

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> October 04, 2011
<b>Received:</b> September 28, 2011
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 80f40ab0
<b>Comments Due:</b> September 30, 2011
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0018

Interim Final Rules for Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0018-0002

Group Health Plans and Health Insurance Issuers Relating to Coverage of Preventive Services under Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act: Amendment

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0018-DRAFT-0419

Comment on FR Doc # 2011-19684

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** ROBERT fately

**Address:**

7023 cantaloupe ave  
Van Nuys, CA, 91405

**Email:** bob@fately.us

**Phone:** 818-989-6120

**Organization:** Fately Fotography

---

## General Comment

This sounds like an excellent proposal and I fear to think that the religious zealots are attempting to impose their parochial and unrealistic views to deny its coverage of contraceptive measures. After all, the religions they use to back their theses are thousands of years old, from a day when there were relatively few humans on the planet. The last thing we need is more population; a viewing of "Maury" or "The Jerry Springer Show" will show hard evidence of what happens when those who cannot handle offspring are laden with them anyway - sometimes to the tune of multiple children (who are then, of course, carried on the taxpayer's dime).