

From: [Robyn Anderson](#)
To: [E-OHPSCA2713.EBSA](#)
Date: Friday, September 30, 2011 4:24:55 PM

I don't think that the morning after pill and ella are "preventative care". They are forms of abortion. They are terminating a pregnancy that has already occurred, and NOT preventing one. Those two drugs should not be covered by the "preventative plan".

Furthermore, the definition of a religious employer is very narrow. The language in this law violates the religious freedoms of individuals who may also be employers, but are not a religious business.

Also, what about the religious freedoms of individuals who own or work for insurance companies? How are their rights protected here?

Next, if it rides the lines of violating the rights of individuals, then it should not be in law. Exempting religious organizations does not justify this law that violates the freedoms of others who share the same religious beliefs, but are not associated with the organization itself. **Religious Freedoms should be protected, AND NOT danced around.**

Lastly, the "preventative plan" may be more tolerated if it eliminated ALL kinds of after conception coverage.