

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>Received:</b> December 19, 2023 <b>Tracking No.</b> lqc-7h2c-bdyg <b>Comments Due:</b> January 02, 2024 <b>Submission Type:</b> API
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Docket:** EBSA-2023-0014  
Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

**Comment On:** EBSA-2023-0014-0001  
Retirement Security Rule: Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

**Document:** 1210-AC02 comment 00095 Deluca 12192023

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** JOSEPH DELUCA

---

## General Comment

The rules and regulation currently in place provide an adequate back stop to protect our valued clients. In a business based on honesty and trust more regulation is not the answer . The last few years has presented many changes , more of the same confuses good people. Spending roughly forty years in this business , in my opinion this Retirement Security rule proposal is not necessary, and hurts the people who need it the most.

I'm writing to express my concerns with the Department of Labor's (DOL) Retirement Security rule proposal. I believe this proposal will harm millions of low- and middle-income households by limiting access to personalized financial guidance and advice.

As a financial professional, I'm already required to act in the best interests of my clients under the SEC's Regulation Best Interest (Reg BI) and, when considering annuities, applicable state laws that impose similar requirements. Together, these regulations ensure that my clients and I can focus on working together to build responsible savings and investments habits.

This proposal has the potential to upend our existing, comprehensive structure by limiting our ability to help our clients safeguard their savings in a manner of their

choosing. In particular, the previous iteration of a substantially similar DOL rule resulted in a meaningful reduction in services offered to millions of low- and middle-income households. I am concerned that the resurrection of this rule is expected to exacerbate the racial wealth gap by roughly 20% due to a disproportionate impact on Black and Hispanic communities.

I hope the DOL will consider the harm the previous fiduciary rule had on communities, as well as the changes in securities regulations that came with the adoption of Reg BI and state insurance suitability rules and withdraw the Retirement Security rule proposal.