

U.S. Department of Labor

Benefits Review Board
200 Constitution Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20210-0001



BRB No. 23-0034 BLA

DAVID M. PHILLIPS)	
)	
Claimant-Respondent)	
)	
v.)	
)	
ISLAND CREEK COAL COMPANY)	
)	DATE ISSUED: 7/27/2023
Employer-Petitioner)	
)	
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS')	
COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, UNITED)	
STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)	
)	
Party-in-Interest)	DECISION and ORDER

Appeal of the Decision and Order Awarding Benefits of Theodore W. Annos,
Administrative Law Judge, United States Department of Labor.

Andrew D. Dye (Penn, Stuart & Eskridge), Bristol, Virginia, for Employer.

Before: BOGGS, BUZZARD, and JONES, Administrative Appeals Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Employer appeals Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Theodore W. Annos's Decision
and Order Awarding Benefits (2021-BLA-05858) rendered on a claim filed on January 27,

2020,¹ pursuant to the Black Lung Benefits Act, as amended, 30 U.S.C. §§901-944 (2018) (Act).

The ALJ credited Claimant with 22.9 years of underground coal mine employment and found he has a totally disabling respiratory or pulmonary impairment. 20 C.F.R. §718.204(b)(2). Thus he found Claimant invoked the presumption of total disability due to pneumoconiosis at Section 411(c)(4) of the Act.² 30 U.S.C. §921(c)(4) (2018). He further found Employer did not rebut the presumption and awarded benefits.

On appeal, Employer argues the ALJ erred in finding it did not rebut the Section 411(c)(4) presumption by disproving legal pneumoconiosis.³ Neither Claimant nor the Director, Office of the Workers' Compensation Programs, has filed a response brief.

The Benefits Review Board's scope of review is defined by statute. We must affirm the ALJ's Decision and Order if it is rational, supported by substantial evidence, and in accordance with applicable law.⁴ 33 U.S.C. §921(b)(3), as incorporated by 30 U.S.C. §932(a); *O'Keefe v. Smith, Hinchman & Grylls Assocs., Inc.*, 380 U.S. 359 (1965).

Rebuttal of the Section 411(c)(4) Presumption

Because Claimant invoked the Section 411(c)(4) presumption, the burden shifted to Employer to establish he has neither legal nor clinical pneumoconiosis,⁵ or that “no part of

¹ Claimant filed a prior claim that he withdrew. Director's Exhibit 1. A withdrawn claim is considered not to have been filed. *See* 20 C.F.R. §725.306(b).

² Section 411(c)(4) of the Act provides a rebuttable presumption that a miner is totally disabled due to pneumoconiosis if he has at least fifteen years of underground or substantially similar surface coal mine employment and a totally disabling respiratory or pulmonary impairment. 30 U.S.C. §921(c)(4) (2018); *see* 20 C.F.R. §718.305.

³ We affirm, as unchallenged on appeal, the ALJ's findings that Claimant established 22.9 years of underground coal mine employment and total disability, and therefore invoked the Section 411(c)(4) presumption. *See Skrack v. Island Creek Coal Co.*, 6 BLR 1-710, 1-711 (1983); 20 C.F.R. §718.305; Decision and Order at 7, 13.

⁴ The Board will apply the law of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit because Claimant performed his last coal mine employment in Virginia. *See Shupe v. Director, OWCP*, 12 BLR 1-200, 1-202 (1989) (en banc); Hearing Transcript at 10.

⁵ “Legal pneumoconiosis” includes any chronic lung disease or impairment and its sequelae arising out of coal mine employment. 20 C.F.R. §718.201(a)(2). The definition

[his] respiratory or pulmonary total disability was caused by pneumoconiosis as defined in [20 C.F.R.] §718.201.” 20 C.F.R. §718.305(d)(1)(i), (ii). The ALJ found Employer failed to rebut the presumption by either method.⁶ Decision and Order at 14-22.

Legal Pneumoconiosis

To disprove legal pneumoconiosis, Employer must establish Claimant does not have a chronic lung disease or impairment “significantly related to, or substantially aggravated by, dust exposure in coal mine employment.” See 20 C.F.R. §§718.201(a)(2), (b), 718.305(d)(2)(i)(A); *Minich v. Keystone Coal Mining Co.*, 25 BLR 1-149, 1-155 n.8 (2015).

The ALJ considered the opinions of Drs. Sargent and McSharry that Claimant does not have legal pneumoconiosis. Decision and Order at 16-22. Both opined Claimant has chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and emphysema due to cigarette smoking and unrelated to coal dust exposure based on relative risk, statistical probabilities, and the absence of radiographic evidence of pneumoconiosis. Director’s Exhibit 22 at 2; Employer’s Exhibit 1 at 3. The ALJ discredited their opinions because he found their explanations for excluding a diagnosis of legal pneumoconiosis were unpersuasive. Decision and Order at 17-22.

We are not persuaded by Employer’s contention that the ALJ erred in discrediting the opinions of Drs. Sargent and McSharry. Employer’s Brief at 10. He discredited their opinions because they are contrary to the medical science relied upon by the Department of Labor in the preamble to the 2001 regulatory revisions and failed to address why coal mine dust exposure could not have contributed along with smoking to Claimant’s emphysema. See *Harman Mining Co. v. Director, OWCP [Looney]*, 678 F.3d 305, 312-13 (4th Cir. 2012) (substantial evidence supported ALJ’s discrediting of medical opinion where doctor relied “heavily on general statistics rather than particularized facts about” the miner); see *Westmoreland Coal Co. v. Stallard*, 876 F.3d 663, 671-72 (4th Cir. 2017);

includes “any chronic pulmonary disease or respiratory or pulmonary impairment significantly related to, or substantially aggravated by, dust exposure in coal mine employment.” 20 C.F.R. §718.201(b). “Clinical pneumoconiosis” consists of “those diseases recognized by the medical community as pneumoconioses, *i.e.*, the conditions characterized by permanent deposition of substantial amounts of particulate matter in the lungs and the fibrotic reaction of the lung tissue to that deposition caused by dust exposure in coal mine employment.” 20 C.F.R. §718.201(a)(1).

⁶ The ALJ found Employer disproved clinical pneumoconiosis. 20 C.F.R. §718.305(d)(1)(i)(B); Decision and Order at 14-16.

Decision and Order at 20-22. Employer does not challenge the ALJ's rationale for discrediting Drs. Sargent's and McSharry's opinions but instead generally argues their opinions are well-reasoned and documented, and therefore sufficient to establish Claimant does not have legal pneumoconiosis.⁷ Employer's Brief at 10. Employer's arguments are a request to reweigh the evidence, which we are not empowered to do. *Anderson v. Valley Camp of Utah, Inc.*, 12 BLR 1-111, 1-113 (1989). We thus affirm the ALJ's discrediting of Drs. Sargent's and McSharry's opinions.

Accordingly, because the opinions of Drs. Sargent and McSharry were the only opinions supportive of Employer's burden on rebuttal, and Employer does not otherwise contest the ALJ's findings as to legal pneumoconiosis, we affirm his determination Employer did not disprove that Claimant has the disease. 20 C.F.R. §§718.201(a)(2), (b), 718.305(d)(1)(i)(A). Employer's failure to disprove legal pneumoconiosis precludes a rebuttal finding that Claimant does not have pneumoconiosis. Therefore, we affirm the ALJ's conclusion that Employer did not establish rebuttal at 20 C.F.R. §718.305(d)(1)(i).

Disability Causation

The ALJ next considered whether Employer established "no part of [the Miner's] respiratory or pulmonary total disability was caused by pneumoconiosis as defined in [20 C.F.R.] §718.201." 20 C.F.R. §718.305(d)(1)(ii); Decision and Order at 22-23. The ALJ permissibly discredited the disability causation opinions of Drs. Sargent and McSharry because they did not diagnose legal pneumoconiosis, contrary to his finding that Employer failed to disprove the disease.⁸ See *Hobet Mining, LLC v. Epling*, 783 F.3d 498, 506 (4th Cir. 2015); see also *Big Branch Res., Inc. v. Ogle*, 737 F.3d 1063, 1074 (6th Cir. 2013); Decision and Order at 23. We therefore affirm the ALJ's determination that Employer failed to establish that no part of Claimant's respiratory or pulmonary total disability was caused by pneumoconiosis at 20 C.F.R. §718.305(d)(1)(ii), and the award of benefits. Decision and Order at 23.

⁷ Employer further asserts the ALJ erred in his evaluation of Dr. Forehand's opinion that Claimant has legal pneumoconiosis in the form of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease due in part to coal mine dust exposure. Decision and Order at 16; Director's Exhibit 14 at 4; Employer's Brief at 10. This opinion does not support Employer's burden to disprove the disease and, therefore, we need not address these allegations of error. See *Larioni v. Director, OWCP*, 6 BLR 1-1276, 1-1278 (1984).

⁸ Drs. Sargent and McSharry did not address whether legal pneumoconiosis caused Claimant's total respiratory disability independent of their conclusions that he did not have the disease. Director's Exhibit 22; Employer's Exhibits 1, 3-5.

Accordingly, the ALJ's Decision and Order Awarding Benefits is affirmed.

SO ORDERED.

JUDITH S. BOGGS
Administrative Appeals Judge

GREG J. BUZZARD
Administrative Appeals Judge

MELISSA LIN JONES
Administrative Appeals Judge