mood Disorders. EEG biofeedback is an empirically validated and widely recognized effective non medication treatment for ADHD, as well as other conditions. There are over 50 studies evaluating the effectiveness of EEG biofeedback in the treatment of ADHD, Substance Use disorders and Autism. A recent review of this literature concluded "EEG biofeedback meets the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry criteria for" Clinical Guidelines "for treatment of ADHD." This means that EEG biofeedback meets the same criteria as to medication for treating ADHD, and that EEG biofeedback "should always be considered as an intervention for this disorder by the clinician." From my own experience, and that of colleagues, we have discovered that; Georgia Medicaid, Aetna, United Behavioral Health, Blue Cross, Cigna, and Amerigroup have denied this service.

This is limitation of an effective and validated treatment for a mental health problem. The reasons given by the insurance companies for this denial fell into two categories: 1) our company does not cover biofeedback for Mental Health problems or 2) there is not yet sufficient evidence for the efficacy of EEG biofeedback. As such, they are using evidence-based criteria that are far more restrictive for mental health services than the criteria that are used for medical/surgical services. There are many routine medical and surgical procedures that have far fewer controlled studies about their efficacy than does EEG biofeedback. These medical and surgical procedures are generally not limited because of concerns about how many controlled studies have been performed about them.

We believe that the parity regulations, based on legal reviews of the parity statute should require that employers and plans pay for the same range and scope of services for Behavioral Treatments as they do for Med Surg benefits and that a plan cannot be more restrictive in their managed care criteria and reviews for MH and SA disorders when compared to Med Surg. Today plans are being more restrictive in how they review evidenced based Mental Health and Substance Abuse Treatments when compared to Med Surg treatments. This violates both the intent and letter of the parity statute and we hope that the regulations will clarify that this can't continue.

Respectfully yours,

Charles A. Valentine, Psy.D.  
Clinical Psychologist

Valentine & Associates  
34 Eliot Memorial Rd.  
Newton, MA 02458