PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Received: December 16, 2023
Tracking No. lq8-149g-ehmy
Comments Due: January 02, 2024
Submission Type: API

Docket: EBSA-2023-0014
Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

Comment On: EBSA-2023-0014-0001
Retirement Security Rule: Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

Document: 1210-AC02 comment 00078 Ducceschi 12162023

Submitter Information

Name: Joseph Ducceschi

General Comment

The Honorable Lisa M. Gomez
Assistant Secretary of Labor
Employee Benefits Security Administration
U. S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20210

RE: RIN 1210-AC02

Dear Honorable Gomez,

I am writing this letter to express my fears over the new U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) proposed fiduciary rule that will threaten my ability as a financial professional to serve the many lower and middle-income Main Street families who are currently able to access from me and my colleagues, sound, unconflicted financial advice.

The 2016 DOL fiduciary rule significantly harmed lower and middle-income workers before being thrown out in 2018 by a federal appeals court. The adoption of the 2016 fiduciary rule resulted in more than 10 million smaller retirement account owners losing the ability to work with their preferred financial professionals. Main Street savers could simply not afford to retain advisors under the fiduciary-only model of regulation.

If DOL adopts a new rule that is like the 2016 rule, recent research concludes the retirement savings of 2.7 million individuals with incomes below $100,000 would plummet by $140 billion over ten years. Black and Latino retirement account owners would be among the hardest hit, increasing the racial wealth gap by 20 percent.

Since the 2016 fiduciary rule was invalidated, regulators at the federal and state levels have adopted significant new regulations that directly address the conflicts of interest that DOL asserts it is seeking to address with its new proposed rule. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) adopted Regulation Best Interest (Reg BI), which requires all broker-dealers and their registered representatives to always act in their client's best interest without putting their own interests first. In addition, more than forty states have now enacted an updated National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) model regulation that requires insurance producers to satisfy a best interest standard that aligns well with Reg BI. In addition, DOL adopted its own new rule in 2020 that complements the federal and state regulatory regime.

DOL has provided no evidence that consumers are inadequately protected by the existing rules. Respectfully, I ask you to withdraw the proposed final regulation and proposed amendments to protect the interests of Main Street Americans.

Sincerely,
Joseph Ducceschi
Pennsylvania