

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

Received: December 19, 2023 Tracking No. lqc-ih5r-iw6c Comments Due: January 02, 2024 Submission Type: API

Docket: EBSA-2023-0014
Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

Comment On: EBSA-2023-0014-0001
Retirement Security Rule: Definition of an Investment Advice Fiduciary

Document: 1210-AC02 comment 00122 Carmony 12192023

Submitter Information

Name: Scott Carmony

General Comment

My experience with the previous fiduciary rule is that it was redundant, disruptive, over-reaching and poorly designed. It provided no benefit or protection that did not already exist. Consumers already have the choice and access to fiduciary financial services which is designed for best interest services and protection. Whether they elect to pursue those services or something different, they already have the protection of the SEC, FINRA and the NAIC. It cost the American public billions of dollars, wasted immeasurable resources, caused extensive collateral damage and was thrown out. All for something that already exists and is protected. Fiduciary services are already readily available with protection for the consumer.

I'm writing to express my concerns with the Department of Labor's (DOL) Retirement Security rule proposal. I believe this proposal will harm millions of low- and middle-income households by limiting access to personalized financial guidance and advice.

As a financial professional, I'm already required to act in the best interests of my clients under the SEC's Regulation Best Interest (Reg BI) and, when considering annuities, applicable state laws that impose similar requirements. Together, these regulations ensure that my clients and I can focus on working together to build responsible savings and investments habits.

This proposal has the potential to upend our existing, comprehensive structure by limiting our ability to help our clients safeguard their savings in a manner of their choosing. In particular, the previous iteration of a substantially similar DOL rule resulted in a meaningful reduction in services offered to millions of low- and middle-income households. I am concerned that the resurrection of this rule is expected to exacerbate the racial wealth gap by roughly 20% due to a disproportionate impact on Black and Hispanic communities.

I hope the DOL will consider the harm the previous fiduciary rule had on communities, as well as the changes in securities regulations that came with the adoption of Reg BI and state insurance suitability rules and withdraw the Retirement Security rule proposal.