# Senior Community Service Employment Program Analysis of Service to Minority Individuals, PY 2010

Volume II

US Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration Office of Workforce Investment

Submitted by: The Charter Oak Group, LLC October 31, 2012

# Table of Contents

# Volume II: Appendices

Technical Notes on Reading the Tables in Appendices A and B	3
Appendix A. Participation Tables, Grantees         Table 1: Grantees by Minority Overall         Table 2: Grantees by Hispanic         Table 3: Grantees by Black         Table 4: Grantees by Asian         Table 5: Grantees by American Indian	4 7 10 13
Table 5: Grantees by American Indian         Table 6: Grantees by Pacific Islander	
Appendix B. Participation Tables, National Grantees by State	22
Technical Notes on Reading the Tables in Appendices C-H	78
<ul> <li>Appendix C: Entered Employment Tables, Grantees</li> <li>Table 1: Entered Employment, Grantees by Race</li> <li>Table 2: Entered Employment, Grantees by Ethnicity</li> <li>Table 3: Entered Employment, Grantees by Minority Status</li> </ul>	79 84
<ul> <li>Appendix D: Employment Retention Tables, Grantees</li> <li>Table 1: Employment Retention, Grantees by Race</li> <li>Table 2: Employment Retention Grantees by Ethnicity</li> <li>Table 3: Employment Retention, Grantees by Minority Status</li> </ul>	94 99
<ul> <li>Appendix E: Average Earnings Tables, Grantees</li> <li>Table 1: Average Earnings, Grantees by Race</li> <li>Table 2: Average Earnings, Grantees by Ethnicity</li> <li>Table 3: Average Earnings, Grantees by Minority Status</li> </ul>	109 112
<ul> <li>Appendix F: Entered Employment Tables, National Grantees by State</li> <li>Table 1: Entered Employment, National Grantees (by State) by Race</li> <li>Table 2: Entered Employment, National Grantees (by State) by Ethnicity</li> <li>Table 3: Entered Employment, National Grantees (by State) by Minority Status</li> </ul>	118 127
<ul> <li>Appendix G: Employment Retention Tables, National Grantees by State</li> <li>Table 1: Employment Retention, National Grantees (by State) by Race</li> <li>Table 2: Employment Retention, National Grantees (by State) by Ethnicity</li> <li>Table 3: Employment Retention, National Grantees (by State) by Minority Status</li> </ul>	145 154
<ul> <li>Appendix H: Average Earnings Tables, National Grantees by State</li> <li>Table 1: Average Earnings, National Grantees (by State) by Race</li> <li>Table 2: Average Earnings, National Grantees (by State) by Ethnicity</li> <li>Table 3: Average Earnings, National Grantees (by State) by Minority Status</li> </ul>	172 177

# Technical Notes on Reading the Tables in Appendices A and B

In Appendices A and B of Volume II, grantees are highlighted only if they served less than 80% of the incidence of a particular minority category in the population and if the difference is also statistically significant at the .05 level .

The SCSEP percent minority and Census percent minority reported in the second and third columns of the tables in Appendices A and B carry more decimal places than are displayed in the tables. As a result, the percent differences in the fourth column of those tables is often slightly different from the result that would be obtained by using the numbers displayed in the second and third columns without the hidden decimal places.

Because the Census Bureau does not report estimates for very small cell sizes, the Census percent minority column can have a value of blank (missing data) or 0% (where the estimate is either very small or actually zero (as noted above). The percent difference can have a value of 0% (when SCSEP serves none of the relevant minority population or only a very small fraction of a percent of the population) or N/A (when there are missing data for the Census estimate).

Given the very small population estimates for American Indians and Pacific Islanders, it is possible that a very small Census estimate (less than 1%) can still yield statistical significance, especially when the percent served in SCSEP is zero. Although such instances of under-service may meet both criteria (less than 80% served and statistically significant), these instances need to be carefully examined to determine whether they are practically significant.

The population estimates for state grantees as a group use nationwide Census estimates and thus display the same values as the summary row for "Nationwide" in the tables in Appendix A. National grantees as a group use a weighted average of the state estimates, which may differ substantially from the nationwide estimates.

# Appendix A. Participation Tables, Grantees<sup>1</sup>

	~	2				1
	SCSEP	Census				Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Than
	Minority	Minority	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AARP	61.6%	45.7%	134.8%	0	0	0
ANPPM	82.8%	50.5%	164.0%	0	0	0
Easter Seals	65.0%	43.8%	148.5%	0	0	0
Experience						
Works	27.8%	42.4%	65.6%	1	1	1
Goodwill	49.0%	29.2%	167.5%	0	0	0
IID	51.9%	42.2%	123.2%	0	0	0
Mature						
Services	45.0%	23.1%	194.9%	0	0	0
ABLE	15.6%	20.0%	78.2%	1	1	1
NAPCA	87.8%	50.6%	173.5%	0	0	0
NCBA	83.0%	35.6%	233.2%	0	0	0
NCOA	41.0%	38.9%	105.3%	0	0	0
NICOA	74.0%	43.9%	168.6%	0	0	0
Urban League	83.8%	35.4%	236.8%	0	0	0
QCS	57.9%	14.6%	396.1%	0	0	0
SER	65.0%	52.0%	124.9%	0	0	0
SSAI	47.0%	42.0%	111.9%	0	0	0
VATD	4.0%	3.4%	119.1%	0	0	0
TWI	60.4%	34.4%	175.9%	0	0	0
National						
Grantees	50.3%	41.6%	120.9%	2	2	2

Table 1: Grantees by Minority Overall

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Highlighting indicates grantees that served less than 80% of a minority category where the difference was also significant at the .05 level.

	SCSEP Percent Minority	Census Percent Minority	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	53.3%	39.4%	135.0%	0	0	0
Alaska	34.2%	41.2%	83.1%	0	1	0
Arizona	33.2%	36.6%	90.6%	0	0	0
Arkansas	35.6%	24.9%	142.8%	0	0	0
California	66.0%	54.6%	120.7%	0	0	0
Colorado	52.6%	30.6%	171.6%	0	0	0
Connecticut	43.9%	34.4%	127.9%	0	0	0
Delaware	70.6%	33.7%	209.4%	0	0	0
District of Columbia	99.3%	89.9%	110.5%	0	0	0
Florida	54.7%	43.0%	127.3%	0	0	0
Georgia	55.6%	45.3%	122.6%	0	0	0
Hawaii	83.3%	70.6%	117.9%	0	0	0
Idaho	9.8%	11.1%	88.3%	0	0	0
Illinois	64.4%	40.4%	159.7%	0	0	0
Indiana	28.4%	17.7%	160.1%	0	0	0
lowa	11.8%	7.1%	167.8%	0	0	0
Kansas	45.0%	20.3%	221.3%	0	0	0
Kentucky	13.1%	10.9%	120.1%	0	0	0
Louisiana	61.5%	47.6%	129.4%	0	0	0
Maine	6.3%	4.2%	151.5%	0	0	0
Maryland	37.9%	46.1%	82.2%	0	1	0
Massachusetts	24.3%	27.5%	88.5%	0	0	0
Michigan	42.9%	27.0%	159.2%	0	0	0
Minnesota	19.6%	14.6%	134.0%	0	0	0
Mississippi	70.4%	50.9%	138.3%	0	0	0
Missouri	40.3%	19.1%	211.0%	0	0	0
Montana	14.9%	11.4%	130.9%	0	0	0
Nebraska	55.3%	13.5%	409.1%	0	0	0
Nevada	57.4%	32.1%	178.8%	0	0	0
New	8.0%	2.8%	224.10/	0	0	0
Hampshire	8.9%	3.8%	234.1%	0	0	0
New Jersey	62.4%	44.0%	141.7%	0	0	0
New Mexico	57.4%	60.2%	95.2%	0	0	0
New York	67.4%	48.6%	138.7%	0	0	0
North Carolina	52.0%	37.1%	140.1%	0	0	0

	SCSEP Percent	Census Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
	Minority	Minority	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
North Dakota	16.4%	8.3%	196.6%	0	0	0
Ohio	34.7%	23.1%	150.3%	0	0	0
Oklahoma	25.2%	26.2%	96.4%	0	0	0
Oregon	14.1%	13.6%	103.4%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	40.1%	23.6%	170.2%	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	97.7%	99.5%	98.3%	0	0	0
Rhode Island	50.6%	24.4%	207.4%	0	0	0
South Carolina	58.5%	45.3%	129.0%	0	0	0
South Dakota	30.8%	13.5%	227.9%	0	0	0
Tennessee	36.9%	22.1%	166.9%	0	0	0
Texas	50.8%	58.9%	86.3%	0	1	0
Utah	49.2%	20.0%	245.8%	0	0	0
Vermont	3.3%	3.4%	96.6%	0	0	0
Virginia	57.2%	36.6%	156.1%	0	0	0
Washington	26.8%	22.5%	119.4%	0	0	0
West Virginia	17.6%	5.6%	312.1%	0	0	0
Wisconsin	36.4%	14.7%	247.9%	0	0	0
Wyoming	46.5%	10.6%	439.6%	0	0	0
State						
Grantees	49.3%	37.1%	132.7%	0	3	0
Nationwide	50.2%	37.1%	135.2%	2	5	2

Tuble 2. Ofun	liees by his				(	1
	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Census Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AARP	20.7%	24.6%	84.1%	0	1	0070, 51g
ANPPM	59.0%	24.0%	235.6%	0	0	0
Easter Seals	19.0%	16.7%	114.0%	0	0	0
Experience	15.078	10.770	114.070	0	0	0
Works	5.0%	20.3%	24.6%	1	1	1
Goodwill	11.0%	8.4%	131.3%	0	0	0
IID	2.0%	1.8%	113.4%	0	0	0
Mature Services	2.0%	2.1%	96.0%	0	0	0
ABLE	5.0%	8.2%	61.0%	1	1	1
NAPCA	3.0%	26.2%	11.5%	1	1	1
NCBA	2.0%	10.8%	18.5%	1	1	1
NCOA	5.0%	14.1%	35.4%	1	1	1
NICOA	10.0%	22.8%	43.9%	1	1	1
Urban League	6.0%	11.6%	51.9%	1	1	1
QCS	6.0%	2.6%	233.8%	0	0	0
SER	29.0%	28.9%	100.4%	0	0	0
SSAI	4.0%	15.8%	25.3%	1	1	1
VATD	1.0%	0.7%	138.9%	0	0	0
TWI	11.0%	16.8%	65.7%	1	1	1
National Grantees	11.9%	18.1%	65.8%	9	10	9

## Table 2: Grantees by Hispanic

	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Census Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	1.0%	0.9%	112.2%	0	0	0
Alaska	3.0%	2.8%	106.3%	0	0	0
Arizona	15.0%	23.1%	65.0%	1	1	1
Arkansas	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
California	26.0%	29.1%	89.2%	0	1	0
Colorado	38.0%	20.8%	183.1%	0	0	0
Connecticut	11.0%	16.8%	65.7%	1	1	1
Delaware	3.0%	3.4%	88.7%	0	0	0
District of Columbia	3.0%	5.1%	58.9%	1	0	0
Florida	25.0%	24.3%	102.7%	0	0	0
Georgia	1.0%	3.4%	29.0%	1	1	1
Hawaii	4.0%	6.3%	63.3%	1	1	1
Idaho	4.0%	6.8%	58.6%	1	0	0
Illinois	11.0%	9.9%	110.7%	0	0	0
Indiana	1.0%	3.1%	32.7%	1	1	1
lowa	2.0%	1.6%	127.7%	0	0	0
Kansas	9.0%	6.6%	136.5%	0	0	0
Kentucky	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
Louisiana	2.0%	1.8%	108.6%	0	0	0
Maine	1.0%	0.6%	177.1%	0	0	0
Maryland	1.0%	3.9%	26.0%	1	1	1
Massachusetts	6.0%	11.7%	51.5%	1	1	1
Michigan	2.0%	2.7%	73.2%	1	0	0
Minnesota	3.0%	2.6%	116.9%	0	0	0
Mississippi	1.0%	0.8%	122.6%	0	0	0
Missouri	1.0%	1.6%	64.4%	1	0	0
Montana	3.0%	1.2%	247.0%	0	0	0
Nebraska	8.0%	4.3%	186.2%	0	0	0
Nevada	14.0%	13.2%	105.7%	0	0	0
New						
Hampshire	2.0%	1.4%	141.2%	0	0	0
New Jersey	17.0%	19.1%	89.2%	0	0	0
New Mexico	45.0%	46.5%	96.7%	0	0	0
New York	15.0%	20.6%	72.9%	1	1	1
North Carolina	2.0%	2.6%	75.5%	1	0	0

	SCSEP	Census				Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Than
	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
North Dakota	2.0%	0.5%	401.4%	0	0	0
Ohio	2.0%	2.1%	96.0%	0	0	0
Oklahoma	2.0%	4.1%	48.9%	1	1	1
Oregon	5.0%	5.3%	95.2%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	2.0%	5.3%	37.7%	1	1	1
Puerto Rico	98.0%	99.2%	98.7%	0	0	0
Rhode Island	35.0%	14.2%	246.5%	0	0	0
South Carolina	1.0%	1.9%	53.0%	1	0	0
South Dakota	1.0%	0.8%	131.2%	0	0	0
Tennessee	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Texas	30.0%	39.3%	76.4%	1	1	1
Utah	25.0%	10.8%	230.5%	0	0	0
Vermont	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
Virginia	1.0%	2.7%	36.5%	1	1	1
Washington	6.0%	6.1%	99.1%	0	0	0
West Virginia	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Wisconsin	5.0%	2.7%	182.0%	0	0	0
Wyoming	5.0%	5.6%	89.3%	0	0	0
State						
Grantees	10.5%	13.6%	77.3%	23	18	17
Nationwide	12.0%	13.6%	88.3%	32	28	26

Table 5. Ofall	lees by Dia		-	r	r	1 1
	SCSEP	Concurs				Loss
		Census	Design	<b>.</b>	C'	Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Than
	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AARP	39.0%	16.4%	237.4%	0	0	0
ANPPM	23.0%	10.8%	213.3%	0	0	0
Easter Seals	42.0%	20.0%	210.2%	0	0	0
Experience						
Works	19.0%	17.1%	110.8%	0	0	0
Goodwill	34.0%	15.4%	220.5%	0	0	0
IID	41.0%	38.4%	106.8%	0	0	0
Mature						
Services	43.0%	18.9%	227.3%	0	0	0
ABLE	7.0%	6.2%	112.5%	0	0	0
NAPCA	5.0%	11.6%	43.2%	1	1	1
NCBA	80.0%	22.2%	360.5%	0	0	0
NCOA	30.0%	17.4%	172.7%	0	0	0
NICOA	14.0%	7.1%	197.4%	0	0	0
Urban League	76.0%	18.4%	412.6%	0	0	0
QCS	32.0%	6.4%	498.3%	0	0	0
SER	34.0%	11.1%	305.8%	0	0	0
SSAI	37.0%	18.6%	199.3%	0	0	0
VATD	2.0%	0.9%	211.9%	0	0	0
тwi	47.0%	14.4%	326.8%	0	0	0
National						
Grantees	33.6%	15.4%	218.7%	1	1	1

 Table 3: Grantees by Black

	SCSEP Percent Black	Census Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	51.0%	36.5%	139.7%	0	0	0
Alaska	8.0%	2.0%	404.8%	0	0	0
Arizona	15.0%	3.6%	416.6%	0	0	0
Arkansas	34.0%	20.2%	168.7%	0	0	0
California	27.0%	8.2%	329.9%	0	0	0
Colorado	13.0%	5.4%	239.0%	0	0	0
Connecticut	31.0%	14.4%	215.5%	0	0	0
Delaware	67.0%	27.2%	246.0%	0	0	0
District of	00.000		444.000			
Columbia	93.0%	81.4%	114.3%	0	0	0
Florida	31.0%	16.9%	183.7%	0	0	0
Georgia	54.0%	38.9%	139.0%	0	0	0
Hawaii	1.0%	0.5%	207.9%	0	0	0
Idaho	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
Illinois	51.0%	25.9%	196.7%	0	0	0
Indiana	24.0%	12.3%	195.2%	0	0	0
lowa	8.0%	3.3%	243.2%	0	0	0
Kansas	32.0%	9.2%	348.5%	0	0	0
Kentucky	12.0%	8.3%	143.9%	0	0	0
Louisiana	59.0%	43.8%	134.6%	0	0	0
Maine	1.0%	0.5%	209.0%	0	0	0
Maryland	37.0%	36.8%	100.5%	0	0	0
Massachusetts	15.0%	8.9%	168.5%	0	0	0
Michigan	40.0%	20.7%	193.2%	0	0	0
Minnesota	11.0%	6.4%	171.3%	0	0	0
Mississippi	68.0%	48.5%	140.2%	0	0	0
Missouri	36.0%	14.7%	244.5%	0	0	0
Montana	2.0%	0.2%	1166.2%	0	0	0
Nebraska	43.0%	5.7%	758.6%	0	0	0
Nevada	37.0%	9.0%	410.7%	0	0	0
New						
Hampshire	1.0%	0.7%	144.4%	0	0	0
New Jersey	44.0%	19.1%	230.4%	0	0	0
New Mexico	1.0%	2.1%	48.3%	1	0	0
New York	38.0%	19.2%	197.6%	0	0	0
North Carolina	45.0%	30.8%	146.1%	0	0	0

	SCSEP	Census	Deveent	Less Then	Cignificant	Less
	Percent Black	Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Than 80%, Sig
North Dakota	2.0%	0.5%	437.9%	0	0	0
Ohio	32.0%	18.9%	169.2%	0	0	0
Oklahoma	8.0%	9.2%	87.1%	0	0	0
Oregon	6.0%	2.3%	257.4%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	36.0%	15.8%	227.8%	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	9.0%	7.4%	121.7%	0	0	0
Rhode Island	15.0%	7.1%	210.9%	0	0	0
South Carolina	57.0%	42.0%	135.8%	0	0	0
South Dakota	3.0%	0.9%	319.3%	0	0	0
Tennessee	36.0%	18.9%	190.8%	0	0	0
Texas	21.0%	15.6%	134.5%	0	0	0
Utah	9.0%	1.5%	607.1%	0	0	0
Vermont	1.0%	0.9%	106.0%	0	0	0
Virginia	54.0%	29.5%	183.3%	0	0	0
Washington	9.0%	4.5%	202.0%	0	0	0
West Virginia	17.0%	3.3%	517.0%	0	0	0
Wisconsin	23.0%	8.8%	261.0%	0	0	0
Wyoming	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1	1	1
State						
Grantees	30.6%	17.5%	174.8%	3	2	2
Nationwide	33.0%	17.5%	188.2%	4	3	3

	mees by As					1
	SCSEP Percent Asian	Census Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AARP	1.0%	3.8%	26.2%	1	1	1
ANPPM	1.0%	12.5%	8.0%	1	1	1
Easter Seals	3.0%	6.6%	45.6%	1	1	1
Experience Works	1.0%	4.0%	25.0%	1	1	1
Goodwill	2.0%	3.0%	67.8%	1	1	1
IID	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
Mature Services	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
ABLE	3.0%	4.2%	71.6%	1	1	1
NAPCA	79.0%	11.2%	705.1%	0	0	0
NCBA	1.0%	1.6%	64.5%	1	1	1
NCOA	5.0%	6.5%	77.1%	1	1	1
NICOA	1.0%	8.9%	11.3%	1	1	1
Urban League	1.0%	4.6%	21.5%	1	1	1
QCS	19.0%	3.3%	570.0%	0	0	0
SER	2.0%	10.3%	19.5%	1	1	1
SSAI	4.0%	6.3%	63.9%	1	1	1
VATD	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	0	0	0
TWI	2.0%	2.5%	81.1%	0	0	0
National Grantees	3.1%	6.6%	46.7%	14	14	14

# Table 4: Grantees by Asian

	SCSEP Percent Asian	Census Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%,
Alabama	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1	1	1
Alaska	1.0%	6.0%	16.6%	1	1	1
Arizona	1.0%	2.1%	46.8%	1	1	1
Arkansas	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
California	12.0%	15.1%	79.2%	1	1	1
Colorado	1.0%	2.5%	40.0%	1	1	1
Connecticut	2.0%	2.5%	81.1%	0	0	0
Delaware	1.0%	0.9%	109.8%	0	0	0
District of Columbia	4.0%	1.5%	262.4%	0	0	0
Florida	1.0%	1.5%	65.9%	1	0	0
Georgia	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
Hawaii	59.0%	46.1%	128.1%	0	0	0
Idaho	1.0%	1.0%	96.6%	0	0	0
Illinois	2.0%	3.9%	51.5%	1	1	1
Indiana	1.0%	0.9%	109.5%	0	0	0
lowa	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
Kansas	2.0%	2.0%	98.2%	0	0	0
Kentucky	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Louisiana	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
Maine	1.0%	0.8%	132.3%	0	0	0
Maryland	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
Massachusetts	3.0%	5.8%	52.0%	1	1	1
Michigan	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
Minnesota	1.0%	3.3%	30.0%	1	1	1
Mississippi	1.0%	0.5%	186.3%	0	0	0
Missouri	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
Montana	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
Nebraska	1.0%	1.6%	60.6%	1	0	0
Nevada	6.0%	6.2%	96.1%	0	0	0
New						
Hampshire	4.0%	1.0%	410.0%	0	0	0
New Jersey	2.0%	5.4%	36.8%	1	1	1
New Mexico	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
New York	14.0%	8.8%	159.7%	0	0	0
North Carolina	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1	1	1

	SCSEP	Census				Less
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Than
	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%,
North Dakota	2.0%	0.1%	2409.6%	0	0	0
Ohio	1.0%	0.9%	106.4%	0	0	0
Oklahoma	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
Oregon	1.0%	2.8%	35.5%	1	1	1
Pennsylvania	2.0%	2.0%	98.2%	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Rhode Island	1.0%	1.2%	81.6%	0	0	0
South Carolina	1.0%	0.7%	147.8%	0	0	0
South Dakota	0.0%		N/A	0	0	0
Tennessee	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
Texas	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
Utah	14.0%	3.1%	456.8%	0	0	0
Vermont	0.0%		N/A	0	0	0
Virginia	2.0%	3.3%	60.5%	1	0	0
Washington	7.0%	7.7%	90.4%	0	0	0
West Virginia	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
Wisconsin	2.0%	1.5%	129.8%	0	0	0
Wyoming	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	0	0	0
State						
Grantees	4.0%	4.2%	104.0%	29	26	26
Nationwide	3.0%	4.2%	72.3%	43	40	40

1000 5. 010	unces by m	nerican mun	u11			1
	SCSEP Percent American	Census Percent American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AARP	1.0%	0.6%	163.3%	0	0	0
ANPPM	1.0%	1.2%	82.9%	0	0	0
Easter Seals	2.0%	0.6%	348.8%	0	0	0
Experience Works	4.0%	0.7%	588.7%	0	0	0
Goodwill	2.0%	1.8%	111.7%	0	0	0
IID	10.0%	0.6%	1613.4%	0	0	0
Mature Services	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
ABLE	2.0%	0.5%	381.6%	0	0	0
NAPCA	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
NCBA	1.0%	0.6%	162.5%	0	0	0
NCOA	1.0%	0.6%	173.0%	0	0	0
NICOA	50.0%	3.7%	1344.9%	0	0	0
Urban League	1.0%	0.5%	216.3%	0	0	0
QCS	2.0%	1.6%	126.9%	0	0	0
SER	1.0%	0.9%	117.4%	0	0	0
SSAI	2.0%	0.8%	262.8%	0	0	0
VATD	2.0%	0.7%	298.3%	0	0	0
TWI	2.0%	0.4%	489.1%	0	0	0
National Grantees	2.4%	0.9%	260.7%	2	2	2

Table 5: Grantees by American Indian

	SCSEP	Census				
	Percent	Percent				Less
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Than
	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
Alabama	2.0%	0.7%	274.5%	0	0	0
Alaska	24.0%	27.2%	88.2%	0	0	0
Arizona	3.0%	7.5%	40.0%	1	1	1
Arkansas	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
California	2.0%	1.0%	193.9%	0	0	0
Colorado	1.0%	1.2%	80.7%	0	0	0
Connecticut	1.0%	0.4%	244.6%	0	0	0
Delaware	1.0%	0.4%	271.3%	0	0	0
District of						
Columbia	1.0%	0.5%	208.7%	0	0	0
Florida	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
Georgia	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
Hawaii	1.0%	0.4%	279.9%	0	0	0
Idaho	5.0%	1.6%	313.4%	0	0	0
Illinois	1.0%	0.2%	475.5%	0	0	0
Indiana	3.0%	0.4%	775.8%	0	0	0
lowa	1.0%	0.5%	199.7%	0	0	0
Kansas	1.0%	1.0%	102.1%	0	0	0
Kentucky	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Louisiana	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
Maine	4.0%	1.0%	418.1%	0	0	0
Maryland	1.0%	0.5%	219.8%	0	0	0
Massachusetts	1.0%	0.4%	229.5%	0	0	0
Michigan	1.0%	0.7%	137.7%	0	0	0
Minnesota	5.0%	1.6%	317.2%	0	0	0
Mississippi	1.0%	0.5%	216.4%	0	0	0
Missouri	3.0%	0.6%	534.6%	0	0	0
Montana	11.0%	7.3%	149.8%	0	0	0
Nebraska	3.0%	1.0%	303.8%	0	0	0
Nevada	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
New						
Hampshire	2.0%	0.3%	794.6%	0	0	0
New Jersey	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
New Mexico	13.0%	10.9%	119.4%	0	0	0
New York	2.0%	0.5%	365.2%	0	0	0
North Carolina	5.0%	1.9%	261.5%	0	0	0

	66650	C				
	SCSEP Percent	Census Percent				Less
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Than
	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
North Dakota	11.0%	6.5%	169.8%	0	0	0
Ohio	1.0%	0.3%	357.5%	0	0	0
Oklahoma	16.0%	7.1%	225.9%	0	0	0
Oregon	3.0%	1.5%	205.8%	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	1.0%	0.2%	461.9%	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Rhode Island	1.0%	0.5%	206.3%	0	0	0
South Carolina	1.0%	0.3%	379.4%	0	0	0
South Dakota	27.0%	11.1%	244.1%	0	0	0
Tennessee	1.0%	0.3%	339.1%	0	0	0
Texas	1.0%	0.6%	167.6%	0	0	0
Utah	3.0%	2.7%	112.6%	0	0	0
Vermont	3.0%	0.7%	447.4%	0	0	0
Virginia	1.0%	0.4%	237.8%	0	0	0
Washington	5.0%	2.1%	238.9%	0	0	0
West Virginia	1.0%	0.3%	350.9%	0	0	0
Wisconsin	7.0%	1.0%	688.3%	0	0	0
Wyoming	43.0%	3.0%	1422.4%	0	0	0
State						
Grantees	2.8%	1.1%	261.5%	9	9	9
Nationwide	2.0%	1.1%	186.9%	11	11	11

	liees by Pach	le Islandel				
	SCSEP Percent	Census Percent			_	Less
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Than
	Islander	Islander	Difference*	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AARP	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ANPPM	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Easter Seals	1.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Experience						
Works	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Goodwill	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
IID	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Mature						
Services	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ABLE	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NAPCA	1.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NCBA	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NCOA	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NICOA	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Urban League	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
QCS	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
SER	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
SSAI	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
VATD	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
TWI	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
National						
Grantees	0.26%	0.00%	1639378%	0	0	0

 Table 6: Grantees by Pacific Islander

\*Due to the very small census counts for Pacific Islander, there are no practically significant differences to report.

	SCSEP	Census				
	Percent	Percent	Deveent	1 <b>T</b> h	C::f:t	Less
	Pacific Islander	Pacific Islander	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Than 80%, Sig
Alabama	0.00%	Islanuer			(P<05)	_
Alabama			N/A	0		0
Alaska	0.00%	0.020/	N/A	0	0	0
Arizona	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
Arkansas	0.00%	0.070/	N/A	0	0	0
California	1.00%	0.27%	366.57%	0	0	0
Colorado	0.00%	0.06%	0.00%	1	1	1
Connecticut	0.00%	4	N/A	0	0	0
Delaware	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
District of	/	/				
Columbia	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Florida	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	1	0	0
Georgia	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	1	0	0
Hawaii	22.00%	9.19%	239.27%	0	0	0
Idaho	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Illinois	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Indiana	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
lowa	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Kansas	2.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Kentucky	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Louisiana	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Maine	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Maryland	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Massachusetts	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
Michigan	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
Minnesota	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Mississippi	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Missouri	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Montana	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Nebraska	1.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Nevada	2.00%	0.26%	758.15%	0	0	0
New						
Hampshire	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
New Jersey	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%	1	0	0
, New Mexico	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
New York	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%	1	0	0
North Carolina	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0

	SCSEP Percent	Census Percent				Less
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Than
	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
North Dakota	1.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Ohio	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Oklahoma	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Oregon	0.00%	0.11%	0.00%	1	1	1
Pennsylvania	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Rhode Island	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
South Carolina	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
South Dakota	1.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Tennessee	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Texas	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%	1	1	1
Utah	0.00%	1.29%	0.00%	1	1	1
Vermont	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Virginia	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Washington	1.00%	0.30%	329.92%	0	0	0
West Virginia	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Wisconsin	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Wyoming	1.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
State						
Grantees	1.28%	0.96%	133.03%	11	4	4
Nationwide	1.00%	0.96%	104.17%	11	4	4

# Appendix B. Participation Tables, National Grantees by State

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
AARP	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	53.0%	24.9%	212.6%	0	0	0
AZ	35.0%	36.6%	95.6%	0	1	0
CA	55.4%	54.6%	101.4%	0	0	0
со	49.1%	30.6%	160.4%	0	0	0
FL	50.4%	43.0%	117.3%	0	0	0
GA	92.3%	45.3%	203.6%	0	0	0
IA	26.4%	7.1%	374.6%	0	0	0
IL	68.9%	40.4%	170.6%	0	0	0
IN	34.9%	17.7%	196.9%	0	0	0
КҮ	89.0%	10.9%	815.8%	0	0	0
LA	92.4%	47.6%	194.3%	0	0	0
MI	44.7%	27.0%	165.6%	0	0	0
МО	83.1%	19.1%	435.4%	0	0	0
NV	41.9%	32.1%	130.7%	0	0	0
NY	83.4%	48.6%	171.7%	0	0	0
ОН	63.3%	23.1%	274.4%	0	0	0
ОК	54.3%	26.2%	207.3%	0	0	0
PA	55.1%	23.6%	233.7%	0	0	0
PR	100.0%	99.5%	100.5%	0	0	0
SC	63.4%	45.3%	139.9%	0	0	0
ТХ	81.3%	58.9%	138.1%	0	0	0
VA	86.9%	36.6%	237.2%	0	0	0
WA	30.3%	22.5%	134.7%	0	0	0
Total	38.5%	45.7%	84.4%	0	1	0

AARP: Minorities Overall

### AARP: Hispanic

AARP	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	1.1%	2.2%	49.1%	1	1	1
AZ	12.4%	23.1%	53.8%	1	1	1
CA	31.1%	29.1%	106.8%	0	0	0
СО	15.9%	20.8%	76.8%	1	1	1
FL	24.0%	24.3%	98.7%	0	0	0
GA	0.5%	3.4%	14.1%	1	1	1
IA	2.3%	1.6%	149.7%	0	0	0
IL	0.0%	9.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
IN	3.1%	3.1%	101.2%	0	0	0
КҮ	3.8%	0.9%	413.3%	0	0	0
LA	2.4%	1.8%	132.5%	0	0	0
MI	2.6%	2.7%	94.5%	0	0	0
МО	1.6%	1.6%	103.3%	0	0	0
NV	11.4%	13.2%	85.8%	0	0	0
NY	31.1%	20.6%	151.1%	0	0	0
ОН	1.9%	2.1%	90.0%	0	0	0
ОК	2.3%	4.1%	55.3%	1	1	1
PA	11.8%	5.3%	223.3%	0	0	0
PR	100.0%	99.2%	100.8%	0	0	0
SC	1.9%	1.9%	98.1%	0	0	0
ТХ	54.5%	39.3%	138.6%	0	0	0
VA	0.9%	2.7%	32.0%	1	1	1
WA	5.6%	6.1%	92.2%	0	0	0
Total	20.7%	24.6%	84.1%	7	7	7

AARP: Bla	ICK	1	1	[	[	<b>I</b> 1
AARP	SCSEP Percent Black	Population Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	51.1%	20.2%	253.4%	0	0	0
AZ	19.3%	3.6%	535.6%	0	0	0
CA	21.8%	8.2%	266.5%	0	0	0
СО	31.5%	5.4%	578.6%	0	0	0
FL	26.2%	16.9%	155.4%	0	0	0
GA	89.1%	38.9%	229.3%	0	0	0
IA	20.9%	3.3%	635.1%	0	0	0
IL	67.1%	25.9%	258.8%	0	0	0
IN	31.7%	12.3%	257.8%	0	0	0
КҮ	87.1%	8.3%	1044.4%	0	0	0
LA	90.5%	43.8%	206.5%	0	0	0
MI	40.7%	20.7%	196.7%	0	0	0
MO	79.5%	14.7%	539.9%	0	0	0
NV	23.8%	9.0%	263.7%	0	0	0
NY	48.4%	19.2%	251.8%	0	0	0
OH	61.0%	18.9%	322.7%	0	0	0
ОК	44.0%	9.2%	479.1%	0	0	0
PA	43.1%	15.8%	272.9%	0	0	0
PR	8.4%	7.4%	113.2%	0	0	0
SC	61.1%	42.0%	145.6%	0	0	0
ТХ	25.8%	15.6%	165.1%	0	0	0
VA	85.3%	29.5%	289.4%	0	0	0
WA	20.3%	4.5%	456.8%	0	0	0
Total	39.5%	16.4%	240.3%	0	0	0

#### AARP: Black

AARP: Asia	in					
AARP	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
AZ	0.7%	2.1%	30.6%	1	1	1
СА	1.9%	15.1%	12.8%	1	1	1
СО	1.5%	2.5%	61.4%	1	0	0
FL	0.8%	1.5%	50.0%	1	1	1
GA	2.2%	2.3%	92.9%	0	0	0
IA	0.6%	0.6%	105.6%	0	0	0
IL	0.9%	3.9%	22.6%	1	1	1
IN	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
КҮ	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
LA	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
MI	0.7%	1.6%	40.9%	1	1	1
MO	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
NV	3.7%	6.2%	59.6%	1	1	1
NY	5.0%	8.8%	57.6%	1	1	1
ОН	0.6%	0.9%	61.4%	1	0	0
ОК	1.6%	1.0%	150.6%	0	0	0
PA	0.6%	2.0%	27.9%	1	1	1
PR	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
SC	0.2%	0.7%	30.4%	1	1	1
TX	1.0%	3.0%	34.1%	1	1	1
VA	0.7%	3.3%	22.1%	1	1	1
WA	3.6%	7.7%	46.5%	1	1	1
Total	1.3%	3.8%	32.9%	20	18	18

## AARP: Asian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Demonst	Less Then	Circlificant	Loss Then
AARP	American Indian	American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	1.1%	0.7%	155.2%	0	0	0070, 51g
AZ	2.6%	7.5%	34.8%	1	1	1
CA	2.8%	1.0%	269.3%	0	0	0
СО	0.8%	1.2%	61.9%	1	0	0
FL	0.4%	0.3%	148.2%	0	0	0
GA	0.5%	0.3%	189.0%	0	0	0
IA	2.1%	0.5%	425.8%	0	0	0
IL	0.9%	0.2%	417.1%	0	0	0
IN	0.4%	0.4%	109.3%	0	0	0
КҮ	1.0%	0.2%	468.9%	0	0	0
LA	0.5%	0.6%	85.5%	0	0	0
MI	0.7%	0.7%	92.7%	0	0	0
МО	2.1%	0.6%	381.2%	0	0	0
NV	2.1%	1.7%	118.4%	0	0	0
NY	0.6%	0.5%	100.8%	0	0	0
ОН	0.1%	0.3%	51.6%	1	0	0
ОК	6.1%	7.1%	85.9%	0	0	0
PA	0.5%	0.2%	218.9%	0	0	0
PR	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
SC	0.8%	0.3%	312.2%	0	0	0
ТХ	0.4%	0.6%	72.7%	1	0	0
VA	0.4%	0.4%	104.1%	0	0	0
WA	1.6%	2.1%	77.1%	1	0	0
Total	1.0%	0.6%	158.3%	6	2	2

AARP: Paci	fic Islander	r				1
	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
AARP	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
AZ	0.33%	0.03%	1119.17%	0	0	0
CA	0.42%	0.27%	152.74%	0	0	0
СО	0.19%	0.06%	300.84%	0	0	0
FL	0.03%	0.02%	115.13%	0	0	0
GA	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	1	0	0
IA	0.43%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
IL	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
IN	0.14%		N/A	0	0	0
КҮ	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
LA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
MI	0.34%	0.03%	1280.23%	0	0	0
MO	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
NV	1.24%	0.26%	469.93%	0	0	0
NY	0.24%	0.04%	614.53%	0	0	0
ОН	0.14%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ОК	0.70%		N/A	0	0	0
PA	0.09%		N/A	0	0	0
PR	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
SC	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
тх	0.11%	0.05%	215.70%	0	0	0
VA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
WA	0.50%	0.30%	163.73%	0	0	0
Total	0.18%	0.00%	1828898%	1	0	0

# AARP: Pacific Islander

#### ANPPM: Minorities Overall

		-	1	1	1	
ANPPM	SCSEP Percent Minority Overall	Population Percent Minority Overall	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AZ	72.8%	36.6%	199.0%	0	0	0
CA	85.0%	54.6%	155.6%	0	0	0
DC	93.2%	89.9%	103.7%	0	0	0
LA	70.9%	47.6%	149.1%	0	0	0
PA	96.8%	23.6%	410.9%	0	0	0
Total	91.5%	50.5%	181.1%	0	0	0

#### ANPPM: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ANPPM	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	67.4%	23.1%	292.2%	0	0	0
CA	71.3%	29.1%	244.6%	0	0	0
DC	50.0%	5.1%	981.2%	0	0	0
LA	0.6%	1.8%	30.1%	1	1	1
PA	89.8%	5.3%	1692.6%	0	0	0
Total	59.3%	25.0%	236.6%	1	1	1

#### ANPPM: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ANPPM	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	4.6%	3.6%	127.7%	0	0	0
CA	11.2%	8.2%	136.8%	0	0	0
DC	51.1%	81.4%	62.9%	1	1	1
LA	70.1%	43.8%	159.9%	0	0	0
PA	10.5%	15.8%	66.6%	1	1	1
Total	23.1%	10.8%	214.1%	2	2	2

#### ANPPM: Asian

ANPPM	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AZ	0.4%	2.1%	17.9%	1	1	1
СА	2.4%	15.1%	15.6%	1	1	1
DC	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
LA	0.3%	0.7%	37.8%	1	0	0
PA	0.7%	2.0%	34.5%	1	1	1
Total	1.4%	12.5%	10.8%	5	4	4

#### ANPPM: American Indian

ANPPM	SCSEP Percent American Indian	Population Percent American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AZ	1.1%	7.5%	15.3%	1	1	1
CA	1.0%	1.0%	93.9%	0	0	0
DC	1.1%	0.5%	237.2%	0	0	0
LA	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.7%	1.2%	56.0%	3	3	3

#### ANPPM: Pacific Islander

ANPPM	SCSEP Percent Pacific Islander	Population Percent Pacific Islander	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AZ	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
CA	0.00%	0.27%	0.00%	1	1	1
DC	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
LA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
PA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2	1	1

	SCSEP	Population				
<b>F</b> astan	Percent	Percent	Devee	Lass These	Ciewifi e e e t	Less These
Easter	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Seals	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	70.9%	39.4%	179.7%	0	0	0
СТ	64.0%	34.4%	186.4%	0	0	0
IL	92.0%	40.4%	228.1%	0	0	0
NJ	78.5%	44.0%	178.4%	0	0	0
NY	82.4%	48.6%	169.5%	0	0	0
OR	20.4%	13.6%	150.0%	0	0	0
UT	30.2%	20.0%	150.7%	0	0	0
Total	62.1%	43.8%	141.9%	0	0	0

Easter Seals: Minorities Overall

## Easter Seals: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
Easter	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Seals	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
СТ	20.8%	16.8%	124.3%	0	0	0
IL	37.2%	9.9%	374.5%	0	0	0
NJ	30.8%	19.1%	161.7%	0	0	0
NY	19.2%	20.6%	93.2%	0	0	0
OR	7.8%	5.3%	147.7%	0	0	0
UT	12.6%	10.8%	116.5%	0	0	0
Total	19.3%	16.7%	115.8%	1	1	1

#### Easter Seals: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
Easter	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Seals	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	69.8%	36.5%	191.1%	0	0	0
СТ	44.5%	14.4%	309.3%	0	0	0
IL	54.3%	25.9%	209.6%	0	0	0
NJ	39.6%	19.1%	207.2%	0	0	0
NY	63.7%	19.2%	331.0%	0	0	0
OR	8.6%	2.3%	369.8%	0	0	0
UT	9.2%	1.5%	618.1%	0	0	0
Total	42.5%	20.0%	212.5%	0	0	0

## Easter Seals: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
Easter	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Seals	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1	1	1
СТ	0.6%	2.5%	25.6%	1	1	1
IL	1.0%	3.9%	24.9%	1	1	1
NJ	8.4%	5.4%	155.2%	0	0	0
NY	1.7%	8.8%	18.8%	1	1	1
OR	2.3%	2.8%	81.6%	0	0	0
UT	4.4%	3.1%	144.3%	0	0	0
Total	3.1%	6.6%	46.8%	4	4	4

#### SCSEP Population Percent Percent Less Than Significant Easter American American Percent Less Than Seals Indian Indian Difference 80% (P<=.05) 80%, Sig 1.4% 0.7% 188.5% 0 0 0 AL 0 СТ 2.8% 0.4% 694.3% 0 0 1 IL 0.0% 0.2% 0.0% 1 1 0 0 NJ 1.4% 0.3% 442.4% 0 0 0 0 NY 1.0% 0.5% 181.0% OR 1.1% 1.5% 78.8% 1 0 0 0 0 0 UT 4.1% 2.7% 154.2% Total 1.7% 0.7% 251.0% 2 1 1

### Easter Seals: American Indian

### Easter Seals: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
Easter	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Seals	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
СТ	0.63%		N/A	0	0	0
IL	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
NJ	0.77%	0.04%	1830.24%	0	0	0
NY	0.66%	0.04%	1716.35%	0	0	0
OR	1.15%	0.11%	1067.25%	0	0	0
UT	0.63%	1.29%	48.88%	1	1	1
Total	0.58%	0.00%	8153729%	1	1	1

#### **EW: Minorities Overall** SCSEP Population Percent Percent Less Than Less Than Significant Minority Minority Percent EW Overall Overall Difference 80% (P<=.05) 80%, Sig AR 24.4% 24.9% 97.8% 0 0 0 CA 54.6% 41.6% 1 1 1 0 1 0 FL 37.1% 43.0% 86.2% 0 0 0 GA 69.0% 45.3% 152.3% 0 0 0 IA 8.6% 7.1% 122.5% ID 12.9% 0 0 0 11.1% 116.2% IL 21.5% 40.4% 53.2% 1 1 1 IN 1 1 8.7% 17.7% 49.3% 1 0 0 KΥ 20.4% 10.9% 187.1% 0 0 0 0 LA 66.3% 47.6% 139.5% 1 1 1 MI 12.6% 27.0% 46.9% 1 1 MN 7.9% 14.6% 53.8% 1 0 1 0 MO 15.8% 19.1% 82.8% 0 MS 57.4% 50.9% 112.7% 0 0 MT 17.3% 11.4% 152.0% 0 0 0 ND 22.8% 8.3% 273.8% 0 0 0 21.2% 13.5% 156.5% 0 0 0 NE 44.0% 133.6% 0 0 0 NJ 58.8% NY 70.6% 1 1 1 34.3% 48.6% 0 0 0 OH 30.0% 23.1% 130.0% ОК 25.9% 26.2% 0 0 99.0% 0 1 1 OR 7.0% 13.6% 51.4% 1 PA 8.6% 23.6% 36.5% 1 1 1 0 96.6% 99.5% 97.1% 0 1 PR 0 0 0 SC 68.5% 45.3% 151.3% 127.3% 0 0 0 17.2% SD 13.5% 24.5% 58.9% 41.7% 1 1 1 TΧ 7.6% 36.6% 20.8% 1 1 1 VA WI 6.9% 14.7% 47.3% 1 1 1 WV 20.4% 5.6% 360.9% 0 0 0 WY 11.0% 0 0 0 10.6% 103.6% 41.1% 42.4% 97.0% 11 14 11 Total

F\//۰	Hispa	nic
LVV.	пізра	nic

EW: Hispar						
EW	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	1.1%	2.2%	49.5%	1	1	1
СА	6.2%	29.1%	21.4%	1	0	0
FL	6.7%	24.3%	27.7%	1	1	1
GA	1.4%	3.4%	41.3%	1	1	1
IA	1.8%	1.6%	112.9%	0	0	0
ID	5.6%	6.8%	81.8%	0	0	0
IL	1.9%	9.9%	19.2%	1	1	1
IN	1.5%	3.1%	49.3%	1	1	1
КҮ	0.4%	0.9%	42.1%	1	1	1
LA	0.7%	1.8%	39.2%	1	1	1
MI	2.1%	2.7%	77.2%	1	0	0
MN	2.5%	2.6%	97.0%	0	0	0
МО	1.2%	1.6%	76.2%	1	0	0
MS	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
MT	1.8%	1.2%	146.5%	0	0	0
ND	1.2%	0.5%	241.3%	0	0	0
NE	3.1%	4.3%	71.6%	1	0	0
NJ	6.3%	19.1%	33.0%	1	1	1
NY	3.8%	20.6%	18.7%	1	1	1
ОН	2.6%	2.1%	126.7%	0	0	0
ОК	0.6%	4.1%	15.1%	1	1	1
OR	1.3%	5.3%	24.2%	1	1	1
PA	1.0%	5.3%	18.0%	1	1	1
PR	96.6%	99.2%	97.3%	0	1	0
SC	1.4%	1.9%	76.1%	1	0	0
SD	0.8%	0.8%	101.5%	0	0	0
ТХ	4.6%	39.3%	11.8%	1	1	1
VA	1.0%	2.7%	34.8%	1	1	1
WI	1.3%	2.7%	47.7%	1	1	1
WV	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
WY	6.4%	5.6%	114.2%	0	0	0
Total	5.2%	20.3%	25.6%	22	18	17

## EW: Black

1						
	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
EW	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	21.7%	20.2%	107.6%	0	0	0
CA	8.8%	8.2%	107.1%	0	0	0
FL	27.7%	16.9%	164.4%	0	0	0
GA	67.5%	38.9%	173.7%	0	0	0
IA	4.7%	3.3%	143.3%	0	0	0
ID	1.5%	0.3%	535.9%	0	0	0
IL	18.4%	25.9%	70.8%	1	1	1
IN	5.9%	12.3%	47.8%	1	1	1
КҮ	12.9%	8.3%	154.4%	0	0	0
LA	65.1%	43.8%	148.6%	0	0	0
MI	8.4%	20.7%	40.7%	1	1	1
MN	1.6%	6.4%	24.5%	1	1	1
MO	9.3%	14.7%	63.3%	1	1	1
MS	57.0%	48.5%	117.6%	0	0	0
MT	1.6%	0.2%	933.8%	0	0	0
ND	2.0%	0.5%	438.8%	0	0	0
NE	13.4%	5.7%	236.1%	0	0	0
NJ	49.9%	19.1%	261.1%	0	0	0
NY	29.1%	19.2%	151.1%	0	0	0
ОН	26.4%	18.9%	139.6%	0	0	0
ОК	9.3%	9.2%	100.8%	0	0	0
OR	2.4%	2.3%	103.7%	0	0	0
PA	5.4%	15.8%	33.9%	1	1	1
PR	11.5%	7.4%	155.8%	0	0	0
SC	67.2%	42.0%	160.2%	0	0	0
SD	1.9%	0.9%	205.9%	0	0	0
ТХ	18.4%	15.6%	117.8%	0	0	0
VA	5.7%	29.5%	19.4%	1	1	1
WI	2.8%	8.8%	31.2%	1	1	1
WV	17.6%	3.3%	535.0%	0	0	0
WY	2.1%	0.4%	460.1%	0	0	0
Total	18.9%	17.1%	110.3%	8	8	8

EW: Asian						
EW	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	0.2%	0.7%	32.4%	1	1	1
CA	2.7%	15.1%	17.7%	1	1	1
FL	0.4%	1.5%	23.4%	1	1	1
GA	0.1%	2.3%	4.0%	1	1	1
IA	1.2%	0.6%	194.6%	0	0	0
ID	3.9%	1.0%	373.2%	0	0	0
IL	0.5%	3.9%	12.3%	1	1	1
IN	0.3%	0.9%	33.0%	1	1	1
КҮ	0.5%	0.5%	103.6%	0	0	0
LA	0.2%	0.7%	32.8%	1	1	1
MI	0.5%	1.6%	28.4%	1	1	1
MN	0.5%	3.3%	15.7%	1	1	1
MO	0.3%	0.9%	37.8%	1	1	1
MS	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
MT	0.5%	0.8%	66.7%	1	0	0
ND	0.8%	0.1%	965.8%	0	0	0
NE	0.4%	1.6%	21.9%	1	1	1
NJ	2.4%	5.4%	44.6%	1	1	1
NY	0.5%	8.8%	6.3%	1	1	1
ОН	0.5%	0.9%	51.1%	1	0	0
ОК	0.3%	1.0%	29.7%	1	1	1
OR	1.0%	2.8%	36.1%	1	1	1
PA	1.1%	2.0%	56.3%	1	1	1
PR	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
SC	0.3%	0.7%	42.5%	1	0	0
SD	0.8%		N/A	0	0	0
ТХ	0.2%	3.0%	5.9%	1	1	1
VA	0.5%	3.3%	14.4%	1	1	1
WI	0.3%	1.5%	17.0%	1	1	1
WV	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
WY	0.7%	0.0%	N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.6%	4.0%	15.5%	25	22	22

EW: Amer	ican Indian	1	1		1	
EW	SCSEP Percent American Indian	Population Percent American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	1.2%	0.7%	172.2%	0	0	0
CA	3.7%	1.0%	360.2%	0	0	0
FL	3.5%	0.3%	1213.6%	0	0	0
GA	0.1%	0.3%	37.0%	1	1	1
IA	1.0%	0.5%	196.1%	0	0	0
ID	2.1%	1.6%	134.5%	0	0	0
IL	0.7%	0.2%	340.1%	0	0	0
IN	1.1%	0.4%	273.0%	0	0	0
KY	6.6%	0.2%	3249.4%	0	0	0
LA	0.2%	0.6%	37.9%	1	0	0
MI	1.9%	0.7%	258.0%	0	0	0
MN	3.5%	1.6%	224.5%	0	0	0
МО	5.4%	0.6%	954.8%	0	0	0
MS	0.3%	0.5%	74.3%	1	0	0
MT	13.3%	7.3%	181.7%	0	0	0
ND	18.8%	6.5%	290.8%	0	0	0
NE	4.7%	1.0%	476.1%	0	0	0
NJ	0.5%	0.3%	155.2%	0	0	0
NY	1.2%	0.5%	220.2%	0	0	0
ОН	0.8%	0.3%	300.4%	0	0	0
ОК	15.6%	7.1%	220.1%	0	0	0
OR	1.8%	1.5%	122.2%	0	0	0
PA	1.1%	0.2%	529.9%	0	0	0
PR	0.2%	0.2%	83.1%	0	0	0
SC	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
SD	13.7%	11.1%	124.1%	0	0	0
TX	1.5%	0.6%	249.7%	0	0	0
VA	0.5%	0.4%	113.2%	0	0	0
WI	2.8%	1.0%	270.6%	0	0	0
WV	3.2%	0.3%	1137.1%	0	0	0
WY	1.8%	3.0%	60.4%	1	0	0
Total	3.5%	0.7%	515.6%	5	2	2

### EW: American Indian

EW: Pacifi	c Islander					
	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
EW	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.21%	0.02%	1378.55%	0	0	0
CA	1.93%	0.27%	708.08%	0	0	0
FL	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	1	0	0
GA	0.47%	0.02%	2204.34%	0	0	0
IA	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ID	0.43%		N/A	0	0	0
IL	0.24%		N/A	0	0	0
IN	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
КҮ	0.13%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
LA	0.24%		N/A	0	0	0
MI	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
MN	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
МО	0.07%		N/A	0	0	0
MS	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
MT	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ND	0.20%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NE	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
NJ	0.24%	0.04%	577.88%	0	0	0
NY	0.22%	0.04%	569.61%	0	0	0
ОН	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ОК	0.31%		N/A	0	0	0
OR	0.76%	0.11%	708.78%	0	0	0
PA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
PR	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
SC	0.29%		N/A	0	0	0
SD	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ТΧ	0.18%	0.05%	348.48%	0	0	0
VA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
WI	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
WV	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
WY	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.20%	0.00%	2873641%	2	0	0

# Goodwill: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	55.6%	36.6%	152.1%	0	0	0
IN	71.5%	17.7%	402.9%	0	0	0
NM	57.0%	60.2%	94.6%	0	0	0
PA	56.8%	23.6%	240.9%	0	0	0
VA	37.7%	36.6%	102.8%	0	0	0
WA	27.0%	22.5%	120.2%	0	0	0
Total	35.7%	29.2%	122.1%	0	0	0

# Goodwill: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	31.0%	23.1%	134.2%	0	0	0
IN	0.6%	3.1%	20.1%	1	1	1
NM	46.2%	46.5%	99.3%	0	0	0
PA	2.4%	5.3%	44.6%	1	1	1
VA	0.8%	2.7%	28.2%	1	1	1
WA	5.6%	6.1%	93.3%	0	0	0
Total	65.1%	8.4%	777.4%	3	3	3

#### Goodwill: Black

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
Goodwill	DIUCK	DIGCK	Difference	0070	(1 <=.05)	0070, 518
AZ	16.7%	3.6%	464.9%	0	0	0
IN	69.6%	12.3%	566.2%	0	0	0
NM	5.3%	2.1%	255.6%	0	0	0
PA	52.5%	15.8%	332.0%	0	0	0
VA	35.9%	29.5%	121.7%	0	0	0
WA	11.1%	4.5%	248.9%	0	0	0
Total	197.6%	15.4%	1281.3%	0	0	0

#### Goodwill: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	3.3%	2.1%	156.5%	0	0	0
IN	0.6%	0.9%	67.4%	1	0	0
NM	0.7%	1.0%	68.8%	1	0	0
PA	1.9%	2.0%	95.0%	0	0	0
VA	0.3%	3.3%	7.8%	1	1	1
WA	6.9%	7.7%	88.5%	0	0	0
Total	11.9%	3.0%	403.1%	3	1	1

# Goodwill: American Indian

		-				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Goodwill	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	5.0%	7.5%	66.9%	1	0	0
IN	0.6%	0.4%	159.3%	0	0	0
NM	4.4%	10.9%	40.1%	1	1	1
PA	0.4%	0.2%	198.7%	0	0	0
VA	1.0%	0.4%	244.5%	0	0	0
WA	3.8%	2.1%	183.0%	0	0	0
Total	12.7%	1.8%	709.4%	2	1	1

### Goodwill: Pacific Islander

Goodwill	SCSEP Percent Pacific Islander	Population Percent Pacific Islander	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
Goodwill	Islanuel	Islanuel		00/0	(PN=.03)	0010, Jig
AZ	1.26%	0.03%	4298.73%	0	0	0
IN	0.21%		N/A	0	0	0
NM	0.92%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
PA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
VA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
WA	0.20%	0.30%	66.52%	1	0	0
Total	1.81%	0.00%	7133046%	1	0	0

### **IID: Minorities Overall**

-			0			
	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	47.5%	24.9%	190.8%	0	0	0
LA	53.2%	47.6%	112.0%	0	0	0
MS	56.6%	50.9%	111.2%	0	0	0
Total	159.4%	6823985.4%	378.1%	0	0	0

IID: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	3.0%	2.2%	137.7%	0	0	0
LA	1.9%	1.8%	105.8%	0	0	0
MS	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	1.9%	1.8%	110.5%	1	1	1

IID: Black

IID	SCSEP Percent Black	Population Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than
	BIACK	BIACK		80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	40.6%	20.2%	201.4%	0	0	0
LA	35.7%	43.8%	81.5%	0	1	0
MS	54.7%	48.5%	112.8%	0	0	0
Total	40.6%	38.4%	105.7%	0	1	0

### IID: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
LA	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
MS	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	3	3	3

### IID: American Indian

	SCSEP Percent American	Population Percent American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	4.0%	0.7%	580.2%	0	0	0
LA	16.9%	0.6%	2663.0%	0	0	0
MS	1.9%	0.5%	408.2%	0	0	0
Total	10.1%	0.6%	1623.9%	0	0	0

### IID: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent Pacific	Population Percent Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
IID	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
LA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
MS	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0

# Mature Services: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population						
	Percent	Percent						
Mature	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than		
Services	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig		
ОН	45.0%	23.1%	194.9%	0	0	0		
Total	57.3%	23.1%	248.3%	0	0	0		

# Mature Services: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
Mature	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Services	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
ОН	2.3%	2.1%	111.5%	0	0	0
Total	2.3%	2.1%	111.5%	0	0	0

# Mature Services: Black

	SCSEP					
Mature	Percent	Population	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Services	Black	Percent Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
ОН	43.2%	18.9%	228.5%	0	0	0
Total	43.2%	18.9%	228.5%	0	0	0

# Mature Services: Asian

		-				
	SCSEP	Population				
Mature	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Services	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
ОН	0.4%	0.9%	41.2%	1	1	1
Total	0.4%	0.9%	41.2%	1	1	1

## Mature Services: American Indian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent						
Mature	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than		
Services	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig		
ОН	0.2%	0.3%	83.1%	0	0	0		
Total	0.2%	0.3%	83.1%	0	0	0		

Mature Services: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
Mature	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
Services	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
ОН	0.08%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.08%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0

## ABLE: Minorities Overall

ABLE	SCSEP Percent Minority Overall	Population Percent Minority Overall	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
ADLL	Overall	Overall		80%	(FN=.05)	00 <i>%</i> , 31g
MA	33.9%	27.5%	123.2%	0	0	0
ME	7.2%	4.2%	174.0%	0	0	0
NH	7.7%	3.8%	203.4%	0	0	0
Total	99.2%	20.0%	496.5%	0	0	0

### ABLE: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ABLE	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MA	14.5%	11.7%	124.5%	0	0	0
ME	1.4%	0.6%	240.5%	0	0	0
NH	1.3%	1.4%	90.8%	0	0	0
Total	5.4%	8.2%	65.9%	0	0	0

#### ABLE: Black

	SCSEP	Population	Dereest	Less Then	Circuificant	Loss Then
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ABLE	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MA	14.5%	8.9%	163.1%	0	0	0
ME	4.3%	0.5%	898.5%	0	0	0
NH	2.6%	0.7%	371.3%	0	0	0
Total	6.9%	6.2%	110.9%	0	0	0

### ABLE: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ABLE	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MA	8.6%	5.8%	149.0%	0	0	0
ME	0.2%	0.8%	29.9%	1	1	1
NH	1.5%	1.0%	158.1%	0	0	0
Total	3.2%	4.2%	77.4%	1	1	1

### ABLE: American Indian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ABLE	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MA	1.3%	0.4%	308.4%	0	0	0
ME	2.0%	1.0%	212.8%	0	0	0
NH	2.6%	0.3%	1021.3%	0	0	0
Total	2.0%	0.5%	380.7%	0	0	0

## ABLE: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
ABLE	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MA	0.27%	0.03%	834.84%	0	0	0
ME	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NH	0.26%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.17%	0.00%	1534679%	0	0	0

# NAPCA: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	80.8%	54.6%	147.8%	0	0	0
IL	89.6%	40.4%	222.0%	0	0	0
MA	93.1%	27.5%	338.6%	0	0	0
NY	94.3%	48.6%	193.9%	0	0	0
PA	92.0%	23.6%	390.2%	0	0	0
ТΧ	93.1%	58.9%	158.1%	0	0	0
WA	93.5%	22.5%	415.8%	0	0	0
Total	352.9%	50.6%	697.3%	0	0	0

# NAPCA: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	4.6%	29.1%	15.9%	1	1	1
IL	2.6%	9.9%	26.3%	1	1	1
MA	1.1%	11.7%	9.9%	1	1	1
NY	1.6%	20.6%	8.0%	1	1	1
PA	1.8%	5.3%	33.6%	1	1	1
тх	2.3%	39.3%	5.9%	1	1	1
WA	0.9%	6.1%	15.4%	1	1	1
Total	2.9%	26.2%	11.2%	7	7	7

### NAPCA: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.3%	8.2%	16.2%	1	1	1
IL	2.6%	25.9%	10.1%	1	1	1
MA	3.4%	8.9%	38.7%	1	1	1
NY	0.8%	19.2%	4.3%	1	1	1
PA	31.3%	15.8%	197.7%	0	0	0
ТХ	6.9%	15.6%	44.3%	1	1	1
WA	2.8%	4.5%	62.9%	1	0	0
Total	5.3%	11.6%	46.0%	6	5	5

# NAPCA: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	73.1%	15.1%	482.5%	0	0	0
IL	85.2%	3.9%	2193.7%	0	0	0
MA	89.7%	5.8%	1552.6%	0	0	0
NY	91.8%	8.8%	1047.0%	0	0	0
PA	59.8%	2.0%	2937.2%	0	0	0
ТΧ	83.8%	3.0%	2825.6%	0	0	0
WA	88.8%	7.7%	1146.8%	0	0	0
Total	79.0%	11.2%	705.5%	0	0	0

#### NAPCA: American Indian

NAPCA	SCSEP Percent American Indian	Population Percent American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CA	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
IL	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
MA	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	1	1	1
NY	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
ТХ	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
WA	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	7	7	7

## NAPCA: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NAPCA	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.99%	0.27%	728.28%	0	0	0
IL	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
MA	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
NY	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%	1	0	0
PA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
ТХ	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%	1	1	1
WA	1.87%	0.30%	616.68%	0	0	0
Total	0.98%	0.00%	4612734%	3	1	1

### NCCBA: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCBA	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	86.7%	24.9%	347.9%	0	0	0
DC	98.5%	89.9%	109.6%	0	0	0
FL	33.2%	43.0%	77.2%	1	1	1
IL	98.0%	40.4%	242.8%	0	0	0
MI	96.1%	27.0%	356.2%	0	0	0
MS	96.5%	50.9%	189.6%	0	0	0
NC	80.3%	37.1%	216.6%	0	0	0
ОН	92.8%	23.1%	402.0%	0	0	0
PA	95.4%	23.6%	405.0%	0	0	0
Total	109.1%	35.6%	306.5%	1	1	1

### NCCBA: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCBA	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
DC	2.2%	5.1%	43.6%	1	1	1
FL	1.1%	24.3%	4.4%	1	1	1
IL	9.5%	9.9%	96.1%	0	0	0
MI	3.1%	2.7%	112.8%	0	0	0
MS	0.3%	0.8%	35.5%	1	0	0
NC	1.5%	2.6%	56.1%	1	1	1
ОН	2.1%	2.1%	98.6%	0	0	0
PA	1.7%	5.3%	32.2%	1	1	1
Total	2.0%	10.8%	18.6%	6	5	5

### NCCBA: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCBA	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	86.7%	20.2%	430.1%	0	0	0
DC	97.0%	81.4%	119.3%	0	0	0
FL	30.8%	16.9%	182.7%	0	0	0
IL	87.9%	25.9%	339.2%	0	0	0
MI	92.4%	20.7%	446.4%	0	0	0
MS	96.5%	48.5%	199.0%	0	0	0
NC	77.0%	30.8%	249.9%	0	0	0
ОН	89.0%	18.9%	470.8%	0	0	0
PA	92.9%	15.8%	587.6%	0	0	0
Total	80.2%	22.2%	361.5%	0	0	0

### NCCBA: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCBA	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
DC	0.4%	1.5%	24.3%	1	1	1
FL	0.9%	1.5%	56.5%	1	0	0
IL	1.0%	3.9%	25.9%	1	1	1
MI	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
MS	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
NC	0.4%	1.1%	34.2%	1	1	1
ОН	1.7%	0.9%	182.2%	0	0	0
PA	0.9%	2.0%	42.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.6%	1.6%	35.5%	8	7	7

### NCCBA: American Indian

NCBA	SCSEP Percent American Indian	Population Percent American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AR	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
DC	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
FL	0.6%	0.3%	219.8%	0	0	0
IL	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
MI	0.8%	0.7%	115.7%	0	0	0
MS	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
NC	1.9%	1.9%	97.0%	0	0	0
ОН	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
РА	0.6%	0.2%	263.2%	0	0	0
Total	0.6%	0.6%	94.6%	5	5	5

#### NCCBA: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCBA	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AR	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
DC	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
FL	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	1	0	0
IL	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
MI	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
MS	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NC	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
ОН	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
PA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2	0	0

### NCOA: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	62.3%	54.6%	114.1%	0	0	0
GA	28.8%	45.3%	63.4%	1	1	1
KY	24.5%	10.9%	224.2%	0	0	0
LA	50.3%	47.6%	105.7%	0	0	0
NC	72.8%	37.1%	196.3%	0	0	0
NJ	59.8%	44.0%	135.7%	0	0	0
NY	54.5%	48.6%	112.2%	0	0	0
PA	23.6%	23.6%	100.3%	0	0	0
TN	34.9%	22.1%	157.9%	0	0	0
VA	66.8%	36.6%	182.4%	0	0	0
WV	9.3%	5.6%	165.0%	0	0	0
Total	62.9%	38.9%	161.6%	1	1	1

NCOA: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
СА	17.3%	29.1%	59.4%	1	1	1
GA	0.3%	3.4%	9.1%	1	1	1
КҮ	0.7%	0.9%	72.3%	1	0	0
LA	0.5%	1.8%	29.4%	1	1	1
NC	0.6%	2.6%	22.6%	1	1	1
NJ	10.5%	19.1%	55.0%	1	1	1
NY	12.2%	20.6%	59.5%	1	1	1
PA	2.8%	5.3%	52.0%	1	1	1
TN	4.2%	1.5%	275.7%	0	0	0
VA	2.9%	2.7%	106.7%	0	0	0
WV	0.5%	0.5%	94.4%	0	0	0
Total	5.4%	22.8%	23.5%	8	7	7

### NCOA: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	12.8%	8.2%	156.1%	0	0	0
GA	27.2%	38.9%	70.0%	1	1	1
KY	23.3%	8.3%	279.3%	0	0	0
LA	49.2%	43.8%	112.2%	0	0	0
NC	72.5%	30.8%	235.4%	0	0	0
NJ	47.9%	19.1%	250.8%	0	0	0
NY	33.9%	19.2%	176.1%	0	0	0
PA	20.0%	15.8%	126.6%	0	0	0
TN	29.4%	18.9%	155.8%	0	0	0
VA	50.9%	29.5%	172.9%	0	0	0
WV	6.6%	3.3%	200.6%	0	0	0
Total	30.4%	17.4%	175.2%	1	1	1

#### NCOA: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	31.0%	15.1%	204.4%	0	0	0
GA	0.3%	2.3%	13.3%	1	1	1
КҮ	0.3%	0.5%	66.7%	1	0	0
LA	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1	1	1
NC	0.3%	1.1%	27.6%	1	1	1
NJ	1.1%	5.4%	20.4%	1	1	1
NY	8.5%	8.8%	97.3%	0	0	0
PA	0.4%	2.0%	21.2%	1	1	1
TN	0.5%	0.6%	92.1%	0	0	0
VA	11.9%	3.3%	359.7%	0	0	0
WV	0.8%	0.3%	301.3%	0	0	0
Total	4.7%	6.5%	72.1%	6	5	5

### NCOA: American Indian

NCOA	SCSEP Percent American Indian	Population Percent American Indian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
СА	0.2%	1.0%	21.0%	1	1	1
GA	0.6%	0.3%	244.0%	0	0	0
КҮ	0.5%	0.2%	246.2%	0	0	0
LA	0.5%	0.6%	85.3%	0	0	0
NC	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
NJ	0.7%	0.3%	237.4%	0	0	0
NY	0.5%	0.5%	90.3%	0	0	0
PA	0.8%	0.2%	358.7%	0	0	0
TN	1.0%	0.3%	356.0%	0	0	0
VA	2.1%	0.4%	496.4%	0	0	0
WV	1.4%	0.3%	499.3%	0	0	0
Total	0.8%	0.6%	139.8%	2	2	2

NCOA: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Deveent		Ciana ifi ana mat	Less These
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NCOA	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.73%	0.27%	634.75%	0	0	0
GA	0.31%	0.02%	1453.49%	0	0	0
KY	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
LA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
NC	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
NJ	0.25%	0.04%	589.29%	0	0	0
NY	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%	1	0	0
PA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
TN	0.26%		N/A	0	0	0
VA	0.21%		N/A	0	0	0
WV	0.26%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.24%	0.00%	2847750%	1	0	0

## NICOA: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent		Less		
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	71.0%	36.6%	194.0%	0	0	0
CA	52.8%	54.6%	96.6%	0	0	0
MN	100.0%	14.6%	684.4%	0	0	0
NM	93.1%	60.2%	154.6%	0	0	0
ОК	66.7%	26.2%	254.7%	0	0	0
SD	92.9%	13.5%	686.5%	0	0	0
WI	87.7%	14.7%	596.4%	0	0	0
Total	258.3%	43.9%	588.3%	0	0	0

# NICOA: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	19.6%	23.1%	85.0%	0	0	0
CA	19.2%	29.1%	65.9%	1	1	1
MN	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
NM	10.8%	46.5%	23.2%	1	1	1
ОК	3.2%	4.1%	78.1%	1	0	0
SD	1.2%	0.8%	156.2%	0	0	0
WI	2.7%	2.7%	99.7%	0	0	0
Total	10.1%	22.8%	44.4%	4	3	3

### NICOA: Black

	SCSEP	Population		Less		
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	5.9%	3.6%	163.4%	0	0	0
CA	23.2%	8.2%	283.5%	0	0	0
MN	52.6%	6.4%	819.5%	0	0	0
NM	2.9%	2.1%	142.2%	0	0	0
ОК	25.2%	9.2%	274.2%	0	0	0
SD	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
WI	0.0%	8.8%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	14.4%	7.1%	202.9%	2	2	2

#### NICOA: Asian

	SCSEP	Population	Democrat	Less	Circuificant	Loss Then
NICOA	Percent Asian	Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
AZ	1.6%	2.1%	73.4%	1	0	0
CA	3.2%	15.1%	21.1%	1	1	1
MN	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
NM	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
ОК	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1	1	1
SD	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	0	0	0
WI	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
Total	0.8%	8.9%	9.4%	6	5	5

### NICOA: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	45.5%	7.5%	605.8%	0	0	0
CA	8.0%	1.0%	775.7%	0	0	0
MN	50.0%	1.6%	3172.0%	0	0	0
NM	82.4%	10.9%	756.3%	0	0	0
ОК	39.0%	7.1%	550.8%	0	0	0
SD	91.7%	11.1%	828.7%	0	0	0
WI	87.7%	1.0%	8620.6%	0	0	0
Total	50.1%	3.7%	1346.3%	0	0	0

# NICOA: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent Pacific	Population Percent Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NICOA	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AZ	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
CA	0.80%	0.27%	293.26%	0	0	0
MN	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
NM	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ОК	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
SD	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
WI	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.10%	0.00%	635688%	1	0	0

## NUL: Minorities Overall

r						
	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NUL	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MA	91.1%	27.5%	331.2%	0	0	0
MI	87.8%	27.0%	325.6%	0	0	0
NJ	97.6%	44.0%	221.6%	0	0	0
NY	58.5%	48.6%	120.3%	0	0	0
ОН	75.2%	23.1%	325.6%	0	0	0
PA	84.3%	23.6%	357.7%	0	0	0
Total	107.0%	35.4%	302.3%	0	0	0

# NUL: Hispanic

NUL	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
MA	9.4%	11.7%	80.3%	0	0	0070, 51g
				-	-	
MI	2.9%	2.7%	104.4%	0	0	0
NJ	12.4%	19.1%	65.0%	1	1	1
NY	6.8%	20.6%	33.2%	1	1	1
ОН	3.0%	2.1%	143.0%	0	0	0
PA	0.8%	5.3%	14.8%	1	1	1
Total	6.4%	11.6%	55.2%	3	3	3

#### NUL: Black

NUL	SCSEP Percent Black	Population Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
MA	78.3%	8.9%	879.8%	0	0	0
MI	85.4%	20.7%	412.2%	0	0	0
NJ	85.0%	19.1%	445.0%	0	0	0
NY	46.7%	19.2%	242.9%	0	0	0
ОН	74.2%	18.9%	392.2%	0	0	0
PA	82.4%	15.8%	521.0%	0	0	0
Total	76.4%	18.4%	414.9%	0	0	0

# NUL: Asian

NUL	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
MA	4.3%	5.8%	73.7%	1	0	0
MI	0.4%	1.6%	23.1%	1	1	1
NJ	0.2%	5.4%	3.2%	1	1	1
NY	3.3%	8.8%	37.4%	1	1	1
ОН	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.8%	2.0%	38.5%	1	1	1
Total	1.2%	4.6%	25.7%	6	5	5

### NUL: American Indian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Demonst	Less These	Circlificant	Less These
NU 11	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
NUL	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MA	0.9%	0.4%	195.3%	0	0	0
MI	1.0%	0.7%	130.9%	0	0	0
NJ	0.9%	0.3%	279.7%	0	0	0
NY	3.0%	0.5%	548.7%	0	0	0
ОН	1.0%	0.3%	355.2%	0	0	0
PA	0.8%	0.2%	362.3%	0	0	0
Total	1.2%	0.5%	268.3%	0	0	0

### NUL: Pacific Islander

NUL	SCSEP Percent Pacific Islander	Population Percent Pacific Islander	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
MA	0.43%	0.03%	1321.53%	0	0	0
MI	0.00%	0.03%	0.00%	1	0	0
NJ	0.35%	0.04%	833.03%	0	0	0
NY	0.27%	0.04%	709.67%	0	0	0
ОН	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
PA	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.18%	0.00%	2435955%	1	0	0

### QCS: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
QCS	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MN	57.9%	14.6%	396.1%	0	0	0
Total	29.2%	14.6%	200.0%	0	0	0

# QCS: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
QCS	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MN	6.0%	2.6%	234.4%	0	0	0
Total	6.0%	2.6%	234.4%	0	0	0

QCS: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
QCS	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MN	31.5%	6.4%	490.8%	0	0	0
Total	31.5%	6.4%	490.8%	0	0	0

# QCS: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
QCS	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MN	18.9%	3.3%	567.3%	0	0	0
Total	18.9%	3.3%	567.3%	0	0	0

#### QCS: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
QCS	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
MN	2.0%	1.6%	127.2%	0	0	0
Total	2.0%	1.6%	127.2%	0	0	0

# QCS: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
QCS	Pacific Islander	Pacific Islander	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
MN	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0

### SER: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP Percent Minority	Population Percent Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SER	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	72.8%	54.6%	133.2%	0	0	0
со	32.2%	30.6%	105.1%	0	0	0
FL	97.1%	43.0%	225.8%	0	0	0
IL	74.6%	40.4%	184.9%	0	0	0
KS	29.6%	20.3%	145.5%	0	0	0
RI	44.6%	24.4%	183.0%	0	0	0
ТΧ	77.4%	58.9%	131.4%	0	0	0
WI	76.9%	14.7%	522.9%	0	0	0
Total	110.3%	31448662.9%	212.2%	0	0	0

# SER: Hispanic

SER	SCSEP Percent Hispanic	Population Percent Hispanic	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CA	40.6%	29.1%	139.5%	0	0	0
СО	27.1%	20.8%	130.7%	0	0	0
FL	85.9%	24.3%	352.7%	0	0	0
IL	12.4%	9.9%	125.2%	0	0	0
KS	9.6%	6.6%	146.3%	0	0	0
RI	28.3%	14.2%	199.0%	0	0	0
ТХ	17.5%	39.3%	44.6%	1	1	1
WI	12.2%	2.7%	445.5%	0	0	0
Total	28.6%	28.9%	98.8%	1	1	1

#### SER: Black

SER	SCSEP Percent Black	Population Percent Black	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CA	26.4%	8.2%	322.3%	0	0	0
СО	2.5%	5.4%	46.5%	1	1	1
FL	13.4%	16.9%	79.4%	1	0	0
IL	60.8%	25.9%	234.6%	0	0	0
KS	16.8%	9.2%	183.5%	0	0	0
RI	14.9%	7.1%	209.0%	0	0	0
ТΧ	58.8%	15.6%	376.3%	0	0	0
WI	64.6%	8.8%	733.3%	0	0	0
Total	33.6%	11.1%	302.0%	2	1	1

# SER: Asian

SER	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
CA	4.7%	15.1%	30.7%	1	1	1
СО	0.2%	2.5%	9.2%	1	1	1
FL	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	1	1	1
IL	1.6%	3.9%	41.7%	1	1	1
KS	0.8%	2.0%	37.6%	1	1	1
RI	1.5%	1.2%	121.3%	0	0	0
ТХ	1.3%	3.0%	43.9%	1	1	1
WI	0.2%	1.5%	12.4%	1	1	1
Total	2.1%	10.3%	20.8%	7	7	7

### SER: American Indian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SER	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	0.9%	1.0%	89.2%	0	0	0
СО	3.4%	1.2%	278.2%	0	0	0
FL	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
IL	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
KS	2.6%	1.0%	265.9%	0	0	0
RI	2.6%	0.5%	536.9%	0	0	0
ТΧ	0.6%	0.6%	99.3%	0	0	0
WI	0.4%	1.0%	37.6%	1	1	1
Total	1.2%	0.9%	142.4%	3	3	3

# SER: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent Pacific	Population Percent Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SER	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
CA	1.09%	0.27%	400.28%	0	0	0
СО	0.00%	0.06%	0.00%	1	1	1
FL	0.00%	0.02%	0.00%	1	0	0
IL	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
KS	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
RI	0.37%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
ТХ	0.00%	0.05%	0.00%	1	1	1
WI	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.39%	0.00%	2193699%	3	2	2

SSAI: Min	orities Overall					
	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	52.7%	39.4%	133.6%	0	0	0
CA	66.2%	54.6%	121.1%	0	0	0
IA	11.2%	7.1%	158.9%	0	0	0
IL	62.4%	40.4%	154.6%	0	0	0
IN	57.8%	17.7%	325.8%	0	0	0
MA	21.5%	27.5%	78.2%	1	1	1
MD	82.2%	46.1%	178.4%	0	0	0
MN	38.8%	14.6%	265.3%	0	0	0
MS	68.9%	50.9%	135.4%	0	0	0
NC	41.7%	37.1%	112.3%	0	0	0
NY	72.0%	48.6%	148.0%	0	0	0
ОН	12.0%	23.1%	52.0%	1	1	1
PA	23.7%	23.6%	100.7%	0	0	0
TN	30.6%	22.1%	138.3%	0	0	0
тх	30.5%	58.9%	51.7%	1	1	1
WI	10.2%	14.7%	69.4%	1	1	1
Total	43.4%	15291863.7%	103.3%	4	4	4

# SSAI: Minorities Overall

### SSAI: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	1.1%	0.9%	124.4%	0	0	0
СА	16.4%	29.1%	56.3%	1	1	1
IA	2.3%	1.6%	149.2%	0	0	0
IL	3.1%	9.9%	30.9%	1	1	1
IN	3.0%	3.1%	97.5%	0	0	0
MA	8.5%	11.7%	72.5%	1	1	1
MD	2.9%	3.9%	74.1%	1	0	0
MN	2.1%	2.6%	83.6%	0	0	0
MS	0.6%	0.8%	75.2%	1	0	0
NC	0.8%	2.6%	28.9%	1	1	1
NY	6.0%	20.6%	29.4%	1	1	1
ОН	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.7%	5.3%	13.0%	1	1	1
TN	0.6%	1.5%	40.8%	1	1	1
ТХ	20.0%	39.3%	50.9%	1	1	1
WI	2.0%	2.7%	73.4%	1	0	0
Total	4.3%	15.8%	27.3%	12	9	9

# SSAI: Black

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	49.5%	36.5%	135.6%	0	0	0
CA	32.1%	8.2%	392.2%	0	0	0
IA	4.7%	3.3%	142.0%	0	0	0
IL	57.8%	25.9%	222.9%	0	0	0
IN	53.9%	12.3%	438.8%	0	0	0
MA	10.7%	8.9%	120.2%	0	0	0
MD	73.7%	36.8%	200.0%	0	0	0
MN	33.2%	6.4%	516.8%	0	0	0
MS	66.5%	48.5%	137.0%	0	0	0
NC	37.2%	30.8%	120.8%	0	0	0
NY	41.8%	19.2%	217.4%	0	0	0
ОН	11.4%	18.9%	60.1%	1	1	1
PA	21.7%	15.8%	137.0%	0	0	0
TN	29.1%	18.9%	154.4%	0	0	0
ТХ	9.3%	15.6%	59.8%	1	1	1
WI	3.4%	8.8%	38.6%	1	1	1
Total	37.0%	18.6%	199.2%	3	3	3

# SSAI: Asian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	0.2%	0.4%	46.5%	1	0	0
CA	17.9%	15.1%	118.2%	0	0	0
IA	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1	1	1
IL	0.6%	3.9%	15.8%	1	1	1
IN	0.2%	0.9%	23.3%	1	1	1
MA	2.0%	5.8%	34.5%	1	1	1
MD	5.6%	4.0%	140.4%	0	0	0
MN	1.4%	3.3%	42.9%	1	1	1
MS	0.8%	0.5%	152.4%	0	0	0
NC	0.8%	1.1%	77.7%	1	0	0
NY	23.0%	8.8%	261.9%	0	0	0
ОН	0.3%	0.9%	34.6%	1	0	0
PA	1.2%	2.0%	56.6%	1	0	0
TN	0.1%	0.6%	12.1%	1	1	1
ТХ	0.2%	3.0%	6.3%	1	1	1
WI	1.0%	1.5%	65.4%	1	0	0
Total	4.4%	6.3%	70.4%	12	7	7

### SSAI: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	2.5%	0.7%	339.3%	0	0	0
CA	1.8%	1.0%	175.6%	0	0	0
IA	3.7%	0.5%	746.5%	0	0	0
IL	1.3%	0.2%	633.4%	0	0	0
IN	1.5%	0.4%	386.0%	0	0	0
MA	1.0%	0.4%	228.3%	0	0	0
MD	2.3%	0.5%	505.9%	0	0	0
MN	2.9%	1.6%	181.5%	0	0	0
MS	0.2%	0.5%	44.2%	1	0	0
NC	2.7%	1.9%	140.2%	0	0	0
NY	0.7%	0.5%	128.0%	0	0	0
ОН	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1	1	1
PA	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	1	1	1
TN	0.8%	0.3%	257.4%	0	0	0
ТΧ	1.5%	0.6%	250.6%	0	0	0
WI	3.3%	1.0%	322.0%	0	0	0
Total	1.7%	0.8%	221.5%	3	2	2

# SSAI: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
	Pacific	Pacific	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
SSAI	Islander	Islander	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
AL	0.26%		N/A	0	0	0
CA	0.60%	0.27%	221.27%	0	0	0
IA	0.47%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
IL	0.10%		N/A	0	0	0
IN	0.21%		N/A	0	0	0
MA	0.37%	0.03%	1158.80%	0	0	0
MD	0.28%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
MN	0.14%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
MS	1.02%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NC	0.54%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
NY	0.88%	0.04%	2276.43%	0	0	0
ОН	0.32%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
PA	0.69%		N/A	0	0	0
TN	0.14%		N/A	0	0	0
ТХ	0.37%	0.05%	743.20%	0	0	0
WI	0.63%		N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.42%	0.00%	5347965%	0	0	0

#### VATD: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
VATD	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
VT	4.0%	3.4%	119.1%	0	0	0
Total	0.8%	3.4%	23.8%	0	0	0

# VATD: Hispanic

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
VATD	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
VT	0.5%	0.7%	74.5%	1	0	0
Total	0.5%	0.7%	74.5%	1	0	0

# VATD: Black

	CCCED	Deputation				
	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
VATD	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
VT	2.1%	0.9%	227.3%	0	0	0
Total	2.1%	0.9%	227.3%	0	0	0

#### VATD: Asian

VATD	SCSEP Percent Asian	Population Percent Asian	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig	
VT	0.0%	/ Glain	N/A	0	0	0070, 515	0
Total	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	0	0		0

#### VATD: American Indian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
VATD	American	American	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
VT	1.6%	0.7%	239.9%	0	0	0
Total	1.6%	0.7%	239.9%	0	0	0

#### VATD: Pacific Islander

VATD	SCSEP Percent Pacific Islander	Population Percent Pacific Islander	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
VT	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0

#### TWP: Minorities Overall

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	Minority	Minority	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
TWP	Overall	Overall	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
СТ	60.4%	34.4%	175.9%	0	0	0
Total	32.3%	34.4%	94.0%	0	0	0

#### TWP: Hispanic

TWP: Hisp	TWP: Hispanic								
	SCSEP	Population							
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than			
TWP	Hispanic	Hispanic	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig			
СТ	11.1%	16.8%	66.5%	1	1	1			
Total	11.1%	16.8%	66.5%	1	1	1			

TWP: Black

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
TWP	Black	Black	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
СТ	47.4%	14.4%	329.3%	0	0	0
Total	47.4%	14.4%	329.3%	0	0	0

#### TWP: Asian

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
TWP	Asian	Asian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
СТ	1.9%	2.5%	79.1%	1	0	0
Total	1.9%	2.5%	79.1%	1	0	0

#### TWP: American Indian

	SCSEP	Population				
	Percent	Percent				
	American	American	Percent	Less Than	Significant	Less Than
TWP	Indian	Indian	Difference	80%	(P<=.05)	80%, Sig
СТ	2.2%	0.4%	545.0%	0	0	0
Total	2.2%	0.4%	544.9%	0	0	0

# TWP: Pacific Islander

	SCSEP Percent	Population Percent				
TWP	Pacific Islander	Pacific Islander	Percent Difference	Less Than 80%	Significant (P<=.05)	Less Than 80%, Sig
СТ	0.00%		N/A	0	0	0
Total	0.00%	0.00%	N/A	0	0	0

# Technical Notes on Reading the Tables in Appendices C-H

#### Significance Testing

There are two different tests of significance for the SCSSEP employment outcome measures: the Fisher's Exact test for percentages and the t-test for means (averages). The Fisher's Exact test is used for the entered employment and employment retention measures. The t-test is used for the average earnings measure. Both tests use the Bonferroni method to adjust for multiple comparisons. Both tests are two-sided tests with significance level p < 0.05, meaning there were fewer than 5 chances in 100 that this result would have occurred by chance.

The differences in pairs of percentages or averages are denoted by different subscripts in the individual cells. However, cells in a particular row in a table are highlighted only when the disparity in the numbers indicates that the majority is favored over the minority group. For example, if the White cell has an "a" and the Black cell has a "b," and the percentage for the White cell is larger than that for the Black cell, those two percentages or averages are significantly different and the cells will be highlighted. If White is "a" and Black is "a," then there is no significant difference and there will be no highlighting. Moreover, if the table row has White as "a" and Black as "b," but the Black group's percentage or average is higher than the White group's, the row is not highlighted because the disparity favors the minority group. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.

In certain instances there are slight discrepancies between the percentages or averages presented for national and state grantees in Volume I and the same numbers presented in the tables in Volume II. These discrepancies, usually a fraction of a percent or a few dollars (in the case of average earnings) are the result of differences in the calculation methods. In no instance are they substantive.

# Appendix C: Entered Employment Tables, Grantees

	1 -		Common Measures Entered Employment: Race								
		W	hite	B	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	Employed	2471 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>53.5%</mark>	1359 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>47.6%</mark>	52 <sub>a,b</sub>	54.2%	32 <sub>a,b</sub>	39.5%	10 <sub>a,b</sub>	55.6%
	Not employed	2150 <sub>a</sub>	46.5%	1494 <sub>b</sub>	52.4%	44 <sub>a,b</sub>	45.8%	49 <sub>a,b</sub>	60.5%	8 <sub>a,b</sub>	44.4%
ANPPM	Employed	62 <sub>a</sub>	34.8%	26 <sub>a</sub>	36.6%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	116 <sub>a</sub>	65.2%	45 <sub>a</sub>	63.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Easter Seals	Employed	142 <sub>a</sub>	41.6%	167 <sub>a</sub>	51.1%	9 <sub>a</sub>	40.9%	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	Not employed	199 <sub>a</sub>	58.4%	160 <sub>a</sub>	48.9%	13 <sub>a</sub>	59.1%	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
Experience	Employed	2283 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%	419 <sub>a</sub>	58.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	76.5%	104 <sub>a</sub>	61.2%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
Works	Not employed	1341 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%	303 <sub>a</sub>	42.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	23.5%	66 <sub>a</sub>	38.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%
Goodwill	Employed	218 <sub>a</sub>	53.4%	109 <sub>a</sub>	51.4%	5 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	5 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not employed	190 <sub>a</sub>	46.6%	103 <sub>a</sub>	48.6%	6 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	5 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
IID	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	6 <sub>a</sub>	26.1%	01,2	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	17 <sub>a</sub>	73.9%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Mature	Employed	70 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>37.0%</mark>	<mark>40</mark> ь	<mark>26.0%</mark>	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Services	Not employed	119 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%	114 <sub>b</sub>	74.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
ABLE	Employed	92 <sub>a</sub>	43.4%	5 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	120 <sub>a</sub>	56.6%	7 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%
NAPCA	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	31.6%	10 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	82 <sub>a</sub>	43.4%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	68.4%	8 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	107 <sub>a</sub>	56.6%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
NCBA	Employed	99 <sub>a</sub>	60.7%	344 <sub>a</sub>	57.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not employed	64 <sub>a</sub>	39.3%	259 <sub>a</sub>	43.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	01,2	.0%
NCOA	Employed	<mark>540</mark> a	<mark>65.3%</mark>	161 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>53.7%</mark>	52 <sub>a,b</sub>	67.5%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	20.0%	3 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%
	Not employed	287 <sub>a</sub>	34.7%	139 <sub>b</sub>	46.3%	25 <sub>a,b</sub>	32.5%	4 <sub>a,b</sub>	80.0%	3 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%
NICOA	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	17.5%	4 <sub>a</sub>	8.9%	$0^{2}$	.0%	35 <sub>a</sub>	24.8%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	82.5%	41 <sub>a</sub>	91.1%	6 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	106 <sub>a</sub>	75.2%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Urban League	Employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	28.9%	116 <sub>a</sub>	37.4%	$0^{2}$	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not employed	54 <sub>a</sub>	71.1%	194 <sub>a</sub>	62.6%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	01,2	.0%
QCS	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
SER	Employed	200 <sub>a</sub>	34.7%	91 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	52.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	376 <sub>a</sub>	65.3%	212 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	47.6%	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	6 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
SSAI	Employed	739 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>35.3%</mark>	336 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>26.3%</mark>	67 <sub>c</sub>	50.4%	21 <sub>a,b,c</sub>	41.2%	4 <sub>a,b,c</sub>	57.1%
	Not employed	1354 <sub>a</sub>	64.7%	941 <sub>b</sub>	73.7%	66 <sub>c</sub>	49.6%	30 <sub>a,b,c</sub>	58.8%	3 <sub>a,b,c</sub>	42.9%

# Table 1: Entered Employment, Grantees by Race

				Cor	nmon Mea	sures Ent	ered Empl	oyment:	Race		
		W	hite	B	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
VATD	Employed	65 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
TWI	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
National	Employed	7042 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>52.0%</mark>	<mark>3207</mark> ь	<mark>44.3%</mark>	297 <sub>a,c</sub>	50.5%	218 <sub>b,c</sub>	<mark>43.1%</mark>	28 <sub>a,b</sub>	51.9%
Grantees	Not employed	6488 <sub>a</sub>	48.0%	4039 <sub>b</sub>	55.7%	291 <sub>a,c</sub>	49.5%	288 <sub>b,c</sub>	56.9%	26 <sub>a,b</sub>	48.1%
Alabama	Employed	29 <sub>a</sub>	50.9%	16 <sub>a</sub>	34.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	49.1%	30 <sub>a</sub>	65.2%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Alaska	Employed	73 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>44.2%</mark>	10 <sub>a,b</sub>	47.6%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	14 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>23.7%</mark>	$2^{2}$	100.0%
	Not employed	92 <sub>a</sub>	55.8%	11 <sub>a,b</sub>	52.4%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	45 <sub>b</sub>	76.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
Arizona	Employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	38.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	$0^2$	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	37 <sub>a</sub>	61.7%	14 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Arkansas	Employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	52.2%	10 <sub>a</sub>	35.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	32 <sub>a</sub>	47.8%	18 <sub>a</sub>	64.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
California	Employed	68 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%	21 <sub>a</sub>	30.4%	14 <sub>a</sub>	36.8%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	95 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%	48 <sub>a</sub>	69.6%	24 <sub>a</sub>	63.2%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
Colorado	Employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	49 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Connecticut	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	31.7%	11 <sub>a</sub>	40.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	41 <sub>a</sub>	68.3%	16 <sub>a</sub>	59.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Delaware	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	13.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	17.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	86.7%	33 <sub>a</sub>	82.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
District of	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	$0^2$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Columbia	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	35 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Florida	Employed	68 <sub>a</sub>	47.6%	36 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	75 <sub>a</sub>	52.4%	36 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
Georgia	Employed	50 <sub>a</sub>	67.6%	50 <sub>a</sub>	57.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	11,2	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	32.4%	37 <sub>a</sub>	42.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Hawaii	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	17.6%	01,2	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	$0^{2}$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	5.3%
	Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	82.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	50 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	94.7%
Idaho	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Illinois	Employed	51 <sub>a</sub>	51.0%	32 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	49 <sub>a</sub>	49.0%	56 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Indiana	Employed	37 <sub>a</sub>	58.7%	9 <sub>a</sub>	40.9%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

				Cor	nmon Mea	sures Ent	ered Empl	oyment:	Race		
		W	hite	B	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	Not employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	41.3%	13 <sub>a</sub>	59.1%	01,2	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Iowa	Employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	50.9%	6 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	27 <sub>a</sub>	49.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Kansas	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	17.9%	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	82.1%	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
Kentucky	Employed	33 <sub>a</sub>	34.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not employed	62 <sub>a</sub>	65.3%	9 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	01,2	.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Louisiana	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	28.3%	14 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	33 <sub>a</sub>	71.7%	49 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Maine	Employed	27 <sub>a</sub>	57.4%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	42.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Maryland	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%	14 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Massachusetts	Employed	38 <sub>a</sub>	55.9%	11 <sub>a</sub>	55.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	44.1%	9 <sub>a</sub>	45.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
Michigan	Employed	41 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>52.6%</mark>	<mark>20</mark> ь	<mark>31.7%</mark>	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	37 <sub>a</sub>	47.4%	43 <sub>b</sub>	68.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Minnesota	Employed	50 <sub>a</sub>	51.5%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	48.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
Mississippi	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>61.1%</mark>	4 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>21.1%</mark>	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	38.9%	15 <sub>b</sub>	78.9%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Missouri	Employed	34 <sub>a</sub>	54.8%	9 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	45.2%	15 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Montana	Employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
Nebraska	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	7 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Nevada	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	7 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
New	Employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Hampshire	Not employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
New Jersey	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	6 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	56 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	30 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
New Mexico	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%

				Cor	nmon Mea	sures Ent	ered Empl	oyment:	Race		
		W	hite	B	ack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
New York	Employed	41 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.6%	32 <sub>a</sub>	25.2%	20 <sub>b</sub>	51.3%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	81 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.4%	95 <sub>a</sub>	74.8%	19 <sub>b</sub>	48.7%	3 <sub>a,b</sub>	60.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
North	Employed	29 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%	23 <sub>a</sub>	25.3%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%
Carolina	Not employed	54 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%	68 <sub>a</sub>	74.7%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
North Dakota	Employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	65.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	34.2%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	01,2	.0%
Ohio	Employed	77 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>43.0%</mark>	18 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>23.4%</mark>	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	102 <sub>a</sub>	57.0%	59 <sub>b</sub>	76.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
Oklahoma	Employed	41 <sub>a</sub>	50.6%	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	40 <sub>a</sub>	49.4%	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	01,2	.0%
Oregon	Employed	34 <sub>a</sub>	43.6%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not employed	44 <sub>a</sub>	56.4%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Pennsylvania	Employed	86 <sub>a</sub>	57.0%	32 <sub>a</sub>	41.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not employed	65 <sub>a</sub>	43.0%	46 <sub>a</sub>	59.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
Puerto Rico	Not employed	44 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	8 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
Rhode Island	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
1	Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
South	Employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	15 <sub>a</sub>	68.2%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
Carolina	Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	7 <sub>a</sub>	31.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
South Dakota	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	63.2%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	36.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	01,2	.0%
Tennessee	Employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	40.4%	24 <sub>a</sub>	45.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	31 <sub>a</sub>	59.6%	29 <sub>a</sub>	54.7%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$2^2$	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Texas	Employed	165 <sub>a</sub>	65.7%	38 <sub>a</sub>	55.9%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	86 <sub>a</sub>	34.3%	30 <sub>a</sub>	44.1%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Utah	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Vermont	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Virginia	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	55.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	45.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Washington	Employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	39.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	61.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
West Virginia	Employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
-	Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

				Con	nmon Mea	sures Ent	ered Empl	oyment:	Race		
		W	hite	Bl	Black		sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Wisconsin	Employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	7 <sub>a</sub>	29.2%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not employed	60 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	17 <sub>a</sub>	70.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
Wyoming	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	39.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	б <sub>а</sub>	54.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	60.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Guam	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	10 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	13 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
Mariana	Not employed	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	8 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
Islands											
Virgin Islands	Employed	$1^{1,2}$	100.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	20.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	01,2	.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	79.5%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
State Grantees	Employed	1507 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>45.5%</mark>	<mark>535</mark> ь	<mark>34.8%</mark>	63 <sub>b,c</sub>	<mark>32.0%</mark>	<mark>64</mark> ь	<mark>33.5%</mark>	<mark>8</mark> .	<mark>14.0%</mark>
	Not employed	1802 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	1001 <sub>b</sub>	65.2%	134 <sub>b,c</sub>	68.0%	127 <sub>b</sub>	66.5%	49 <sub>c</sub>	86.0%
Nationwide	Employed	8549 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>50.8%</mark>	3742 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>42.6%</mark>	360 <sub>a,b</sub>	45.9%	282 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>40.5%</mark>	36 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>32.4%</mark>
	Not employed	8290 <sub>a</sub>	49.2%	5040 <sub>b</sub>	57.4%	425 <sub>a,b</sub>	54.1%	415 <sub>b</sub>	59.5%	75 <sub>b</sub>	67.6%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

		Common Measures Entered Employment: Ethnicity							
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic				
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent				
AARP	Employed	907 <sub>a</sub>	59.5%	3148 <sub>b</sub>	49.9%				
	Not employed	617 <sub>a</sub>	40.5%	3163 <sub>b</sub>	50.1%				
ANPPM	Employed	58 <sub>a</sub>	38.4%	46 <sub>a</sub>	35.4%				
	Not employed	93 <sub>a</sub>	61.6%	84 <sub>a</sub>	64.6%				
Easter Seals	Employed	61 <sub>a</sub>	41.5%	290 <sub>a</sub>	46.5%				
	Not employed	86 <sub>a</sub>	58.5%	333 <sub>a</sub>	53.5%				
Experience Works	Employed	184 <sub>a</sub>	75.1%	2624 <sub>b</sub>	61.3%				
	Not employed	61 <sub>a</sub>	24.9%	1654 <sub>b</sub>	38.7%				
Goodwill	Employed	45 <sub>a</sub>	48.4%	295 <sub>a</sub>	53.6%				
	Not employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	51.6%	255 <sub>a</sub>	46.4%				
IID	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%				
	Not employed	01,2	.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%				
Mature Services	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	99 <sub>a</sub>	30.7%				
	Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	223 <sub>a</sub>	69.3%				
ABLE	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	94 <sub>a</sub>	43.1%				
	Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	124 <sub>a</sub>	56.9%				
NAPCA	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%	94 <sub>a</sub>	44.5%				
	Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	117 <sub>a</sub>	55.5%				
NCBA	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%	425 <sub>a</sub>	58.4%				
	Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	303 <sub>a</sub>	41.6%				
NCOA	Employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	57.4%	762 <sub>a</sub>	62.7%				
	Not employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	42.6%	454 <sub>a</sub>	37.3%				
NICOA	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	15.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	16.5%				
	Not employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	85.0%	172 <sub>a</sub>	83.5%				
Urban League	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%	136 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%				
	Not employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%	232 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%				
QCS	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%				
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%				
SER	Employed	100 <sub>a</sub>	39.4%	225 <sub>b</sub>	31.6%				
	Not employed	154 <sub>a</sub>	60.6%	486 <sub>b</sub>	68.4%				
SSAI	Employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	30.3%	1129 <sub>a</sub>	32.7%				
	Not employed	108 <sub>a</sub>	69.7%	2323 <sub>a</sub>	67.3%				
VATD	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	64 <sub>a</sub>	64.0%				
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	36 <sub>a</sub>	36.0%				

Table 2: Entered Employment, Grantees by Ethnicity

		Common N	Aeasures Entere	ed Employment:	Ethnicity
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
	_	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
TWI	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%
	Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%
National Grantees	Employed	1476 <sub>a</sub>	54.1%	9506 <sub>b</sub>	48.7%
	Not employed	1254 <sub>a</sub>	45.9%	9996 <sub>b</sub>	51.3%
Alabama	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	45 <sub>a</sub>	43.3%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	56.7%
Alaska	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	95 <sub>a</sub>	40.6%
	Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	139 <sub>a</sub>	59.4%
Arizona	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	24 <sub>a</sub>	31.2%
	Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	53 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%
Arkansas	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	47 <sub>a</sub>	49.0%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	49 <sub>a</sub>	51.0%
California	Employed	32 <sub>a</sub>	35.6%	80 <sub>a</sub>	34.6%
	Not employed	58 <sub>a</sub>	64.4%	151 <sub>a</sub>	65.4%
Colorado	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	23.8%	15 <sub>a</sub>	25.4%
	Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	76.2%	44 <sub>a</sub>	74.6%
Connecticut	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%
	Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	54 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%
Delaware	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	16.9%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	83.1%
District of	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	21.3%
Columbia	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	37 <sub>a</sub>	78.7%
Florida	Employed	39 <sub>a</sub>	70.9%	66 <sub>b</sub>	40.0%
	Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	29.1%	99 <sub>b</sub>	60.0%
Georgia	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	99 <sub>a</sub>	62.7%
	Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	37.3%
Hawaii	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	13 <sub>a</sub>	14.4%
	Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	77 <sub>a</sub>	85.6%
Idaho	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%
	Not employed	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%
Illinois	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%	69 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%
	Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%	95 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%
Indiana	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	48 <sub>a</sub>	53.9%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	41 <sub>a</sub>	46.1%
Iowa	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	53.1%

		Common N	leasures Entere	d Employment:	Ethnicity
		Hispa	anic	Not Hi	spanic
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	46.9%
Kansas	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	24.4%
	Not employed	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	75.6%
Kentucky	Employed	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	32.4%
	Not employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	69 <sub>a</sub>	67.6%
Louisiana	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	24.0%
	Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	79 <sub>a</sub>	76.0%
Maine	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	54.2%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	45.8%
Maryland	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	9.5%
	Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	38 <sub>a</sub>	90.5%
Massachusetts	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	49 <sub>a</sub>	62.0%
	Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	38.0%
Michigan	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	58 <sub>a</sub>	42.0%
	Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	80 <sub>a</sub>	58.0%
Minnesota	Employed	$2^2$	100.0%	52 <sub>a</sub>	49.5%
	Not employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	53 <sub>a</sub>	50.5%
Mississippi	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	37.8%
	Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	62.2%
Missouri	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	43 <sub>a</sub>	48.9%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	45 <sub>a</sub>	51.1%
Montana	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	65.7%
	Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	34.3%
Nebraska	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	11.8%
	Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	88.2%
Nevada	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
New Hampshire	Employed	$2^{2}$	100.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	45.2%
	Not employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	54.8%
New Jersey	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	15.1%
	Not employed	17 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	73 <sub>a</sub>	84.9%
New Mexico	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	45.0%
	Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	55.0%
New York	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	39.5%	79 <sub>a</sub>	30.5%
	Not employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	60.5%	180 <sub>a</sub>	69.5%

		Common M	leasures Entere	d Employment:	Ethnicity
		Hispa	anic	Not Hi	spanic
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
North Carolina	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	51 <sub>a</sub>	30.2%
	Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	118 <sub>a</sub>	69.8%
North Dakota	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%
Ohio	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	90 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%
	Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	153 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%
Oklahoma	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	51 <sub>a</sub>	47.2%
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	57 <sub>a</sub>	52.8%
Oregon	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	34 <sub>a</sub>	45.9%
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	40 <sub>a</sub>	54.1%
Pennsylvania	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	112 <sub>a</sub>	51.9%
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	104 <sub>a</sub>	48.1%
Puerto Rico	Not employed	57 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Rhode Island	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	9 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
South Carolina	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	63.5%
	Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	36.5%
South Dakota	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	64.5%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	35.5%
Tennessee	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	46 <sub>a</sub>	42.2%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	63 <sub>a</sub>	57.8%
Texas	Employed	66 <sub>a</sub>	60.6%	155 <sub>a</sub>	62.8%
	Not employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	39.4%	92 <sub>a</sub>	37.2%
Utah	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	12 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%
	Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	14 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%
Vermont	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	55.2%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	44.8%
Virginia	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	49.3%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	35 <sub>a</sub>	50.7%
Washington	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	38.6%
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	27 <sub>a</sub>	61.4%
West Virginia	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	74.2%
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	25.8%
Wisconsin	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	42 <sub>a</sub>	35.9%
	Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	75 <sub>a</sub>	64.1%

		Common M	leasures Entere	d Employment:	Ethnicity	
		Hispa	anic	Not Hispanic		
		Count	Percent			
Wyoming	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	44.8%	
	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	55.2%	
Guam	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	19 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	
Virgin Islands	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	8 <sub>a</sub>	22.9%	
	Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	27 <sub>a</sub>	77.1%	
State Grantees	Employed	227 <sub>a</sub>	40.6%	1946 <sub>a</sub>	41.2%	
	Not employed	332 <sub>a</sub>	59.4%	2774 <sub>a</sub>	58.8%	
Nationwide	Employed	1703 <sub>a</sub>	51.8%	11452 <sub>b</sub>	47.3%	
	Not employed	1586 <sub>a</sub>	48.2%	12770 <sub>b</sub>	52.7%	

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	1 2	Common Measures Entered Employment: Minority						
		Mine	ority	Not mi	nority			
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
AARP	Employed	2329 <sub>a</sub>	51.6%	1775 <sub>a</sub>	51.2%			
	Not employed	2185 <sub>a</sub>	48.4%	1693 <sub>a</sub>	48.8%			
ANPPM	Employed	86 <sub>a</sub>	38.4%	17 <sub>a</sub>	31.5%			
	Not employed	138 <sub>a</sub>	61.6%	37 <sub>a</sub>	68.5%			
Easter Seals	Employed	238 <sub>a</sub>	47.8%	118 <sub>b</sub>	40.4%			
	Not employed	260 <sub>a</sub>	52.2%	174 <sub>b</sub>	59.6%			
Experience Works	Employed	705 <sub>a</sub>	62.2%	2157 <sub>a</sub>	62.2%			
	Not employed	429 <sub>a</sub>	37.8%	1313 <sub>a</sub>	37.8%			
Goodwill	Employed	166 <sub>a</sub>	50.5%	182 <sub>a</sub>	54.8%			
	Not employed	163 <sub>a</sub>	49.5%	150 <sub>a</sub>	45.2%			
IID	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	8 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%			
	Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	14 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%			
Mature Services	Employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	67 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%			
	Not employed	118 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	117 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%			
ABLE	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	39.4%	92 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%			
	Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	60.6%	115 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%			
NAPCA	Employed	93 <sub>a</sub>	42.7%	6 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%			
	Not employed	125 <sub>a</sub>	57.3%	6 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%			
NCBA	Employed	357 <sub>a</sub>	57.0%	96 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%			
	Not employed	269 <sub>a</sub>	43.0%	64 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%			
NCOA	Employed	251 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>56.4%</mark>	<mark>525</mark> ь	<mark>65.6%</mark>			
	Not employed	194 <sub>a</sub>	43.6%	275 <sub>b</sub>	34.4%			
NICOA	Employed	42 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	17.5%			
	Not employed	168 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	52 <sub>a</sub>	82.5%			
Urban League	Employed	127 <sub>a</sub>	37.7%	20 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%			
	Not employed	210 <sub>a</sub>	62.3%	50 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%			
QCS	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%			
	Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%			
SER	Employed	203 <sub>a</sub>	34.4%	145 <sub>a</sub>	34.0%			
	Not employed	387 <sub>a</sub>	65.6%	282 <sub>a</sub>	66.0%			
SSAI	Employed	466 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>29.1%</mark>	714 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>35.5%</mark>			
	Not employed	1138 <sub>a</sub>	70.9%	1295 <sub>b</sub>	64.5%			
VATD	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	65 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%			
	Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	35 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%			

Table 3: Entered Employment, Grantees by Minority Status

		Common Measures Entered Employment: Minority							
		Mino	ority	Not mi	nority				
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent				
TWI	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	86.4%	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%				
	Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	13.6%	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%				
National Grantees	Employed	<mark>5149<sub>a</sub></mark>	<mark>46.9%</mark>	<mark>6007</mark> ь	<mark>51.4%</mark>				
	Not employed	5827 <sub>a</sub>	53.1%	5676 <sub>b</sub>	48.6%				
Alabama	Employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	32.7%	29 <sub>a</sub>	50.9%				
	Not employed	33 <sub>a</sub>	67.3%	28 <sub>a</sub>	49.1%				
Alaska	Employed	27 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>28.7%</mark>	<mark>72</mark> ь	<mark>45.6%</mark>				
	Not employed	67 <sub>a</sub>	71.3%	86 <sub>b</sub>	54.4%				
Arizona	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>9.7%</mark>	23 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>41.1%</mark>				
	Not employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	90.3%	33 <sub>b</sub>	58.9%				
Arkansas	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	34.5%	35 <sub>a</sub>	52.2%				
	Not employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	65.5%	32 <sub>a</sub>	47.8%				
California	Employed	68 <sub>a</sub>	33.7%	48 <sub>a</sub>	39.0%				
	Not employed	134 <sub>a</sub>	66.3%	75 <sub>a</sub>	61.0%				
Colorado	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	20.6%	13 <sub>a</sub>	27.7%				
	Not employed	27 <sub>a</sub>	79.4%	34 <sub>a</sub>	72.3%				
Connecticut	Employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	43.6%	17 <sub>a</sub>	30.9%				
	Not employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	56.4%	38 <sub>a</sub>	69.1%				
Delaware	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	13.3%				
	Not employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	26 <sub>a</sub>	86.7%				
District of	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	22.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%				
Columbia	Not employed	37 <sub>a</sub>	77.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%				
Florida	Employed	70 <sub>a</sub>	57.4%	36 <sub>b</sub>	36.4%				
	Not employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	42.6%	63 <sub>b</sub>	63.6%				
Georgia	Employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	56.5%	50 <sub>a</sub>	68.5%				
	Not employed	40 <sub>a</sub>	43.5%	23 <sub>a</sub>	31.5%				
Hawaii	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	14.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%				
	Not employed	74 <sub>a</sub>	86.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%				
Idaho	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%				
	Not employed	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%				
Illinois	Employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%	47 <sub>a</sub>	50.5%				
	Not employed	66 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%	46 <sub>a</sub>	49.5%				
Indiana	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	40.7%	37 <sub>a</sub>	58.7%				
	Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	59.3%	26 <sub>a</sub>	41.3%				
Iowa	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	50.9%				

		Common M	leasures Entere	d Employment:	Minority			
		Minority Not minority						
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent			
	Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	27 <sub>a</sub>	49.1%			
Kansas	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%	5 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%			
	Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%	20 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%			
Kentucky	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	31 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%			
	Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	62 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%			
Louisiana	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	22.1%	12 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%			
	Not employed	53 <sub>a</sub>	77.9%	30 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%			
Maine	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	27 <sub>a</sub>	57.4%			
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	20 <sub>a</sub>	42.6%			
Maryland	Employed	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%			
	Not employed	16 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%			
Massachusetts	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	55.9%	36 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%			
	Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	44.1%	27 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%			
Michigan	Employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>32.4%</mark>	<mark>40</mark> ь	<mark>51.9%</mark>			
	Not employed	46 <sub>a</sub>	67.6%	37 <sub>b</sub>	48.1%			
Minnesota	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	49 <sub>a</sub>	51.0%			
	Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	47 <sub>a</sub>	49.0%			
Mississippi	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>22.7%</mark>	10 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>62.5%</mark>			
	Not employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	77.3%	6 <sub>b</sub>	37.5%			
Missouri	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	39.3%	34 <sub>a</sub>	54.8%			
	Not employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	60.7%	28 <sub>a</sub>	45.2%			
Montana	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	65.4%			
	Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	34.6%			
Nebraska	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%			
	Not employed	9 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%			
Nevada	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	5 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%			
	Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%			
New Hampshire	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%			
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%			
New Jersey	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	10.9%	7 <sub>a</sub>	14.6%			
	Not employed	49 <sub>a</sub>	89.1%	41 <sub>a</sub>	85.4%			
New Mexico	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	8 <sub>a</sub>	47.1%			
	Not employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%	9 <sub>a</sub>	52.9%			
New York	Employed	69 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	31 <sub>a</sub>	30.4%			
	Not employed	138 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	71 <sub>a</sub>	69.6%			

		Common Measures Entered Employment: Minorit							
		Mino	ority	Not mi	nority				
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent				
North Carolina	Employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	27.1%	28 <sub>a</sub>	34.6%				
	Not employed	70 <sub>a</sub>	72.9%	53 <sub>a</sub>	65.4%				
North Dakota	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	65.8%				
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	34.2%				
Ohio	Employed	$24_{a}$	<mark>27.0%</mark>	<mark>72</mark> ь	<mark>42.4%</mark>				
	Not employed	65 <sub>a</sub>	73.0%	98 <sub>b</sub>	57.6%				
Oklahoma	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	39 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%				
	Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	39 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%				
Oregon	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	34 <sub>a</sub>	44.7%				
	Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	42 <sub>a</sub>	55.3%				
Pennsylvania	Employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>39.8%</mark>	85 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>57.4%</mark>				
	Not employed	53 <sub>a</sub>	60.2%	63 <sub>b</sub>	42.6%				
Puerto Rico	Not employed	57 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%				
Rhode Island	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	1 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%				
	Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%				
South Carolina	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	65.2%	20 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%				
	Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	34.8%	12 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%				
South Dakota	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	12 <sub>a</sub>	63.2%				
	Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	36.8%				
Tennessee	Employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	43.6%	21 <sub>a</sub>	40.4%				
	Not employed	31 <sub>a</sub>	56.4%	31 <sub>a</sub>	59.6%				
Texas	Employed	102 <sub>a</sub>	56.7%	122 <sub>a</sub>	65.9%				
	Not employed	78 <sub>a</sub>	43.3%	63 <sub>a</sub>	34.1%				
Utah	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	7 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%				
	Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	13 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%				
Vermont	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%				
	Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%				
Virginia	Employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	55.8%	11 <sub>a</sub>	37.9%				
	Not employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	44.2%	18 <sub>a</sub>	62.1%				
Washington	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	15 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%				
	Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	24 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%				
West Virginia	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%				
	Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%				
Wisconsin	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%	35 <sub>a</sub>	38.9%				
	Not employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%	55 <sub>a</sub>	61.1%				

		Common M	Aeasures Entere	ed Employment	: Minority
		Mine	ority	Not mi	nority
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Wyoming	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%	8 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%
	Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%	14 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%
Guam	Not employed	25 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Mariana Islands	Not employed	8 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Virgin Islands	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not employed	33 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
State Grantees	Employed	882 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>35.3%</mark>	<mark>1357<sub>ь</sub></mark>	<mark>45.9%</mark>
	Not employed	1615 <sub>a</sub>	64.7%	1601 <sub>b</sub>	54.1%
Nationwide	Employed	6031 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>44.8%</mark>	7364 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>50.3%</mark>
	Not employed	7442 <sub>a</sub>	55.2%	7277 <sub>b</sub>	49.7%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

# Appendix D: Employment Retention Tables, Grantees

	1 2		Common Measures Employment Retention: Race								
		W	hite	B	ack	А	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	Retained	1117 <sub>a</sub>	64.4%	668 <sub>a</sub>	64.2%	25 <sub>a</sub>	73.5%	23 <sub>a</sub>	65.7%	6 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	Not retained	618 <sub>a</sub>	35.6%	373 <sub>a</sub>	35.8%	9 <sub>a</sub>	26.5%	12 <sub>a</sub>	34.3%	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
ANPPM	Retained	34 <sub>a</sub>	70.8%	17 <sub>a</sub>	85.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	29.2%	3 <sub>a</sub>	15.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Easter Seals	Retained	72 <sub>a</sub>	70.6%	84 <sub>a</sub>	84.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	29.4%	16 <sub>a</sub>	16.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
Experience	Retained	1431 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	265 <sub>a</sub>	73.2%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	74.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
Works	Not retained	522 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	97 <sub>a</sub>	26.8%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	25.3%	$1_{a}$	20.0%
Goodwill	Retained	125 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	45 <sub>a</sub>	70.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not retained	34 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	19 <sub>a</sub>	29.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
IID	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Mature	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	65.6%	14 <sub>a</sub>	82.4%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Services	Not retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	34.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	17.6%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
ABLE	Retained	51 <sub>a</sub>	78.5%	$4^{2}$	100.0%	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	21.5%	$0^{2}$	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NAPCA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	63 <sub>a</sub>	82.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	17.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NCBA	Retained	71 <sub>a</sub>	74.7%	319 <sub>b</sub>	86.7%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	25.3%	49 <sub>b</sub>	13.3%	$0^2$	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NCOA	Retained	278 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%	89 <sub>a</sub>	71.8%	32 <sub>a</sub>	71.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not retained	99 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%	35 <sub>a</sub>	28.2%	13 <sub>a</sub>	28.9%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NICOA	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Urban League	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	41 <sub>a</sub>	75.9%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	13 <sub>a</sub>	24.1%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
QCS	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
SER	Retained	86 <sub>a</sub>	67.7%	30 <sub>a</sub>	65.2%	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
	Not retained	41 <sub>a</sub>	32.3%	16 <sub>a</sub>	34.8%	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%
SSAI	Retained	326 <sub>a</sub>	71.0%	171 <sub>a</sub>	65.5%	42 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not retained	133 <sub>a</sub>	29.0%	90 <sub>a</sub>	34.5%	18 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%

# Table 1: Employment Retention, Grantees by Race

				Com	mon Meas	ures Emj	ployment F	Retention	: Race		
		W	hite	B	lack	А	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
VATD	Retained	31 <sub>a</sub>	93.9%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
TWI	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
National	Retained	3672 <sub>a</sub>	70.3%	1768 <sub>a</sub>	71.1%	187 <sub>a</sub>	75.1%	124 <sub>a</sub>	70.5%	18 <sub>a</sub>	72.0%
Grantees	Not retained	1553 <sub>a</sub>	29.7%	718 <sub>a</sub>	28.9%	62 <sub>a</sub>	24.9%	52 <sub>a</sub>	29.5%	7 <sub>a</sub>	28.0%
Alabama	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Alaska	Retained	45 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	01,2	.0%	8 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^2$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
Arizona	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Arkansas	Retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	61.9%	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	38.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
California	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	67.7%	13 <sub>a</sub>	86.7%	9 <sub>a</sub>	90.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	32.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	13.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	10.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
Colorado	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Connecticut	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	82.4%	11 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	17.6%	$0^2$	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Delaware	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
District of	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Columbia	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Florida	Retained	63 <sub>a</sub>	79.7%	29 <sub>a</sub>	78.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	20.3%	8 <sub>a</sub>	21.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Georgia	Retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	69.0%	49 <sub>a</sub>	81.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	31.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	18.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Hawaii	Retained	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
Idaho	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Illinois	Retained	32 <sub>a</sub>	86.5%	17 <sub>a</sub>	89.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	13.5%	2 <sub>a</sub>	10.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Indiana	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	67.7%	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%

				Com	mon Meas	ures Emj	ployment F	Retention	: Race		
		W	hite	B	lack	А	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	32.3%	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Iowa	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Kansas	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
Kentucky	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Louisiana	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%	01,2	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	01,2	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
Maine	Retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	76.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	24.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
Maryland	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
Massachusetts	Retained	33 <sub>a</sub>	82.5%	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	17.5%	$0^{2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Michigan	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>78.9%</mark>	3 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>37.5%</mark>	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	21.1%	5 <sub>b</sub>	62.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
Minnesota	Retained	26 <sub>a</sub>	61.9%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	38.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%
Mississippi	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Missouri	Retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	75.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	24.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	01,2	.0%
Montana	Retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	72.2%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	27.8%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
Nebraska	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Nevada	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
New	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Hampshire	Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
New Jersey	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
New Mexico	Retained	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

				Com	mon Meas	ures Emj	ployment F	Retention	: Race		
		W	hite	B	ack	А	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
New York	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	53.6%	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	11 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	46.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	8 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
North Carolina	Retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	16 <sub>a</sub>	69.6%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	30.4%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
North Dakota	Retained	$20^{2}$	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Ohio	Retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	65.9%	8 <sub>a</sub>	53.3%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	34.1%	7 <sub>a</sub>	46.7%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
Oklahoma	Retained	28 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Oregon	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	65.6%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	11,2	100.0%
	Not retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	34.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Pennsylvania	Retained	37 <sub>a</sub>	61.7%	9 <sub>a</sub>	39.1%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	23 <sub>a</sub>	38.3%	14 <sub>a</sub>	60.9%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Rhode Island	Retained	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
South Carolina	Retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	81.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	84.2%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	19.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	15.8%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
South Dakota	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$1^{1,2}$	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Tennessee	Retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	17 <sub>a</sub>	58.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	12 <sub>a</sub>	41.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Texas	Retained	125 <sub>a</sub>	88.7%	22 <sub>a</sub>	88.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	11.3%	3 <sub>a</sub>	12.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Utah	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	$1^{1,2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	$0^2$	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Vermont	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
Virginia	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Washington	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^2$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
West Virginia	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Wisconsin	Retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Wyoming	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

				Com	mon Meas	ures Emj	ployment F	Retention	: Race		
		W	hite	Bl	ack	А	sian	American Indian		Pacific Islander	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
Guam	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$1^{1,2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
Virgin Islands	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	Not retained	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
State Grantees	Retained	856 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%	349 <sub>a</sub>	72.6%	35 <sub>a</sub>	67.3%	37 <sub>a</sub>	68.5%	8 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%
	Not retained	315 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%	132 <sub>a</sub>	27.4%	17 <sub>a</sub>	32.7%	17 <sub>a</sub>	31.5%	6 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%
Nationwide	Retained	4528 <sub>a</sub>	70.8%	2117 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	222 <sub>a</sub>	73.8%	161 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	Not retained	1868 <sub>a</sub>	29.2%	850 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	79 <sub>a</sub>	26.2%	69 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p<0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

1		Common Measures Employment Retention: Ethnicity							
		Hispanic Not Hispanic							
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent				
AARP	Retained	453 <sub>a</sub>	70.7%	1481 <sub>b</sub>	63.6%				
	Not retained	188 <sub>a</sub>	29.3%	847 <sub>b</sub>	36.4%				
ANPPM	Retained	26 <sub>a</sub>	70.3%	28 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%				
	Not retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	29.7%	8 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%				
Easter Seals	Retained	28 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	153 <sub>a</sub>	77.7%				
	Not retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	44 <sub>a</sub>	22.3%				
Experience Works	Retained	130 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	1623 <sub>a</sub>	72.9%				
	Not retained	37 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	602 <sub>a</sub>	27.1%				
Goodwill	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	150 <sub>a</sub>	75.8%				
	Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	48 <sub>a</sub>	24.2%				
IID	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%				
Mature Services	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	70.2%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	29.8%				
ABLE	Retained	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	55 <sub>a</sub>	77.5%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	22.5%				
NAPCA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	67 <sub>a</sub>	84.8%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	15.2%				
NCBA	Retained	8 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	368 <sub>a</sub>	84.0%				
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	70 <sub>a</sub>	16.0%				
NCOA	Retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	65.9%	395 <sub>a</sub>	73.6%				
	Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	34.1%	142 <sub>a</sub>	26.4%				
NICOA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	65.5%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	34.5%				
Urban League	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	51 <sub>a</sub>	77.3%				
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	22.7%				
QCS	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%				
SER	Retained	40 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	78 <sub>a</sub>	59.5%				
	Not retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	53 <sub>a</sub>	40.5%				
SSAI	Retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	56.4%	535 <sub>a</sub>	69.3%				
	Not retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	43.6%	237 <sub>a</sub>	30.7%				
VATD	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	93.9%				
	Not retained	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.1%				

 Table 2: Employment Retention Grantees by Ethnicity

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Ethnicit							
		Hispanic Not Hispanic							
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent				
TWI	Retained	$2^{2}$	100.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	84.0%				
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	16.0%				
National Grantees	Retained	767 <sub>a</sub>	71.7%	5096 <sub>a</sub>	70.5%				
	Not retained	303 <sub>a</sub>	28.3%	2129 <sub>a</sub>	29.5%				
Alabama	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%				
Alaska	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	53 <sub>a</sub>	72.6%				
	Not retained	$1_{a}$	14.3%	20 <sub>a</sub>	27.4%				
Arizona	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%				
Arkansas	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	75.8%				
	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	24.2%				
California	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%	31 <sub>a</sub>	73.8%				
	Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%	11 <sub>a</sub>	26.2%				
Colorado	Retained	$1^{1,2}$	100.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%				
Connecticut	Retained	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%				
	Not retained	$0^{2}$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%				
Delaware	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%				
District of	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%				
Columbia	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%				
Florida	Retained	32 <sub>a</sub>	84.2%	65 <sub>a</sub>	77.4%				
	Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	15.8%	19 <sub>a</sub>	22.6%				
Georgia	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	77 <sub>a</sub>	76.2%				
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	24 <sub>a</sub>	23.8%				
Hawaii	Retained	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%				
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%				
Idaho	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%				
Illinois	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	41 <sub>a</sub>	87.2%				
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	6 <sub>a</sub>	12.8%				
Indiana	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	27 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%				
	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%				
Iowa	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	64.7%				

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Ethnici							
		Hispanic Not Hispanic							
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	35.3%				
Kansas	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%				
Kentucky	Retained	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	64.0%				
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	36.0%				
Louisiana	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%				
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%				
Maine	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%				
	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%				
Maryland	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%				
Massachusetts	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	35 <sub>a</sub>	81.4%				
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	8 <sub>a</sub>	18.6%				
Michigan	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%				
Minnesota	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%				
	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%				
Mississippi	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%				
Missouri	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%				
Montana	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	73.9%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	б <sub>а</sub>	26.1%				
Nebraska	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%				
Nevada	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%				
New Hampshire	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%				
New Jersey	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%				
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%				
New Mexico	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%				
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%				
New York	Retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	29 <sub>a</sub>	53.7%				
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	25 <sub>a</sub>	46.3%				

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Ethnicity			
		Hispanic		Not Hispanic	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
North Carolina	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	67.3%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	32.7%
North Dakota	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	22 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
Ohio	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	36 <sub>a</sub>	61.0%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	39.0%
Oklahoma	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	78.9%
	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	21.1%
Oregon	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	67.7%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	32.3%
Pennsylvania	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	44 <sub>a</sub>	53.0%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	39 <sub>a</sub>	47.0%
Rhode Island	Retained	$2^{2}$	100.0%	7 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
South Carolina	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	80.5%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	19.5%
South Dakota	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
Tennessee	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	56.5%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	43.5%
Texas	Retained	56 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	98 <sub>a</sub>	89.9%
	Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	11 <sub>a</sub>	10.1%
Utah	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%
Vermont	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
Virginia	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%
Washington	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
West Virginia	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%
Wisconsin	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
Wyoming	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%
	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%
Guam	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Ethnicity			
		Hispanic		Not Hispanic	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Virgin Islands	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%
	Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%
State Grantees	Retained	150 <sub>a</sub>	81.1%	1126 <sub>b</sub>	71.5%
	Not retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	18.9%	448 <sub>b</sub>	28.5%
Nationwide	Retained	917 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%	6222 <sub>a</sub>	70.7%
	Not retained	338 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%	2577 <sub>a</sub>	29.3%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Minority			
		Minority Not minority			
		Count Percent		Count	Percent
AARP	Retained	1156 <sub>a</sub>	66.6%	806 <sub>b</sub>	63.0%
	Not retained	580 <sub>a</sub>	33.4%	474 <sub>b</sub>	37.0%
ANPPM	Retained	44 <sub>a</sub>	74.6%	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%
	Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	25.4%	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%
Easter Seals	Retained	124 <sub>a</sub>	80.5%	66 <sub>a</sub>	71.0%
	Not retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	19.5%	27 <sub>a</sub>	29.0%
Experience Works	Retained	453 <sub>a</sub>	74.4%	1329 <sub>a</sub>	72.9%
	Not retained	156 <sub>a</sub>	25.6%	494 <sub>a</sub>	27.1%
Goodwill	Retained	72 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	107 <sub>a</sub>	79.3%
	Not retained	27 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	28 <sub>a</sub>	20.7%
IID	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
Mature Services	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	20 <sub>a</sub>	64.5%
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	11 <sub>a</sub>	35.5%
ABLE	Retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	92.9%	49 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.1%	14 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%
NAPCA	Retained	66 <sub>a</sub>	83.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	16.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NCBA	Retained	330 <sub>a</sub>	87.1%	68 <sub>b</sub>	73.9%
	Not retained	49 <sub>a</sub>	12.9%	24 <sub>b</sub>	26.1%
NCOA	Retained	151 <sub>a</sub>	69.9%	265 <sub>a</sub>	75.1%
	Not retained	65 <sub>a</sub>	30.1%	88 <sub>a</sub>	24.9%
NICOA	Retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%
	Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%
Urban League	Retained	44 <sub>a</sub>	74.6%	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
	Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	25.4%	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%
QCS	Retained	$2^2$	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
SER	Retained	76 <sub>a</sub>	68.5%	56 <sub>a</sub>	62.2%
	Not retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	31.5%	34 <sub>a</sub>	37.8%
SSAI	Retained	242 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%	317 <sub>a</sub>	71.2%
	Not retained	130 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%	128 <sub>a</sub>	28.8%
VATD	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	93.9%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.1%

Table 3: Employment Retention, Grantees by Minority Status

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Minority			
		Minority		Not minority	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
TWI	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	93.8%	9 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	6.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%
National Grantees	Retained	2824 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	3150 <sub>a</sub>	70.2%
	Not retained	1130 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	1339 <sub>a</sub>	29.8%
Alabama	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%
Alaska	Retained	18 <sub>a</sub>	72.0%	43 <sub>a</sub>	75.4%
	Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	28.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	24.6%
Arizona	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%
Arkansas	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	13 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%
California	Retained	38 <sub>a</sub>	80.9%	11 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%
	Not retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	19.1%	8 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%
Colorado	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%
Connecticut	Retained	14 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%
	Not retained	$0^{2}$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%
Delaware	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%
District of	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Columbia	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Florida	Retained	62 <sub>a</sub>	81.6%	37 <sub>a</sub>	77.1%
	Not retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	18.4%	11 <sub>a</sub>	22.9%
Georgia	Retained	53 <sub>a</sub>	81.5%	29 <sub>a</sub>	69.0%
	Not retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	18.5%	13 <sub>a</sub>	31.0%
Hawaii	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	61.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	38.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Idaho	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
Illinois	Retained	25 <sub>a</sub>	89.3%	30 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	10.7%	5 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%
Indiana	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
Iowa	Retained	$\frac{2}{2a}$	<mark>33.3%</mark>	10 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>83.3%</mark>

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Minority				
		Minority		Not minority		
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	2 <sub>b</sub>	16.7%	
Kansas	Retained	$2^2$	100.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	
	Not retained	$0^{2}$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	
Kentucky	Retained	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	59.1%	
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	40.9%	
Louisiana	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	
	Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	
Maine	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	76.0%	
	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	24.0%	
Maryland	Retained	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	
	Not retained	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	
Massachusetts	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	82.5%	
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	17.5%	
Michigan	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	15 <sub>a</sub>	78.9%	
	Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	4 <sub>a</sub>	21.1%	
Minnesota	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	61.9%	
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	38.1%	
Mississippi	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	
Missouri	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	22 <sub>a</sub>	75.9%	
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	24.1%	
Montana	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	72.2%	
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	27.8%	
Nebraska	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	
Nevada	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	
New Hampshire	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	
New Jersey	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	
New Mexico	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	
	Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^2$	.0%	
New York	Retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	67.4%	8 <sub>b</sub>	40.0%	
	Not retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	32.6%	12 <sub>b</sub>	60.0%	

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Minority			
		Minority		Not minority	
		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
North Carolina	Retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	68.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	32.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
North Dakota	Retained	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$20^{2}$	100.0%
Ohio	Retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	52.9%	28 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%
	Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	47.1%	15 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%
Oklahoma	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%
Oregon	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	65.6%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	34.4%
Pennsylvania	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>38.5%</mark>	<mark>37</mark> ь	<mark>61.7%</mark>
	Not retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	23 <sub>b</sub>	38.3%
Rhode Island	Retained	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
South Carolina	Retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	81.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	19.0%
South Dakota	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
Tennessee	Retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	58.6%	9 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%
	Not retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	41.4%	7 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%
Texas	Retained	78 <sub>a</sub>	87.6%	83 <sub>a</sub>	90.2%
	Not retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	12.4%	9 <sub>a</sub>	9.8%
Utah	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
Vermont	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
	Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
Virginia	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%
Washington	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
West Virginia	Retained	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
	Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
Wisconsin	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	17 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%
	Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	10 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%
Wyoming	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%
	Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%
Guam	Not retained	$2^2$	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%

		Common Measures Employment Retention: Minority				
		Minority		Not minority		
		Count Percent Count P		Percent		
Virgin Islands	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
	Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
State Grantees	Retained	572 <sub>a</sub>	73.5%	750 <sub>a</sub>	72.0%	
	Not retained	206 <sub>a</sub>	26.5%	292 <sub>a</sub>	28.0%	
Nationwide	Retained	3396 <sub>a</sub>	71.8%	3900 <sub>a</sub>	70.5%	
	Not retained	1336 <sub>a</sub>	28.2%	1631 <sub>a</sub>	29.5%	

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

## Appendix E: Average Earnings Tables, Grantees

				Common M	Ieasures A	Average Ear	nings: Ra	ce		
	W	hite	Bl	ack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean
AARP	<mark>843</mark>	<mark>8,477</mark> a	<mark>508</mark>	<mark>7,482<sub>b</sub></mark>	17	6,937 <sub>a,b</sub>	20	8,624 <sub>a,b</sub>	2	3,752 <sub>a,b</sub>
ANPPM	31	7,567 <sub>a</sub>	14	7,630 <sub>a</sub>	1	$7,680^{1}$	0	.2	0	.2
Easter Seals	65	7,252 <sub>a</sub>	77	9,068 <sub>a</sub>	8	11,494 <sub>a</sub>	6	8,515 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2
Experience Works	1295	7,375 <sub>a</sub>	247	6,776 <sub>a</sub>	2	10,616 <sub>a</sub>	53	6,801 <sub>a</sub>	4	4,725 <sub>a</sub>
Goodwill	113	7,169 <sub>a</sub>	42	6,722 <sub>a</sub>	2	5,218 <sub>a</sub>	3	6,538 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2
IID	2	6,050 <sub>a</sub>	3	5,975 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	1	12,418 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
Mature Services	<mark>20</mark>	<mark>7,331</mark> a	<mark>9</mark>	<mark>4,059<sub>b</sub></mark>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
ABLE	48	8,744 <sub>a</sub>	3	9,186 <sub>a</sub>	5	9,835 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2
NAPCA	1	20,400 <sup>1</sup>	3	12,521 <sub>a</sub>	60	7,289 <sub>b</sub>	0	.2	0	.2
NCBA	71	8,047 <sub>a</sub>	298	7,957 <sub>a</sub>	2	13,565 <sub>a</sub>	2	6,612 <sub>a</sub>	1	12,360 <sup>1</sup>
NCOA	<mark>261</mark>	<mark>7,528</mark> a	78	7,284 <sub>a,b</sub>	<mark>30</mark>	<mark>4,687<sub>b</sub></mark>	2	5,910 <sub>a,b</sub>	1	12,096 <sup>1</sup>
NICOA	2	6,002 <sub>a</sub>	2	9,775 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	13	8,584 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2
Urban League	8	7,921 <sub>a</sub>	36	11,393 <sub>a</sub>	1	16 <sup>1</sup>	1	$7,800^{1}$	0	.2
QCS	2	14,665 <sup>2</sup>	1	9,152 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
SER	68	8,993 <sub>a</sub>	24	9,094 <sub>a</sub>	3	6,924 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	2	9,270 <sub>a</sub>
SSAI	304	6,938 <sub>a</sub>	166	6,957 <sub>a</sub>	34	5,434 <sub>a</sub>	10	8,162 <sub>a</sub>	1	7,781 <sup>1</sup>
VATD	29	8,390 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	1	6,091 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
TWI	11	10,689 <sub>a</sub>	10	9,326 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	1	11,960 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
National Grantees	3174	7,730 <sub>a</sub>	1521	7,578 <sub>a</sub>	165	6,721 <sub>a</sub>	113	7,612 <sub>a</sub>	11	7,017 <sub>a</sub>
Alabama	6	4,595 <sub>a</sub>	10	5,175 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Alaska	45	8,813 <sub>a</sub>	5	6,973 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	8	9,418 <sub>a</sub>	1	$7,587^{1}$
Arizona	11	6,287 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Arkansas	13	6,495 <sub>a</sub>	11	6,738 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
California	19	9,769 <sub>a</sub>	13	9,126 <sub>a</sub>	7	9,355 <sub>a</sub>	1	<b>9,880</b> <sup>1</sup>	1	$10,880^{1}$
Colorado	3	5,973 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Connecticut	7	10,098 <sub>a</sub>	8	6,594 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Delaware	3	9,753 <sub>a</sub>	3	6,518 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
District of Columbia	0	.2	9	6,377 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Florida	57	7,248 <sub>a</sub>	29	8,430 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	2	11,960 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2
Georgia	28	6,208 <sub>a</sub>	47	8,592 <sub>b</sub>	1	7,381 <sup>1</sup>	3	5,876 <sub>a,b</sub>	0	.2
Hawaii	2	1,197 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	5	5,648 <sub>a</sub>	1	11,203 <sup>1</sup>	2	10,970 <sub>a</sub>
Idaho	3	6,820 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Illinois	27	6,800 <sub>a</sub>	15	6,082 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2

 Table 1: Average Earnings, Grantees by Race

				Common M	Aeasures A	Average Ear	nings: Ra	ce		
	W	hite	B	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	: Islander
	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean
Indiana	21	<mark>6,761</mark> a	5	<mark>3,365</mark> ь	0	.2	1	3,812 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
Iowa	8	9,309 <sub>a</sub>	2	2,119 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Kansas	7	$7,007^2$	1	3,683 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Kentucky	11	7,493 <sub>a</sub>	2	5,301 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Louisiana	8	9,457 <sub>a</sub>	11	6,361 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	2	7,200 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2
Maine	17	5,282 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Maryland	1	$24,960^{1}$	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Massachusetts	31	11,434 <sub>a</sub>	5	9,930 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Michigan	15	8,678 <sub>a</sub>	3	4,650 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	1	13,400 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
Minnesota	24	5,693 <sub>a</sub>	2	$4,807_{a}$	1	7,215 <sup>1</sup>	1	$10,780^{1}$	0	.2
Mississippi	1	9,898 <sup>1</sup>	6	6,420 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Missouri	22	6,497 <sub>a</sub>	1	$5,362^{1}$	1	3,900 <sup>1</sup>	2	11,225 <sub>b</sub>	0	.2
Montana	12	10,541 <sub>a</sub>	1	$7,200^{1}$	0	.2	2	9,912 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2
Nebraska	2	6,960 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Nevada	1	5,990 <sup>1</sup>	2	5,615 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
New Hampshire	10	5,708 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
New Jersey	7	13,322 <sub>a</sub>	4	8,188 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	1	22,540 <sup>1</sup>
New Mexico	2	7,241 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
New York	14	5,458 <sub>a</sub>	7	5,196 <sub>a</sub>	8	8,072 <sub>a</sub>	1	$10,500^{1}$	0	.2
North Carolina	16	5,404 <sub>a</sub>	15	5,048 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	1	2,436 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
North Dakota	14	$7,298^2$	1	6,143 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2	1	8,580 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
Ohio	28	6,375 <sub>a</sub>	7	13,807 <sub>b</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Oklahoma	24	6,922 <sub>a</sub>	2	4,069 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	1	3,480 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
Oregon	20	8,579 <sup>2</sup>	1	$10,240^{1}$	0	.2	1	6,210 <sup>1</sup>	1	$17,680^1$
Pennsylvania	35	6,876 <sub>a</sub>	8	7,782 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	1	3,418 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
Rhode Island	4	10,826 <sub>a</sub>	2	5,343 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	1	6,912 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2
South Carolina	16	6,544 <sub>a</sub>	16	5,817 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
South Dakota	2	4,338 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	1	<b>9,890</b> <sup>1</sup>	1	7,916 <sup>1</sup>
Tennessee	7	4,281 <sub>a</sub>	16	6,795 <sub>b</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Texas	111	8,544 <sub>a</sub>	21	9,001 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	1	8,372 <sup>1</sup>
Utah	6	4,832 <sub>a</sub>	3	16,174 <sub>a</sub>	2	7,430 <sub>a</sub>	1	$4,608^{1}$	0	.2
Vermont	12	6,710 <sup>2</sup>	1	6,500 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Virginia	5	4,742 <sub>a</sub>	10	6,730 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Washington	6	8,990 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	3	7,363 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2
West Virginia	5	3,780 <sub>a</sub>	2	4,100 <sub>a</sub>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2
Wisconsin	15	9,638 <sub>a</sub>	5	5,085 <sub>b</sub>	1	8,640 <sup>1</sup>	1	9,460 <sup>1</sup>	0	.2

		Common Measures Average Earnings: Race										
	W	hite	Black		Asian		American Indian		Pacific Islander			
	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean		
Wyoming	6	8,627 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2		
Virgin Islands	1	$8,100^{1}$	9	6,263 <sup>2</sup>	0	.2	0	.2	0	.2		
State Grantees	771	7,608 <sub>a</sub>	321	7,263 <sub>a</sub>	29	7,668 <sub>a</sub>	34	8,475 <sub>a</sub>	8	12,114 <sub>a</sub>		
Nationwide	3945	7,706	1842	7,523 <sub>a</sub>	194	6,863	147	7,812	19	9,163 <sub>a</sub>		

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column means. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3,4</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because there are no other valid categories to compare

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

4. Pairwise comparisons are not performed for some subtables because of numerical problems.

	Common	Measures Avera	age Earnings: 1	Ethnicity				
	Hispanic Not Hispanic							
	Count	Mean	Count	Mean				
AARP	365	8,168 <sub>a</sub>	1103	8,046 <sub>a</sub>				
ANPPM	24	7,343 <sub>a</sub>	24	7,850 <sub>a</sub>				
Easter Seals	22	8,316 <sub>a</sub>	140	7,990 <sub>a</sub>				
Experience Works	<mark>127</mark>	<mark>5,723</mark> a	<mark>1467</mark>	<mark>7,401<sub>b</sub></mark>				
Goodwill	16	7,781 <sub>a</sub>	138	6,971 <sub>a</sub>				
IID	0	$\cdot^1$	6	7,074 <sup>1</sup>				
Mature Services	1	8,000 <sup>2</sup>	27	6,301 <sup>1</sup>				
ABLE	4	6,294 <sub>a</sub>	50	8,408 <sub>a</sub>				
NAPCA	1	11,408 <sup>2</sup>	64	7,709 <sup>1</sup>				
NCBA	7	10,594 <sub>a</sub>	347	8,214 <sub>a</sub>				
NCOA	29	9,477 <sub>a</sub>	364	7,045 <sub>b</sub>				
NICOA	0	$\cdot^1$	17	8,420 <sup>1</sup>				
Urban League	1	13,800 <sup>2</sup>	44	10,358 <sup>1</sup>				
QCS	1	24,704 <sup>2</sup>	2	6,889 <sup>1</sup>				
SER	30	9,395 <sub>a</sub>	63	8,968 <sub>a</sub>				
SSAI	19	8,444 <sub>a</sub>	503	6,849 <sub>a</sub>				
VATD	0	.1	29	8,439 <sup>1</sup>				
TWI	2	12,480 <sub>a</sub>	19	9,646 <sub>a</sub>				
National Grantees	649	7,845 <sub>a</sub>	4407	7,623 <sub>a</sub>				
Alabama	0	.1	14	4,960 <sup>1</sup>				
Alaska	6	7,781 <sub>a</sub>	53	8,795 <sub>a</sub>				
Arizona	0	.1	10	5,950 <sup>1</sup>				
Arkansas	0	.1	24	6,606 <sup>1</sup>				
California	13	8,944 <sub>a</sub>	27	8,913 <sub>a</sub>				
Colorado	1	7,990 <sup>2</sup>	2	4,965 <sup>1</sup>				
Connecticut	2	8,340 <sub>a</sub>	13	8,212 <sub>a</sub>				
Delaware	0	.1	6	8,136 <sup>1</sup>				
District of	0	$\cdot^1$	9	6,377 <sup>1</sup>				
Columbia								
Florida	<mark>29</mark>	<mark>5,733<sub>a</sub></mark>	<mark>62</mark>	<mark>8,397<sub>b</sub></mark>				
Georgia	2	7,548 <sub>a</sub>	74	7,564 <sub>a</sub>				
Hawaii	2	1,197 <sub>a</sub>	8	7,673 <sub>a</sub>				
Idaho	0	.1	2	8,8481				
Illinois	8	5,663 <sub>a</sub>	35	6,973 <sub>a</sub>				

Table 2: Average Earnings, Grantees by Ethnicity

	Common	Measures Aver	age Earnings:	Ethnicity					
	Hispanic Not Hispanic								
	Count	Mean	Count	Mean					
Indiana	0	.1	27	$6,008^{1}$					
Iowa	0	.1	9	8,113 <sup>1</sup>					
Kansas	1	4,902 <sup>2</sup>	7	6,833 <sup>1</sup>					
Kentucky	2	5,070 <sub>a</sub>	12	7,417 <sub>a</sub>					
Louisiana	1	8,768 <sup>2</sup>	20	7,563 <sup>1</sup>					
Maine	0	.1	16	5,270 <sup>1</sup>					
Maryland	0	.1	1	24,960 <sup>2</sup>					
Massachusetts	1	4,245 <sup>2</sup>	33	11,839 <sup>1</sup>					
Michigan	0	.1	18	8,643 <sup>1</sup>					
Minnesota	0	$.^1$	26	5,820 <sup>1</sup>					
Mississippi	0	.1	7	6,917 <sup>1</sup>					
Missouri	0	.1	25	6,805 <sup>1</sup>					
Montana	0	.1	16	9,894 <sup>1</sup>					
Nebraska	0	.1	2	6,960 <sup>1</sup>					
Nevada	0	.1	3	5,740 <sup>1</sup>					
New Hampshire	0	.1	10	5,708 <sup>1</sup>					
New Jersey	1	15,600 <sup>2</sup>	13	12,534 <sup>1</sup>					
New Mexico	0	.1	2	7,241 <sup>1</sup>					
New York	8	5,611 <sub>a</sub>	24	6,980 <sub>a</sub>					
North Carolina	0	$\cdot^1$	32	5,145 <sup>1</sup>					
North Dakota	0	.1	14	7,191 <sup>1</sup>					
Ohio	1	800 <sup>2</sup>	34	8,069 <sup>1</sup>					
Oklahoma	0	$\cdot^1$	26	6,516 <sup>1</sup>					
Oregon	0	.1	20	9,474 <sup>1</sup>					
Pennsylvania	0	$.^1$	41	7,147 <sup>1</sup>					
Rhode Island	2	2,786 <sub>a</sub>	7	8,700 <sub>a</sub>					
South Carolina	0	.1	32	6,181 <sup>1</sup>					
South Dakota	0	.1	4	6,620 <sup>1</sup>					
Tennessee	0	.1	23	6,030 <sup>1</sup>					
Texas	<mark>49</mark>	<mark>7,571</mark> a	<mark>89</mark>	<mark>9,120<sub>b</sub></mark>					
Utah	5	4,906 <sub>a</sub>	7	10,351 <sub>a</sub>					
Vermont	0	.1	12	6,872 <sup>1</sup>					
Virginia	0	.1	14	6,349 <sup>1</sup>					
Washington	0	.1	9	8,448 <sup>1</sup>					
West Virginia	0	.1	7	3,871 <sup>1</sup>					

	Common	Measures Ave	rage Earnings:	Ethnicity						
	Hispa	Hispanic Not Hispanic								
	Count	Count Mean Count Mean								
Wisconsin	0	.1	21	8,777 <sup>1</sup>						
Wyoming	0	.1	6	8,627 <sup>1</sup>						
Virgin Islands	1	8,100 <sup>2</sup>	9	6,263 <sup>1</sup>						
State Grantees	<mark>135</mark>	<mark>6,779</mark> a	<mark>1017</mark>	7,697 <sub>b</sub>						
Nationwide	784	7,661 <sub>a</sub>	5424	7,637 <sub>a</sub>						

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column means. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3,4</sup> 1. This category is not used in comparisons because there are no other valid categories to compare

2. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

4. Pairwise comparisons are not performed for some subtables because of numerical problems.

	Common Measures Average Earnings: Minority										
	Mino	Minority Not min									
	Count	Mean	Count	Mean							
AARP	<mark>894</mark>	<mark>7,798</mark> a	<mark>589</mark>	<mark>8,472<sub>b</sub></mark>							
ANPPM	39	7,455 <sub>a</sub>	9	8,211 <sub>a</sub>							
Easter Seals	111	8,998 <sub>a</sub>	59	7,190 <sub>a</sub>							
Experience Works	<mark>426</mark>	<mark>6,498</mark> a	<mark>1194</mark>	7,524 <sub>b</sub>							
Goodwill	63	6,935 <sub>a</sub>	98	7,087 <sub>a</sub>							
IID	4	7,586 <sub>a</sub>	2	6,050 <sub>a</sub>							
Mature Services	<mark>10</mark>	<mark>4,453</mark> a	<mark>19</mark>	7,296 <sub>b</sub>							
ABLE	11	9,014 <sub>a</sub>	46	8,637 <sub>a</sub>							
NAPCA	63	7,538 <sup>1</sup>	1	20,400 <sup>2</sup>							
NCBA	308	8,062 <sub>a</sub>	68	7,885 <sub>a</sub>							
NCOA	138	7,165 <sub>a</sub>	248	7,243 <sub>a</sub>							
NICOA	15	8,743 <sub>a</sub>	2	6,002 <sub>a</sub>							
Urban League	39	11,071 <sub>a</sub>	8	7,921 <sub>a</sub>							
QCS	2	16,928 <sup>1</sup>	1	4,626 <sup>2</sup>							
SER	59	9,143 <sub>a</sub>	45	8,940 <sub>a</sub>							
SSAI	225	6,862 <sub>a</sub>	297	6,891 <sub>a</sub>							
VATD	1	6,091 <sup>2</sup>	29	8,390 <sup>1</sup>							
TWI	13	10,014 <sub>a</sub>	9	10,291 <sub>a</sub>							
National Grantees	2421	7,601 <sub>a</sub>	2724	7,684 <sub>a</sub>							
Alabama	10	5,175 <sub>a</sub>	6	4,595 <sub>a</sub>							
Alaska	18	8,561 <sub>a</sub>	43	8,724 <sub>a</sub>							
Arizona	0	.1	11	6,287 <sup>1</sup>							
Arkansas	11	6,738 <sub>a</sub>	13	6,495 <sub>a</sub>							
California	35	9,176 <sub>a</sub>	9	10,354 <sub>a</sub>							
Colorado	1	7,990 <sup>2</sup>	2	4,965 <sup>1</sup>							
Connecticut	10	6,943 <sub>a</sub>	5	10,801 <sub>a</sub>							
Delaware	3	6,518 <sub>a</sub>	3	9,753 <sub>a</sub>							
District of	9	6,377 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1							
Columbia											
Florida	59	7,181 <sub>a</sub>	34	8,524 <sub>a</sub>							
Georgia	51	8,408 <sub>a</sub>	28	6,208 <sub>b</sub>							
Hawaii	10	6,378 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1							
Idaho	0	.1	3	6,820 <sup>1</sup>							
Illinois	23	5,936 <sub>a</sub>	25	6,948 <sub>a</sub>							

Table 3: Average Earnings, Grantees by Minority Status

	Common	Measures Ave	rage Earnings:	Minority
	Mino	ority	Not m	inority
	Count	Mean	Count	Mean
Indiana	<mark>6</mark>	<mark>3,439</mark> a	<mark>21</mark>	<mark>6,761<sub>b</sub></mark>
Iowa	2	2,119 <sub>a</sub>	8	9,309 <sub>a</sub>
Kansas	2	4,293 <sub>a</sub>	6	7,358 <sub>a</sub>
Kentucky	4	5,186 <sub>a</sub>	9	8,032 <sub>a</sub>
Louisiana	14	6,652 <sub>a</sub>	7	9,556 <sub>a</sub>
Maine	0	.1	17	5,282 <sup>1</sup>
Maryland	0	.1	1	24,960 <sup>2</sup>
Massachusetts	6	8,982 <sub>a</sub>	31	11,434 <sub>a</sub>
Michigan	4	6,838 <sub>a</sub>	15	8,678 <sub>a</sub>
Minnesota	4	6,902 <sub>a</sub>	24	5,693 <sub>a</sub>
Mississippi	6	6,420 <sup>1</sup>	1	9,898 <sup>2</sup>
Missouri	4	7,928 <sub>a</sub>	22	6,497 <sub>a</sub>
Montana	3	9,008 <sub>a</sub>	12	10,541 <sub>a</sub>
Nebraska	0	.1	2	6,960 <sup>1</sup>
Nevada	2	5,615 <sup>1</sup>	1	5,990 <sup>2</sup>
New Hampshire	0	.1	10	5,708 <sup>1</sup>
New Jersey	6	11,815 <sub>a</sub>	7	13,322 <sub>a</sub>
New Mexico	0	.1	2	7,241 <sup>1</sup>
New York	24	6,514 <sub>a</sub>	7	5,000 <sub>a</sub>
North Carolina	16	4,885 <sub>a</sub>	16	5,404 <sub>a</sub>
North Dakota	2	7,361 <sub>a</sub>	14	7,298 <sub>a</sub>
Ohio	8	12,181 <sub>a</sub>	27	6,581 <sub>a</sub>
Oklahoma	3	3,873 <sub>a</sub>	24	6,922 <sub>a</sub>
Oregon	3	11,377 <sub>a</sub>	20	8,579 <sub>a</sub>
Pennsylvania	9	7,297 <sub>a</sub>	35	6,876 <sub>a</sub>
Rhode Island	5	4,634 <sub>a</sub>	4	10,826 <sub>a</sub>
South Carolina	16	5,817 <sub>a</sub>	16	6,544 <sub>a</sub>
South Dakota	2	8,903 <sub>a</sub>	2	4,338 <sub>a</sub>
Tennessee	16	6,795 <sub>a</sub>	7	4,281 <sub>b</sub>
Texas	70	8,056 <sub>a</sub>	75	8,970 <sub>a</sub>
Utah	10	8,791 <sub>a</sub>	2	4,535 <sub>a</sub>
Vermont	1	6,500 <sup>2</sup>	12	6,710 <sup>1</sup>
Virginia	10	6,730 <sub>a</sub>	5	4,742 <sub>a</sub>
Washington	3	7,363 <sub>a</sub>	6	8,990 <sub>a</sub>
West Virginia	2	4,100 <sub>a</sub>	5	3,780 <sub>a</sub>

	Common	Measures Ave	rage Earnings:	Minority			
	Mino	ority	Not minority				
	Count	Mean	Count	Mean			
Wisconsin	7	6,218 <sub>a</sub>	15	9,638 <sub>a</sub>			
Wyoming	0	.1	6	8,627 <sup>1</sup>			
Virgin Islands	10	6,447 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1			
State Grantees	520	7,324 <sub>a</sub>	676	7,725 <sub>a</sub>			
Nationwide	2941	7,552 <sub>a</sub>	3400	7,692 <sub>a</sub>			

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column means. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3,4</sup> 1. This category is not used in comparisons because there are no other valid categories to compare

2. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

4. Pairwise comparisons are not performed for some subtables because of numerical problems.

					Cor	nmon Meas	sures Ent	ered Emplo	ovment:	Race		
			W	/hite		lack		sian		an Indian	Pacific	Islander
		-	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	AR	Employed	45 <sub>a</sub>	44.6%	47 <sub>a</sub>	42.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	56 <sub>a</sub>	55.4%	63 <sub>a</sub>	57.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	AZ	Employed	42 <sub>a</sub>	47.2%	11 <sub>a</sub>	52.4%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	52.8%	10 <sub>a</sub>	47.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^2$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	CA	Employed	112 <sub>a</sub>	59.3%	33 <sub>a</sub>	54.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	77 <sub>a</sub>	40.7%	28 <sub>a</sub>	45.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	СО	Employed	101 <sub>a</sub>	50.8%	38 <sub>a</sub>	45.2%	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	98 <sub>a</sub>	49.2%	46 <sub>a</sub>	54.8%	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%
	FL	Employed	<mark>654</mark> a	<mark>50.3%</mark>	153 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>41.4%</mark>	6 <sub>a,b</sub>	54.5%	$0^2$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	647 <sub>a</sub>	49.7%	217 <mark>ь</mark>	58.6%	5 <sub>a,b</sub>	45.5%	8 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	GA	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	73 <sub>a</sub>	52.9%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	65 <sub>a</sub>	47.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IA	Employed	98 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>64.1%</mark>	<mark>16</mark> ь	<mark>34.0%</mark>	$2^{2}$	100.0%	4 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	55 <sub>a</sub>	35.9%	31 <sub>b</sub>	66.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
	IL	Employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	85.2%	33 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	14.8%	11 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	IN	Employed	104 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>45.0%</mark>	<mark>22</mark> ь	<mark>23.7%</mark>	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	127 <sub>a</sub>	55.0%	71 <sub>b</sub>	76.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	KY	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	16 <sub>a</sub>	48.5%	01,2	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	17 <sub>a</sub>	51.5%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	LA	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	24.1%	78 <sub>a</sub>	41.5%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	75.9%	110 <sub>a</sub>	58.5%	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	MI	Employed	117 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>60.6%</mark>	63 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>45.7%</mark>	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	11,2	100.0%
		Not employed	76 <sub>a</sub>	39.4%	75 <sub>b</sub>	54.3%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	МО	Employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	64.3%	87 <sub>a</sub>	66.4%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	35.7%	44 <sub>a</sub>	33.6%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
	NV	Employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	37.1%	26 <sub>a,b</sub>	47.3%	7 <sub>b</sub>	87.5%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	20.0%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	40.0%
		Not employed	88 <sub>a</sub>	62.9%	29 <sub>a,b</sub>	52.7%	1 <sub>b</sub>	12.5%	4 <sub>a,b</sub>	80.0%	3 <sub>a,b</sub>	60.0%
	NY	Employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	64.0%	88 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	9 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	27 <sub>a</sub>	36.0%	55 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%	7 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
	ОН	Employed	80 <sub>a</sub>	66.1%	113 <sub>a</sub>	61.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	41 <sub>a</sub>	33.9%	71 <sub>a</sub>	38.6%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%

## Appendix F: Entered Employment Tables, National Grantees by State

					Cor	nmon Meas	sures Ent	ered Emplo	ovment:	Race		
			W	/hite		lack		sian		an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	OK	Employed	89 <sub>a</sub>	56.7%	55 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	68 <sub>a</sub>	43.3%	69 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Employed	114 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>50.4%</mark>	<mark>70</mark> ь	<mark>35.9%</mark>	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	112 <sub>a</sub>	49.6%	125 <sub>b</sub>	64.1%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	PR	Employed	44 <sub>a</sub>	77.2%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	22.8%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	SC	Employed	38 <sub>a</sub>	50.7%	43 <sub>a</sub>	43.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	37 <sub>a</sub>	49.3%	57 <sub>a</sub>	57.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ΤХ	Employed	551 <sub>a</sub>	67.0%	174 <sub>a</sub>	67.7%	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	272 <sub>a</sub>	33.0%	83 <sub>a</sub>	32.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	VA	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	94 <sub>a</sub>	46.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	108 <sub>a</sub>	53.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	WA	Employed	111 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>31.8%</mark>	24 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>18.0%</mark>	4 <sub>a,b</sub>	25.0%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	18.2%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%
		Not employed	238 <sub>a</sub>	68.2%	109 <sub>b</sub>	82.0%	12 <sub>a,b</sub>	75.0%	9 <sub>a,b</sub>	81.8%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%
ANPPM	AZ	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	22.5%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	31 <sub>a</sub>	77.5%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	CA	Employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	38.4%	6 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	45 <sub>a</sub>	61.6%	7 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	01,2	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	DC	Employed	$0^2$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	7 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	LA	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	28.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	42.5%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	72.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	57.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	6 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Easter Seals	AL	Employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	47.2%	47 <sub>a</sub>	61.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	52.8%	30 <sub>a</sub>	39.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	СТ	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IL	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%	15 <sub>a</sub>	24.6%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	46 <sub>a</sub>	75.4%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NJ	Employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	43 <sub>b</sub>	63.2%	7 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	25 <sub>b</sub>	36.8%	7 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NY	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	45 <sub>a</sub>	54.2%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	38 <sub>a</sub>	45.8%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	OR	Employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	46.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

					Cor	nmon Meas	sures Ent	ered Emplo	oyment:	Race		
			W	/hite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	61 <sub>a</sub>	54.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	UT	Employed	38 <sub>a</sub>	38.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not employed	62 <sub>a</sub>	62.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
Experience	AR	Employed	74 <sub>a</sub>	50.7%	15 <sub>a</sub>	46.9%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
Works		Not employed	72 <sub>a</sub>	49.3%	17 <sub>a</sub>	53.1%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	CA	Employed	88 <sub>a</sub>	68.2%	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	41 <sub>a</sub>	31.8%	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	FL	Employed	88 <sub>a</sub>	74.6%	23 <sub>a</sub>	67.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	25.4%	11 <sub>a</sub>	32.4%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%	01,2	.0%
	GA	Employed	<mark>54</mark> a	<mark>70.1%</mark>	85 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>56.3%</mark>	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
		Not employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	29.9%	66 <sub>b</sub>	43.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%
	IA	Employed	74 <sub>a</sub>	61.2%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	38.8%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	ID	Employed	62 <sub>a</sub>	63.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	36.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	IL	Employed	71 <sub>a</sub>	70.3%	17 <sub>a</sub>	70.8%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	29.7%	7 <sub>a</sub>	29.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IN	Employed	98 <sub>a</sub>	64.5%	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	54 <sub>a</sub>	35.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	$0^2$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	KY	Employed	101 <sub>a</sub>	68.2%	9 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	31.8%	7 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	01,2	.0%
	LA	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	14 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	12 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MI	Employed	122 <sub>a</sub>	57.8%	17 <sub>a</sub>	70.8%	01,2	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	89 <sub>a</sub>	42.2%	7 <sub>a</sub>	29.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MN	Employed	102 <sub>a</sub>	67.5%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	49 <sub>a</sub>	32.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	01,2	.0%
	MO	Employed	157 <sub>a</sub>	65.4%	10 <sub>a</sub>	43.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	47.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	83 <sub>a</sub>	34.6%	13 <sub>a</sub>	56.5%	01,2	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	52.6%	01,2	.0%
	MS	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MT	Employed	57 <sub>a</sub>	68.7%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	52.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	47.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ND	Employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	56.6%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	43.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%

					Cor	nmon Meas	sures Ent	ered Emplo	oyment:	Race		
			W	hite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NE	Employed	42 <sub>a</sub>	56.8%	11 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	32 <sub>a</sub>	43.2%	5 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
	NJ	Employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>59.5%</mark>	<mark>7</mark> ь	<mark>25.0%</mark>	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	40.5%	21 <sub>b</sub>	75.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	NY	Employed	64 <sub>a</sub>	52.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	59 <sub>a</sub>	48.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	11,2	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
	ОН	Employed	100 <sub>a</sub>	69.9%	24 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	01,2	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	30.1%	18 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	01,2	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ОК	Employed	66 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>75.0%</mark>	<mark>З</mark> ь	<mark>27.3%</mark>	11,2	100.0%	12 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	8 <sub>b</sub>	72.7%	01,2	.0%	6 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
	OR	Employed	105 <sub>a</sub>	49.1%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	109 <sub>a</sub>	50.9%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	01,2	.0%
	PA	Employed	85 <sub>a</sub>	74.6%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	29 <sub>a</sub>	25.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PR	Employed	80 <sub>a</sub>	89.9%	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	10.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	SC	Employed	38 <sub>a</sub>	57.6%	72 <sub>a</sub>	71.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	42.4%	29 <sub>a</sub>	28.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	SD	Employed	69 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	8 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	37 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	6 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ΤХ	Employed	203 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>60.1%</mark>	23 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>41.1%</mark>	01,2	.0%	4 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	135 <sub>a</sub>	39.9%	33 <sub>b</sub>	58.9%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	VA	Employed	34 <sub>a</sub>	68.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	32.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	WI	Employed	80 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	60 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	$2^2$	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WV	Employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	60.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	39.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WY	Employed	60 <sub>a</sub>	52.6%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	54 <sub>a</sub>	47.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Goodwill	AZ	Employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	IN	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	48.7%	40 <sub>a</sub>	44.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	51.3%	51 <sub>a</sub>	56.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	NM	Employed	55 <sub>a</sub>	53.4%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$2^2$	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%

			Common Measures Entered Employment: Race									
			W	/hite		lack		sian	Ĺ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	46.6%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	PA	Employed	34 <sub>a</sub>	57.6%	32 <sub>a</sub>	50.8%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	42.4%	31 <sub>a</sub>	49.2%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	VA	Employed	53 <sub>a</sub>	52.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	59.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	49 <sub>a</sub>	48.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	41.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WA	Employed	29 <sub>a</sub>	47.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	32 <sub>a</sub>	52.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
IID	AR	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
	LA	Employed	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MS	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Mature	OH	Employed	70 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>37.0%</mark>	<mark>40</mark> ь	<mark>26.0%</mark>	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Services		Not employed	119 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%	114 <sub>b</sub>	74.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
ABLE	MA	Employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%	6 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ME	Employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	49.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	44 <sub>a</sub>	50.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NH	Employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	36.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	_	Not employed	41 <sub>a</sub>	63.1%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
NAPCA	CA	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	42.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	58.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	IL	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	11 <sub>a</sub>	39.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	17 <sub>a</sub>	60.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	NY	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	64.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	35.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	PA	Employed	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	TX	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	45.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	54.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%

					Cor	nmon Meas	sures Ent	ered Emplo	oyment:	Race		
			W	/hite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NCBA	AR	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	33 <sub>a</sub>	62.3%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	20 <sub>a</sub>	37.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	DC	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	43 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	50 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	FL	Employed	66 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%	11 <sub>a</sub>	44.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	14 <sub>a</sub>	56.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%
	IL	Employed	01,2	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	01,2	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MI	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	40 <sub>a</sub>	46.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	47 <sub>a</sub>	54.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	MS	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	73 <sub>b</sub>	80.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	18 <sub>b</sub>	19.8%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	NC	Employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	44.7%	55 <sub>a</sub>	53.9%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	55.3%	47 <sub>a</sub>	46.1%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	OH	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	45 <sub>a</sub>	61.6%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	38.4%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	PA	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not employed	01,2	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
NCOA	CA	Employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	51.2%	7 <sub>a,b</sub>	87.5%	34 <sub>b</sub>	87.2%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	48.8%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	12.5%	5 <sub>b</sub>	12.8%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	GA	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	8 <sub>b</sub>	88.9%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	1 <sub>b</sub>	11.1%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	KY	Employed	<mark>90</mark> a	<mark>72.6%</mark>	2 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>11.8%</mark>	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not employed	34 <sub>a</sub>	27.4%	15 <sub>b</sub>	88.2%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	LA	Employed	34 <sub>a</sub>	85.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	68.4%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	15.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	31.6%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	NC	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NJ	Employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	65.5%	24 <sub>a</sub>	58.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	34.5%	17 <sub>a</sub>	41.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NY	Employed	46 <sub>a</sub>	62.2%	24 <sub>a</sub>	45.3%	6 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	37.8%	29 <sub>a</sub>	54.7%	9 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	PA	Employed	105 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	22 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	60 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	22 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	TN	Employed	39 <sub>a</sub>	68.4%	9 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$		1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%

					Cor	nmon Meas	sures Ent	ered Emplo	ovment:	Race		Common Measures Entered Employment: Race							
			W	hite		lack		sian	•	an Indian	Pacific	Islander							
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent							
		Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	31.6%	6 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%							
	VA	Employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	53.7%	29 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	46.3%	29 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%							
	WV	Employed	132 <sub>a</sub>	68.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%							
		Not employed	62 <sub>a</sub>	32.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
NICOA	AZ	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	17.2%	01,2	.0%							
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	82.8%	01,2	.0%							
	CA	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	15.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.1%	$0^2$	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	84.2%	13 <sub>a</sub>	92.9%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%							
	MN	Employed	01,2	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	01,2	.0%							
		Not employed	01,2	.0%	8 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
	NM	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	01,2	.0%							
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	01,2	.0%							
	ОК	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	23.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	6.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	76.5%	14 <sub>a</sub>	93.3%	01,2	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	01,2	.0%							
	SD	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	18.8%	01,2	.0%							
		Not employed	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	81.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
	WI	Employed	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	01,2	.0%							
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
Urban League	MA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	56.1%	$0^2$	.0%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	43.9%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%							
	MI	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	48.8%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	51.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
	NJ	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	31 <sub>a</sub>	52.5%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	28 <sub>a</sub>	47.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
	NY	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	15.8%	4 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%							
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	84.2%	16 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
	OH	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>23.1%</mark>	<mark>З</mark> ь	<mark>4.0%</mark>	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	72 <sub>b</sub>	96.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
	PA	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	35 <sub>a</sub>	47.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>		1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	39 <sub>a</sub>	52.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
QCS	MN	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%							
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%							
SER	CA	Employed	61 <sub>a</sub>	31.6%	25 <sub>a</sub>	30.5%	9 <sub>a</sub>	52.9%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%							
		Not employed	132 <sub>a</sub>	68.4%	57 <sub>a</sub>	69.5%	8 <sub>a</sub>	47.1%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%							

					Cor	nmon Meas	sures Ent	ered Emplo	ovment:	Race		
			W	hite		lack		sian		an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	СО	Employed	32 <sub>a</sub>	32.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	66 <sub>a</sub>	67.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	FL	Employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	IL	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	9 <sub>a</sub>	23.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	29 <sub>a</sub>	76.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	KS	Employed	40 <sub>a</sub>	32.5%	5 <sub>a</sub>	29.4%	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	83 <sub>a</sub>	67.5%	12 <sub>a</sub>	70.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	RI	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	34.3%	6 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	65.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	TX	Employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	44.7%	33 <sub>a</sub>	38.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	55.3%	53 <sub>a</sub>	61.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	WI	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	22.9%	12 <sub>a</sub>	17.4%	01,2	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	27 <sub>a</sub>	77.1%	57 <sub>a</sub>	82.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^2$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
SSAI	AL	Employed	65 <sub>a</sub>	38.0%	43 <sub>a</sub>	29.3%	01,2	.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	106 <sub>a</sub>	62.0%	104 <sub>a</sub>	70.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	CA	Employed	38 <sub>a</sub>	27.9%	29 <sub>a</sub>	31.9%	29 <sub>b</sub>	56.9%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%
		Not employed	98 <sub>a</sub>	72.1%	62 <sub>a</sub>	68.1%	22 <sub>b</sub>	43.1%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%
	IA	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	17.2%	3 <sub>b</sub>	75.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	82.8%	1 <sub>b</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IL	Employed	45 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>38.8%</mark>	26 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>17.7%</mark>	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	71 <sub>a</sub>	61.2%	121 <sub>b</sub>	82.3%	1 <sub>a,b</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	IN	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	11.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	3.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	
		Not employed	54 <sub>a</sub>	88.5%	73 <sub>a</sub>	96.1%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	MA	Employed	68 <sub>a</sub>	34.5%	8 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	129 <sub>a</sub>	65.5%	22 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	6 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MD	Employed	10 <sub>a,b</sub>	17.9%	30 <sub>a</sub>	14.6%	1 <sub>a</sub>	6.3%	5 <sub>b</sub>	55.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	46 <sub>a,b</sub>	82.1%	176 <sub>a</sub>	85.4%	15 <sub>a</sub>	93.8%	4 <sub>b</sub>	44.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MN	Employed	46 <sub>a</sub>	37.7%	11 <sub>a</sub>	22.9%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	76 <sub>a</sub>	62.3%	37 <sub>a</sub>	77.1%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MS	Employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	41.9%	33 <sub>a</sub>	41.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	58.1%	47 <sub>a</sub>	58.8%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NC	Employed	<mark>69</mark> a	<mark>39.4%</mark>	<mark>32</mark> ь	<mark>23.5%</mark>	2 <sub>a,b</sub>	33.3%	$0^{2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	106 <sub>a</sub>	60.6%	104 <sub>b</sub>	76.5%	4 <sub>a,b</sub>	66.7%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NY	Employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	55.1%	36 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	32 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

					Cor	nmon Meas	sures Ente	ered Emplo	oyment:	Race		
			W	hite	В	lack	A	sian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	44.9%	27 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	12 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	ОН	Employed	34 <sub>a</sub>	51.5%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	32 <sub>a</sub>	48.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	PA	Employed	50 <sub>a</sub>	46.7%	9 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	57 <sub>a</sub>	53.3%	18 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	TN	Employed	100 <sub>a</sub>	27.2%	61 <sub>a</sub>	32.4%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	268 <sub>a</sub>	72.8%	127 <sub>a</sub>	67.6%	01,2	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%
	TX	Employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	34.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	93 <sub>a</sub>	66.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	WI	Employed	88 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	$0^2$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	110 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
VATD	VT	Employed	65 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
TWI	СТ	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

					d Employment:	
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	AR	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	95 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	.8%	117 <sub>a</sub>	99.2%
	AZ	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	45 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	6.8%	55 <sub>a</sub>	93.2%
	CA	Employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	34.7%	98 <sub>a</sub>	65.3%
		Not employed	41 <sub>a</sub>	36.0%	73 <sub>a</sub>	64.0%
	СО	Employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	13.1%	139 <sub>a</sub>	86.9%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	12.7%	137 <sub>a</sub>	87.3%
	FL	Employed	195 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>23.8%</mark>	625 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>76.2%</mark>
		Not employed	174 <sub>a</sub>	19.8%	706 <sub>b</sub>	80.2%
	GA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.3%	77 <sub>a</sub>	98.7%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.4%	68 <sub>a</sub>	98.6%
	IA	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	4.1%	118 <sub>a</sub>	95.9%
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	4.4%	86 <sub>a</sub>	95.6%
	IL	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	57 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	IN	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	1.6%	123 <sub>a</sub>	98.4%
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	3.6%	185 <sub>a</sub>	96.4%
	KY	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	5.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	95.0%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.5%	21 <sub>a</sub>	95.5%
	LA	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	2.3%	85 <sub>a</sub>	97.7%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	2.2%	136 <sub>a</sub>	97.8%
	MI	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	3.9%	173 <sub>a</sub>	96.1%
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	2.7%	144 <sub>a</sub>	97.3%
	МО	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	101 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	53 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	NV	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	13.6%	76 <sub>a</sub>	86.4%
		Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	10.9%	115 <sub>a</sub>	89.1%
	NY	Employed	42 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>24.7%</mark>	128 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>75.3%</mark>
		Not employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	38.8%	82 <sub>b</sub>	61.2%
	OH	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	2.0%	192 <sub>a</sub>	98.0%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	.9%	111 <sub>a</sub>	99.1%
	OK	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	2.0%	145 <sub>a</sub>	98.0%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	1.4%	143 <sub>a</sub>	98.6%
	PA	Employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	11.2%	175 <sub>a</sub>	88.8%

Table 2: Entered Employment, Grantees (by State) by Ethnicity

			Common N	Ieasures Entere	d Employment	: Ethnicity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	39 <sub>a</sub>	15.1%	220 <sub>a</sub>	84.9%
	PR	Employed	49 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	SC	Employed	3 <sup>2</sup>	3.6%	81 <sub>a</sub>	96.4%
		Not employed	$0^2$	.0%	94 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	TX	Employed	467 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	362 <sub>a</sub>	43.7%
		Not employed	205 <sub>a</sub>	55.1%	167 <sub>a</sub>	44.9%
	VA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	.9%	109 <sub>a</sub>	99.1%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	.7%	134 <sub>a</sub>	99.3%
	WA	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	6.7%	125 <sub>a</sub>	93.3%
		Not employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	5.9%	301 <sub>a</sub>	94.1%
ANPPM	AZ	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	12 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	CA	Employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	62.2%	14 <sub>a</sub>	37.8%
		Not employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	18 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	DC	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	8 <sup>2</sup>	53.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	46.7%
	LA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	42 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	PA	Employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%
		Not employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	5 <sup>2</sup>	16.7%
Easter Seals	AL	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	63 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	49 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	СТ	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	6.7%	14 <sub>a</sub>	93.3%
	IL	Employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	18 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%
		Not employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	30.3%	53 <sub>a</sub>	69.7%
	NJ	Employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	29.9%	61 <sub>a</sub>	70.1%
		Not employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	55 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%
	NY	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	14.0%	43 <sub>a</sub>	86.0%
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	29.6%	38 <sub>a</sub>	70.4%
	OR	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	10.5%	51 <sub>a</sub>	89.5%
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	8.7%	63 <sub>a</sub>	91.3%
	UT	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	12.2%	43 <sub>a</sub>	87.8%
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	19.7%	61 <sub>a</sub>	80.3%
Experience	AR	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	3.4%	86 <sub>a</sub>	96.6%

			Common M	Measures Entere	d Employment	Ethnicity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Works		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.1%	89 <sub>a</sub>	98.9%
	CA	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	8.5%	97 <sub>a</sub>	91.5%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	4.8%	40 <sub>a</sub>	95.2%
	FL	Employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>13.3%</mark>	<mark>104</mark> ь	<mark>86.7%</mark>
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	2.4%	41 <sub>b</sub>	97.6%
	GA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	.7%	137 <sub>a</sub>	99.3%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.1%	88 <sub>a</sub>	98.9%
	IA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.3%	77 <sub>a</sub>	98.7%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	2.0%	49 <sub>a</sub>	98.0%
	ID	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	56 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	2.8%	35 <sub>a</sub>	97.2%
	IL	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.1%	88 <sub>a</sub>	98.9%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	2.9%	33 <sub>a</sub>	97.1%
	IN	Employed	$1^{1,2}$	.9%	106 <sub>a</sub>	99.1%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	58 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	KY	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	120 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	1.7%	57 <sub>a</sub>	98.3%
	LA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	MI	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	2.1%	139 <sub>a</sub>	97.9%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	2.1%	94 <sub>a</sub>	97.9%
	MN	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	3.8%	101 <sub>a</sub>	96.2%
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	8.5%	54 <sub>a</sub>	91.5%
	МО	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	2.9%	166 <sub>a</sub>	97.1%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	1.9%	104 <sub>a</sub>	98.1%
	MS	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	MT	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	1.5%	66 <sub>a</sub>	98.5%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	35 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	ND	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.5%	65 <sub>a</sub>	98.5%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.9%	51 <sub>a</sub>	98.1%
	NE	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	7.3%	51 <sub>a</sub>	92.7%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	5.4%	35 <sub>a</sub>	94.6%
	NJ	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.7%	28 <sub>a</sub>	93.3%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	2.8%	35 <sub>a</sub>	97.2%
	NY	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	2.4%	83 <sub>a</sub>	97.6%

			Common N	leasures Entere	d Employment:	Ethnicity
		·	Hisp		Not Hi	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.3%	77 <sub>a</sub>	98.7%
	ОН	Employed	" 7 <sub>a</sub>	5.6%	119 <sub>a</sub>	94.4%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	3.3%	59 <sub>a</sub>	96.7%
	OK	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	82 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	2.7%	36 <sub>a</sub>	97.3%
	OR	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	2.6%	113 <sub>a</sub>	97.4%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	1.8%	109 <sub>a</sub>	98.2%
	PA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	86 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	31,	100.0%
	PR	Employed	89 <sub>a</sub>	97.8%	2 <sup>2</sup>	2.2%
		Not employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%
	SC	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	4.6%	103 <sub>a</sub>	95.4%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.8%	54 <sub>a</sub>	98.2%
	SD	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	1.3%	78 <sub>a</sub>	98.7%
		Not employed	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	46 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	TX	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	5.5%	223 <sub>a</sub>	94.5%
		Not employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	156 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%
	VA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	37 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	WI	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	1.2%	80 <sub>a</sub>	98.8%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	67 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	wv	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	WY	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	5.1%	56 <sub>a</sub>	94.9%
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	12.9%	54 <sub>a</sub>	87.1%
Goodwill	AZ	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	25 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%
		Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	14 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%
	IN	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	1.7%	59 <sub>a</sub>	98.3%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	71 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	NM	Employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	35 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%
	PA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.5%	65 <sub>a</sub>	98.5%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	5.1%	56 <sub>a</sub>	94.9%
	VA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	77 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	1.6%	62 <sub>a</sub>	98.4%
	WA	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	8.1%	34 <sub>a</sub>	91.9%

			Common M	leasures Entere	d Employment	: Ethnicity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	$2_{a}$	5.9%	32 <sub>a</sub>	94.1%
IID	AR	Employed	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	LA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	MS	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
Mature	ОН	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	5.7%	99 <sub>a</sub>	94.3%
Services		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	2.2%	223 <sub>a</sub>	97.8%
ABLE	MA	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.7%	28 <sub>a</sub>	93.3%
		Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	21.7%	36 <sub>a</sub>	78.3%
	ME	Employed	$2^{2}$	4.2%	46 <sub>a</sub>	95.8%
		Not employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	46 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	NH	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	42 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
NAPCA	CA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	96.0%
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	18.4%	31 <sub>a</sub>	81.6%
	IL	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	10.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	90.0%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.5%	21 <sub>a</sub>	95.5%
	MA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	NY	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	б <sub>а</sub>	100.0%
	PA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	ΤХ	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	7.1%	13 <sub>a</sub>	92.9%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	WA	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	$2^2$	11.1%	16 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%
NCBA	AR	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	40 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	3.8%	25 <sub>a</sub>	96.2%
	DC	Employed	$2^{2}$	4.3%	45 <sub>a</sub>	95.7%
		Not employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	51 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	FL	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.3%	76 <sub>a</sub>	98.7%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	2.2%	45 <sub>a</sub>	97.8%
	IL	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.8%	20 <sub>a</sub>	95.2%

			Common N	leasures Entere	d Employment	: Ethnicity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	6.3%	15 <sub>a</sub>	93.8%
	MI	Employed	$2^{2}$	5.1%	37 <sub>a</sub>	94.9%
		Not employed	$0^2$	.0%	44 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	MS	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	75 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	NC	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	4.5%	63 <sub>a</sub>	95.5%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.9%	52 <sub>a</sub>	98.1%
	OH	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	4.3%	44 <sub>a</sub>	95.7%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	3.2%	30 <sub>a</sub>	96.8%
	PA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
NCOA	CA	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	14.5%	59 <sub>a</sub>	85.5%
		Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
	GA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	KY	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	94 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	49 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	LA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	47 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	NC	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	NJ	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	4.8%	60 <sub>a</sub>	95.2%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	7.1%	39 <sub>a</sub>	92.9%
	NY	Employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	15.6%	76 <sub>a</sub>	84.4%
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	9.5%	67 <sub>a</sub>	90.5%
	PA	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	3.1%	126 <sub>a</sub>	96.9%
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	5.8%	81 <sub>a</sub>	94.2%
	TN	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	3.8%	50 <sub>a</sub>	96.2%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	96.0%
	VA	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	3.4%	57 <sub>a</sub>	96.6%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.8%	55 <sub>a</sub>	98.2%
	wv	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	152 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	69 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
NICOA	AZ	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	17.8%	37 <sub>a</sub>	82.2%
	CA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%

			Common N	Common Measures Entered Employment: Ethnicity			
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	32 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	
	MN	Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	
	NM	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
		Not employed	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
	ОК	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	2.8%	35 <sub>a</sub>	97.2%	
	SD	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
	WI	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
Urban League	MA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	96.0%	
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	5.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	95.0%	
	MI	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
	NJ	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	32 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	14.7%	29 <sub>a</sub>	85.3%	
	NY	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	10.3%	26 <sub>a</sub>	89.7%	
	ОН	Employed	$0^2$	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
		Not employed	3 <sup>2</sup>	3.3%	88 <sub>a</sub>	96.7%	
	PA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	42 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	2.3%	42 <sub>a</sub>	97.7%	
QCS	MN	Employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	16.7%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	
SER	CA	Employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	38.7%	68 <sub>a</sub>	61.3%	
		Not employed	90 <sub>a</sub>	37.7%	149 <sub>a</sub>	62.3%	
	СО	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	28 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	
		Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	26.5%	50 <sub>a</sub>	73.5%	
	FL	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	16.7%	
	IL	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	16.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	84.0%	
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	15.6%	38 <sub>a</sub>	84.4%	
	KS	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	13.7%	44 <sub>a</sub>	86.3%	
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	6.4%	$88_a$	93.6%	
	RI	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	19.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	81.0%	
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	17.9%	23 <sub>a</sub>	82.1%	

			Common N	leasures Entere	ed Employment	: Ethnicity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	TX	Employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	37.2%	27 <sub>a</sub>	62.8%
		Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	20.9%	53 <sub>a</sub>	79.1%
	WI	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	13.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	87.0%
		Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	9.7%	84 <sub>a</sub>	90.3%
SSAI	AL	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	1.9%	103 <sub>a</sub>	98.1%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	1.4%	206 <sub>a</sub>	98.6%
	CA	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	12.0%	88 <sub>a</sub>	88.0%
		Not employed	33 <sub>a</sub>	16.3%	170 <sub>a</sub>	83.7%
	IA	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.1%	13 <sub>a</sub>	92.9%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.8%	54 <sub>a</sub>	98.2%
	IL	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	2.7%	71 <sub>a</sub>	97.3%
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	2.5%	193 <sub>a</sub>	97.5%
	IN	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	5 <sup>2</sup>	3.8%	125 <sub>a</sub>	96.2%
	MA	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	6.0%	79 <sub>a</sub>	94.0%
		Not employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	12.7%	144 <sub>a</sub>	87.3%
	MD	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	8.2%	45 <sub>a</sub>	91.8%
		Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	3.8%	231 <sub>a</sub>	96.3%
	MN	Employed	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	60 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	3 <sup>2</sup>	2.5%	119 <sub>a</sub>	97.5%
	MS	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	53 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	73 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
	NC	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.0%	101 <sub>a</sub>	99.0%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	1.0%	207 <sub>a</sub>	99.0%
	NY	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	6.8%	109 <sub>a</sub>	93.2%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	3.9%	74 <sub>a</sub>	96.1%
	ОН	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	40 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	2.9%	34 <sub>a</sub>	97.1%
	PA	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	1.3%	79 <sub>a</sub>	98.8%
	TN	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	1.3%	158 <sub>a</sub>	98.8%
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	1.0%	396 <sub>a</sub>	99.0%
	ΤХ	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	15.5%	49 <sub>a</sub>	84.5%
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	14.0%	92 <sub>a</sub>	86.0%
	WI	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.1%	91 <sub>a</sub>	98.9%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	1.6%	126 <sub>a</sub>	98.4%

			Common M	leasures Entere	ed Employment	: Ethnicity
			Hispanic		Not Hispanic	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
VATD	VT	Employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	64 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
		Not employed	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	36 <sub>a</sub>	100.0%
TWI	СТ	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	18 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%
		Not employed	1,	20.0%	4.	80.0%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Cells with no subscript are not included in the test. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup> 1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

				Aeasures Entere	• • •	
			Mine	ority	Not mi	nority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	AR	Employed	50 <sub>a</sub>	44.2%	45 <sub>a</sub>	44.6%
		Not employed	63 <sub>a</sub>	55.8%	56 <sub>a</sub>	55.4%
	AZ	Employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	34 <sub>a</sub>	44.2%
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	43 <sub>a</sub>	55.8%
	CA	Employed	85 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	69 <sub>a</sub>	59.5%
		Not employed	71 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	47 <sub>a</sub>	40.5%
	СО	Employed	66 <sub>a</sub>	49.3%	94 <sub>a</sub>	51.6%
		Not employed	68 <sub>a</sub>	50.7%	88 <sub>a</sub>	48.4%
	FL	Employed	347 <sub>a</sub>	46.5%	480 <sub>a</sub>	49.2%
		Not employed	399 <sub>a</sub>	53.5%	495 <sub>a</sub>	50.8%
	GA	Employed	74 <sub>a</sub>	52.9%	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
		Not employed	66 <sub>a</sub>	47.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
	IA	Employed	29 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>44.6%</mark>	<mark>95</mark> ь	<mark>64.2%</mark>
		Not employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	55.4%	53 <sub>b</sub>	35.8%
	IL	Employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	76.6%	23 <sub>a</sub>	85.2%
		Not employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	23.4%	4 <sub>a</sub>	14.8%
	IN	Employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>23.8%</mark>	103 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>46.0%</mark>
		Not employed	77 <sub>a</sub>	76.2%	121 <sub>b</sub>	54.0%
	KY	Employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	51.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%
		Not employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	48.6%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%
	LA	Employed	79 <sub>a</sub>	39.9%	б <sub>а</sub>	24.0%
		Not employed	119 <sub>a</sub>	60.1%	19 <sub>a</sub>	76.0%
	MI	Employed	72 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>47.1%</mark>	114 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>60.3%</mark>
		Not employed	81 <sub>a</sub>	52.9%	75 <sub>b</sub>	39.7%
	MO	Employed	88 <sub>a</sub>	66.2%	18 <sub>a</sub>	64.3%
		Not employed	45 <sub>a</sub>	33.8%	10 <sub>a</sub>	35.7%
	NV	Employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	48.5%	43 <sub>b</sub>	34.7%
		Not employed	50 <sub>a</sub>	51.5%	81 <sub>b</sub>	65.3%
	NY	Employed	136 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>54.0%</mark>	<mark>34</mark> ь	<mark>77.3%</mark>
		Not employed	116 <sub>a</sub>	46.0%	10 <sub>b</sub>	22.7%
	ОН	Employed	118 <sub>a</sub>	62.1%	78 <sub>a</sub>	65.5%
		Not employed	72 <sub>a</sub>	37.9%	41 <sub>a</sub>	34.5%
	OK	Employed	63 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>42.9%</mark>	88 <sub>6</sub>	<mark>57.1%</mark>
		Not employed	84 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	66 <sub>b</sub>	42.9%

Table 3: Entered Employment, National Grantees (by State) by Minority Status

			Common M	leasures Entere	d Employment:	Minority	
			Mino	ority	Not mi	Not minority	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
	PA	Employed	95 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>37.0%</mark>	106 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>50.5%</mark>	
		Not employed	162 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%	104 <sub>b</sub>	49.5%	
	PR	Employed	49 <sub>a</sub>	67.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
		Not employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	32.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
	SC	Employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	45.2%	36 <sub>a</sub>	49.3%	
		Not employed	57 <sub>a</sub>	54.8%	37 <sub>a</sub>	50.7%	
	ΤХ	Employed	652 <sub>a</sub>	68.9%	179 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%	
		Not employed	294 <sub>a</sub>	31.1%	81 <sub>a</sub>	31.2%	
	VA	Employed	95 <sub>a</sub>	46.1%	15 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%	
		Not employed	111 <sub>a</sub>	53.9%	24 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	
	WA	Employed	39 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>21.1%</mark>	107 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>31.8%</mark>	
		Not employed	146 <sub>a</sub>	78.9%	229 <sub>b</sub>	68.2%	
ANPPM	AZ	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	16.1%	4 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	
		Not employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	83.9%	8 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	
	CA	Employed	31 <sub>a</sub>	41.9%	6 <sub>a</sub>	35.3%	
		Not employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	58.1%	11 <sub>a</sub>	64.7%	
	DC	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
	LA	Employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	41.5%	7 <sub>a</sub>	28.0%	
		Not employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	58.5%	18 <sub>a</sub>	72.0%	
	PA	Employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
Easter Seals	AL	Employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	61.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	47.2%	
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	39.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	52.8%	
	СТ	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	52.9%	4 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	47.1%	8 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	
	IL	Employed	29 <sub>a</sub>	29.3%	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	
		Not employed	70 <sub>a</sub>	70.7%	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	
	NJ	Employed	75 <sub>a</sub>	56.8%	11 <sub>b</sub>	31.4%	
		Not employed	57 <sub>a</sub>	43.2%	24 <sub>b</sub>	68.6%	
	NY	Employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	48.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%	
		Not employed	56 <sub>a</sub>	51.9%	10 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	
	OR	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	47 <sub>a</sub>	46.1%	
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	55 <sub>a</sub>	53.9%	
	UT	Employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	38.4%	

			Common M	leasures Entere	ed Employment	: Minority
			Mino	ority	Not mi	inority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	53 <sub>a</sub>	61.6%
Experience	AR	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	72 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
Works		Not employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	72 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	CA	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	79.2%	86 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	20.8%	39 <sub>a</sub>	31.2%
	FL	Employed	46 <sub>a</sub>	79.3%	78 <sub>a</sub>	72.2%
		Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	20.7%	30 <sub>a</sub>	27.8%
	GA	Employed	<mark>87</mark> a	<mark>56.5%</mark>	54 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>71.1%</mark>
		Not employed	67 <sub>a</sub>	43.5%	22 <sub>b</sub>	28.9%
	IA	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	74 <sub>a</sub>	61.2%
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	47 <sub>a</sub>	38.8%
	ID	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	90.0%	57 <sub>a</sub>	61.3%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	10.0%	36 <sub>a</sub>	38.7%
	IL	Employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	72.4%	70 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	27.6%	30 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
	IN	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	98 <sub>a</sub>	64.5%
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	54 <sub>a</sub>	35.5%
	KY	Employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	101 <sub>a</sub>	68.2%
		Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	47 <sub>a</sub>	31.8%
	LA	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	8 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%
		Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	6 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%
	MI	Employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	121 <sub>a</sub>	58.2%
		Not employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	87 <sub>a</sub>	41.8%
	MN	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>42.9%</mark>	101 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>67.8%</mark>
		Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	48 <sub>b</sub>	32.2%
	МО	Employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	153 <sub>a</sub>	64.8%
		Not employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	83 <sub>a</sub>	35.2%
	MS	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%	9 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%	9 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	MT	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	56 <sub>a</sub>	68.3%
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	31.7%
	ND	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	55.9%	47 <sub>a</sub>	57.3%
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	44.1%	35 <sub>a</sub>	42.7%
	NE	Employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	68.0%	39 <sub>a</sub>	56.5%
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	32.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	43.5%

			Common N	Aeasures Entere	d Employment	: Minority
			Min	ority	Not m	inority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NJ	Employed	$\frac{11_a}{11_a}$	<mark>33.3%</mark>	20 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>57.1%</mark>
		Not employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	15 <sub>b</sub>	42.9%
	NY	Employed	27 <sub>a</sub>	54.0%	62 <sub>a</sub>	51.2%
		Not employed	23 <sub>a</sub>	46.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	48.8%
	OH	Employed	33 <sub>a</sub>	62.3%	95 <sub>a</sub>	69.3%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	37.7%	42 <sub>a</sub>	30.7%
	ОК	Employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>51.6%</mark>	66 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>75.0%</mark>
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	48.4%	22 <sub>b</sub>	25.0%
	OR	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	105 <sub>b</sub>	49.3%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	108 <sub>b</sub>	50.7%
	PA	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	85 <sub>a</sub>	74.6%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	25.4%
	PR	Employed	89 <sub>a</sub>	89.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
		Not employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	11.0%	$0^2$	.0%
	SC	Employed	76 <sub>a</sub>	71.7%	36 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	28.3%	27 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%
	SD	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	59.1%	69 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%
		Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	40.9%	37 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%
	ΤХ	Employed	$41_a$	<mark>46.6%</mark>	198 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>60.4%</mark>
		Not employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	53.4%	130 <sub>b</sub>	39.6%
	VA	Employed	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	68.0%
		Not employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	32.0%
	WI	Employed	$\frac{2}{2a}$	<mark>22.2%</mark>	<mark>80</mark> ь	<mark>57.1%</mark>
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	60 <sub>b</sub>	42.9%
	wv	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	60.5%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	39.5%
	WY	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>25.0%</mark>	58 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>52.7%</mark>
		Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	52 <sub>b</sub>	47.3%
Goodwill	AZ	Employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	72.4%
		Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	27.6%
	IN	Employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	44.3%	19 <sub>a</sub>	48.7%
		Not employed	54 <sub>a</sub>	55.7%	20 <sub>a</sub>	51.3%
	NM	Employed	38 <sub>a</sub>	55.1%	28 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%
		Not employed	31 <sub>a</sub>	44.9%	20 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%
	PA	Employed	34 <sub>a</sub>	48.6%	33 <sub>a</sub>	58.9%

			Common M	leasures Entere	d Employment:	Minority
			Mino	ority	Not mi	nority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	51.4%	23 <sub>a</sub>	41.1%
	VA	Employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	58.5%	53 <sub>a</sub>	52.5%
		Not employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	41.5%	48 <sub>a</sub>	47.5%
	WA	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	28 <sub>a</sub>	47.5%
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	31 <sub>a</sub>	52.5%
IID	AR	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	18.8%	3 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%
		Not employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	81.3%	11 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%
	LA	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	$0^2$	.0%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
	MS	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%
Mature	OH	Employed	43 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	67 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%
Services		Not employed	118 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	117 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%
ABLE	MA	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	25 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	30 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%
	ME	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	43 <sub>a</sub>	49.4%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	44 <sub>a</sub>	50.6%
	NH	Employed	$0^{2}$	.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	36.9%
		Not employed	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	41 <sub>a</sub>	63.1%
NAPCA	CA	Employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	36.7%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	38 <sub>a</sub>	63.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
	IL	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	31.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	69.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	MA	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	41.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	58.1%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	NY	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	64.7%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	35.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Employed	11 <sub>a</sub>	55.0%	$0^2$	.0%
		Not employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	45.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
	TX	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	44.8%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	55.2%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	WA	Employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
NCBA	AR	Employed	33 <sub>a</sub>	62.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	37.7%	6 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%

			Common N	Ieasures Entere	d Employment:	Minority
		ľ	Mino		Not mi	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	DC	Employed	45 <sub>a</sub>	47.4%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not employed	50 <sub>a</sub>	52.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	FL	Employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>45.2%</mark>	66 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>68.8%</mark>
		Not employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	54.8%	30 <sub>b</sub>	31.3%
	IL	Employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MI	Employed	42 <sub>a</sub>	47.2%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	52.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	MS	Employed	73 <sub>a</sub>	80.2%	2 <sub>b</sub>	40.0%
		Not employed	18 <sub>a</sub>	19.8%	3 <sub>b</sub>	60.0%
	NC	Employed	58 <sub>a</sub>	52.7%	17 <sub>a</sub>	44.7%
		Not employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	47.3%	21 <sub>a</sub>	55.3%
	OH	Employed	46 <sub>a</sub>	61.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
		Not employed	29 <sub>a</sub>	38.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
	PA	Employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NCOA	CA	Employed	52 <sub>a</sub>	78.8%	16 <sub>b</sub>	51.6%
		Not employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	21.2%	15 <sub>b</sub>	48.4%
	GA	Employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	8 <sub>b</sub>	36.4%
		Not employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	14 <sub>b</sub>	63.6%
	KY	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>11.8%</mark>	90 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>72.6%</mark>
		Not employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	88.2%	34 <sub>b</sub>	27.4%
	LA	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	68.4%	34 <sub>a</sub>	85.0%
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	31.6%	6 <sub>a</sub>	15.0%
	NC	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	NJ	Employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	33 <sub>a</sub>	66.0%
		Not employed	24 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	17 <sub>a</sub>	34.0%
	NY	Employed	45 <sub>a</sub>	48.9%	45 <sub>a</sub>	64.3%
		Not employed	47 <sub>a</sub>	51.1%	25 <sub>a</sub>	35.7%
	PA	Employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>48.1%</mark>	102 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>63.8%</mark>
		Not employed	28 <sub>a</sub>	51.9%	58 <sub>b</sub>	36.3%
	TN	Employed	13 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%	38 <sub>a</sub>	67.9%
		Not employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	32.1%
	VA	Employed	39 <sub>a</sub>	52.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	51.3%

			Common M	Ieasures Entere	d Employment	: Minority
			Mino	ority	Not mi	nority
	_		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	48.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	48.7%
	wv	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	132 <sub>a</sub>	68.0%
		Not employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	62 <sub>a</sub>	32.0%
NICOA	AZ	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	19.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%
		Not employed	38 <sub>a</sub>	80.9%	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
	CA	Employed	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.9%	3 <sub>a</sub>	15.8%
		Not employed	27 <sub>a</sub>	93.1%	16 <sub>a</sub>	84.2%
	MN	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NM	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%
		Not employed	16 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
	OK	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	11.8%	4 <sub>a</sub>	23.5%
		Not employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	88.2%	13 <sub>a</sub>	76.5%
	SD	Employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	18.8%	$0^2$	.0%
		Not employed	26 <sub>a</sub>	81.3%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
	WI	Employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	42.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	57.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
Urban League	MA	Employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	54.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
		Not employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	45.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
	MI	Employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	48.8%	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
		Not employed	21 <sub>a</sub>	51.2%	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
	NJ	Employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	52.2%	$0^2$	.0%
		Not employed	33 <sub>a</sub>	47.8%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
	NY	Employed	7 <sub>a</sub>	24.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%
		Not employed	22 <sub>a</sub>	75.9%	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
	ОН	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>3.9%</mark>	<mark>б</mark> ь	<mark>23.1%</mark>
		Not employed	73 <sub>a</sub>	96.1%	20 <sub>b</sub>	76.9%
	PA	Employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	47.4%	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
		Not employed	40 <sub>a</sub>	52.6%	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
QCS	MN	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%
SER	CA	Employed	77 <sub>a</sub>	32.4%	35 <sub>a</sub>	32.7%
		Not employed	161 <sub>a</sub>	67.6%	72 <sub>a</sub>	67.3%
	СО	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	31.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	34.6%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	69.0%	53 <sub>a</sub>	65.4%

			Common N	leasures Entere	d Employment:	Minority
			Mino		Not mi	
		-	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	FL	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not employed	6 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	IL	Employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	28.0%	11 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>52.4%</mark>
		Not employed	36 <sub>a</sub>	72.0%	10 <sub>b</sub>	47.6%
	KS	Employed	14 <sub>a</sub>	41.2%	38 <sub>a</sub>	32.2%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	58.8%	80 <sub>a</sub>	67.8%
	RI	Employed	10 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	12 <sub>a</sub>	35.3%
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	22 <sub>a</sub>	64.7%
	ΤХ	Employed	49 <sub>a</sub>	41.9%	13 <sub>a</sub>	40.6%
		Not employed	68 <sub>a</sub>	58.1%	19 <sub>a</sub>	59.4%
	WI	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	18.1%	8 <sub>a</sub>	23.5%
		Not employed	68 <sub>a</sub>	81.9%	26 <sub>a</sub>	76.5%
SSAI	AL	Employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	31.0%	63 <sub>a</sub>	37.7%
		Not employed	107 <sub>a</sub>	69.0%	104 <sub>a</sub>	62.3%
	CA	Employed	70 <sub>a</sub>	37.2%	31 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%
		Not employed	118 <sub>a</sub>	62.8%	85 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%
	IA	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%	9 <sub>a</sub>	16.1%
		Not employed	8 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	47 <sub>a</sub>	83.9%
	IL	Employed	30 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>18.8%</mark>	44 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>38.3%</mark>
		Not employed	130 <sub>a</sub>	81.3%	71 <sub>b</sub>	61.7%
	IN	Employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	3.7%	7 <sub>a</sub>	12.3%
		Not employed	79 <sub>a</sub>	96.3%	50 <sub>a</sub>	87.7%
	MA	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	23.8%	67 <sub>a</sub>	36.8%
		Not employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	76.2%	115 <sub>a</sub>	63.2%
	MD	Employed	39 <sub>a</sub>	16.3%	10 <sub>a</sub>	19.6%
		Not employed	201 <sub>a</sub>	83.8%	41 <sub>a</sub>	80.4%
	MN	Employed	12 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>21.4%</mark>	46 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>38.0%</mark>
		Not employed	44 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	75 <sub>b</sub>	62.0%
	MS	Employed	35 <sub>a</sub>	42.2%	18 <sub>a</sub>	41.9%
		Not employed	48 <sub>a</sub>	57.8%	25 <sub>a</sub>	58.1%
	NC	Employed	<mark>35</mark> a	<mark>23.6%</mark>	69 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>39.4%</mark>
		Not employed	113 <sub>a</sub>	76.4%	106 <sub>b</sub>	60.6%
	NY	Employed	77 <sub>a</sub>	64.7%	38 <sub>a</sub>	53.5%
		Not employed	42 <sub>a</sub>	35.3%	33 <sub>a</sub>	46.5%
	OH	Employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	52.3%

			Common Measures Entered Employment: Minority			
			Mino	ority	Not minority	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not employed	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	47.7%
	PA	Employed	9 <sub>a</sub>	31.0%	50 <sub>a</sub>	46.7%
		Not employed	20 <sub>a</sub>	69.0%	57 <sub>a</sub>	53.3%
	TN	Employed	64 <sub>a</sub>	32.3%	99 <sub>a</sub>	27.2%
		Not employed	134 <sub>a</sub>	67.7%	265 <sub>a</sub>	72.8%
	ΤХ	Employed	15 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	42 <sub>a</sub>	34.4%
		Not employed	25 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	80 <sub>a</sub>	65.6%
	WI	Employed	5 <sub>a</sub>	22.7%	87 <sub>a</sub>	44.2%
		Not employed	17 <sub>a</sub>	77.3%	110 <sub>a</sub>	55.8%
VATD	VT	Employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	65 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%
		Not employed	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	35 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%
TWI	СТ	Employed	19 <sub>a</sub>	86.4%	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not employed	3 <sub>a</sub>	13.6%	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

## Appendix G: Employment Retention Tables, National Grantees by State

	1				Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	oloyment l	Retention	: Race		
			W	hite	Bl	ack	As	ian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	AR	Retained	27 <sub>a</sub>	65.9%	20 <sub>a</sub>	60.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	34.1%	13 <sub>a</sub>	39.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	AZ	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	42.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	57.7%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	CA	Retained	53 <sub>a</sub>	72.6%	14 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	27.4%	5 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	СО	Retained	47 <sub>a</sub>	66.2%	11 <sub>a</sub>	52.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	33.8%	10 <sub>a</sub>	47.6%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^2$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	FL	Retained	293 <sub>a</sub>	61.2%	83 <sub>a</sub>	64.8%	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	186 <sub>a</sub>	38.8%	45 <sub>a</sub>	35.2%	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	GA	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	43 <sub>a</sub>	72.9%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	16 <sub>a</sub>	27.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	IA	Retained	59 <sub>a</sub>	75.6%	10 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	24.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	IL	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	82.4%	9 <sub>a</sub>	56.3%	$1^{1,2}$	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	17.6%	7 <sub>a</sub>	43.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
	IN	Retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	46.9%	11 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	34 <sub>a</sub>	53.1%	11 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	KY	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	LA	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	24 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	33 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
	MI	Retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	24 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	15 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	МО	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	54 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%	$0^2$	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	24 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	NV	Retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	51.4%	13 <sub>a</sub>	76.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$0^2$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	18 <sub>a</sub>	48.6%	4 <sub>a</sub>	23.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	NY	Retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	77.4%	53 <sub>a</sub>	80.3%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	22.6%	13 <sub>a</sub>	19.7%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ОН	Retained	23 <sub>a</sub>	52.3%	48 <sub>a</sub>	65.8%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	47.7%	25 <sub>a</sub>	34.2%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%

## Table 1: Employment Retention, National Grantees (by State) by Race

					Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	oloyment	Retentior	: Race		
			W	hite	B	lack	As	ian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	: Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	OK	Retained	45 <sub>a</sub>	70.3%	34 <sub>a</sub>	65.4%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	29.7%	18 <sub>a</sub>	34.6%	$0^2$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	66 <sub>a</sub>	68.0%	39 <sub>a</sub>	56.5%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	31 <sub>a</sub>	32.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	43.5%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	PR	Retained	27 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	SC	Retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>85.0%</mark>	13 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>48.1%</mark>	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	15.0%	14 <sub>b</sub>	51.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ΤX	Retained	246 <sub>a</sub>	69.9%	87 <sub>a</sub>	69.6%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	106 <sub>a</sub>	30.1%	38 <sub>a</sub>	30.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	01,2	.0%
	VA	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	55 <sub>a</sub>	66.3%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	28 <sub>a</sub>	33.7%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	WA	Retained	52 <sub>a</sub>	53.6%	14 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	45 <sub>a</sub>	46.4%	10 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
ANPPM	AZ	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	CA	Retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	79.2%	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	20.8%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	DC	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	LA	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	10 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	1 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	
Easter Seals	AL	Retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	86.7%	30 <sub>a</sub>	88.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	13.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	11.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	СТ	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IL	Retained	01,2	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NJ	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	17 <sub>a</sub>	81.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	19.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	NY	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	20 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	OR	Retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$1_{a}$	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

					Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	oloyment	Retentior	: Race		
			W	hite	Bl	ack	As	ian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	UT	Retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	86.4%	$2^2$	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	13.6%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Experience	AR	Retained	32 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	13 <sub>a</sub>	86.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Works		Not retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	13.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	CA	Retained	53 <sub>a</sub>	70.7%	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	29.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	FL	Retained	67 <sub>a</sub>	70.5%	11 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	28 <sub>a</sub>	29.5%	8 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	GA	Retained	32 <sub>a</sub>	71.1%	55 <sub>a</sub>	72.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	28.9%	21 <sub>a</sub>	27.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IA	Retained	40 <sub>a</sub>	75.5%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	24.5%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ID	Retained	34 <sub>a</sub>	77.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	22.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IL	Retained	46 <sub>a</sub>	78.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	22.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IN	Retained	64 <sub>a</sub>	74.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	25.6%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	KY	Retained	72 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	12 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	3 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	01,2	.0%
	LA	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%	11 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	4 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MI	Retained	54 <sub>a</sub>	62.8%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	32 <sub>a</sub>	37.2%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MN	Retained	62 <sub>a</sub>	67.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	32.6%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	MO	Retained	102 <sub>a</sub>	73.4%	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	37 <sub>a</sub>	26.6%	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
	MS	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MT	Retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	90.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	10.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ND	Retained	49 <sub>a</sub>	90.7%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	9.3%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

					Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	oloyment l	Retention	: Race		
			W	hite	Bl	ack	As	ian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NE	Retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>82.9%</mark>	1 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>25.0%</mark>	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	17.1%	3 <sub>b</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	NJ	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	48.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	52.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	NY	Retained	39 <sub>a</sub>	62.9%	16 <sub>a</sub>	69.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	23 <sub>a</sub>	37.1%	7 <sub>a</sub>	30.4%	01,2	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	ОН	Retained	74 <sub>a</sub>	71.2%	13 <sub>a</sub>	52.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	28.8%	12 <sub>a</sub>	48.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ОК	Retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	68.6%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	01,2	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	31.4%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	01,2	.0%
	OR	Retained	54 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%
	PA	Retained	54 <sub>a</sub>	74.0%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	26.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PR	Retained	79 <sub>a</sub>	79.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	21.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	SC	Retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	64.9%	35 <sub>a</sub>	81.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	35.1%	8 <sub>a</sub>	18.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	SD	Retained	46 <sub>a</sub>	78.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	22.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ΤХ	Retained	109 <sub>a</sub>	78.4%	16 <sub>a</sub>	69.6%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	21.6%	7 <sub>a</sub>	30.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	VA	Retained	28 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	WI	Retained	48 <sub>a</sub>	69.6%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	30.4%	$1_{a}$	14.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	wv	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WY	Retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Goodwill	AZ	Retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	67.9%	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	32.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	IN	Retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>94.4%</mark>	<mark>13</mark> ь	<mark>61.9%</mark>	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	5.6%	8 <sub>b</sub>	38.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NM	Retained	26 <sub>a</sub>	81.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

					Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	oloyment l	Retentior	: Race		
			W	hite		ack		ian		an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	18.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	PA	Retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	77.3%	13 <sub>a</sub>	76.5%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	22.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	23.5%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	VA	Retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	77.4%	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	22.6%	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WA	Retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	11,2	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
IID	AR	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	11,2	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	LA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	MS	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Mature	OH	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	65.6%	14 <sub>a</sub>	82.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
Services		Not retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	34.4%	3 <sub>a</sub>	17.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
ABLE	MA	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ME	Retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	82.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	17.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NH	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NAPCA	CA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IL	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NY	Retained	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	13 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	TX	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NCBA	AR	Retained	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	96.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	3.4%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

					Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	oloyment l	Retentior	: Race		
			W	hite		ack		ian		an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	DC	Retained	01,2	.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	85.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	15.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	FL	Retained	40 <sub>a</sub>	64.5%	12 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	35.5%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IL	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	85.2%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	01,2	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	14.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	MI	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	31 <sub>a</sub>	56.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	24 <sub>a</sub>	43.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MS	Retained	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	51 <sub>a</sub>	91.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	8.9%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	NC	Retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	95.7%	53 <sub>a</sub>	89.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.3%	6 <sub>a</sub>	10.2%	01,2	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
	OH	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	61 <sub>a</sub>	98.4%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	$2^{2}$	100.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	92.9%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	7.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
NCOA	CA	Retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	62.9%	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	15 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	37.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	10 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	GA	Retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	90.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	10.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	KY	Retained	39 <sub>a</sub>	63.9%	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	36.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	LA	Retained	31 <sub>a</sub>	96.9%	10 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	3.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NC	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	4 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	9 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NJ	Retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	19 <sub>a</sub>	95.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	5.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NY	Retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%	5 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	55 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>80.9%</mark>	8 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>53.3%</mark>	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	19.1%	7 <sub>b</sub>	46.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	TN	Retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	VA	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	16 <sub>a</sub>	64.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

					Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	oloyment	Retentior	: Race		
			W	hite	Bl	ack	As	ian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	9 <sub>a</sub>	36.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	wv	Retained	53 <sub>a</sub>	72.6%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	27.4%	$1_{a}$	20.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
NICOA	AZ	Retained	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	01,2	.0%
	CA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	MN	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NM	Retained	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
	OK	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	01,2	.0%
	SD	Retained	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	WI	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	01,2	.0%
Urban League	MA	Retained	01,2	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MI	Retained	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NJ	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	NY	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	OH	Retained	01,2	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
QCS	MN	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
SER	CA	Retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	74.4%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	25.6%	7 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%
	СО	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	FL	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%

					Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	oloyment	Retentior	: Race		
			W	hite	B	ack	As	ian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	IL	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%
	KS	Retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	41.9%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	18 <sub>a</sub>	58.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	RI	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ΤХ	Retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	92.9%	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WI	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
SSAI	AL	Retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	18 <sub>a</sub>	62.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%	11 <sub>a</sub>	37.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%
	CA	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	15 <sub>a</sub>	57.7%	17 <sub>a</sub>	85.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	11 <sub>a</sub>	42.3%	3 <sub>a</sub>	15.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	IA	Retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11,2	100.0%	01,2	.0%
	IL	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	19 <sub>b</sub>	95.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	1 <sub>b</sub>	5.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	IN	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MA	Retained	42 <sub>a</sub>	80.8%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	11,2	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	19.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MD	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	19 <sub>a</sub>	47.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%	21 <sub>a</sub>	52.5%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	MN	Retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>62.5%</mark>	3 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>25.0%</mark>	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$2^{2}$	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	18 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	9 <sub>b</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^2$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MS	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	19 <sub>a</sub>	76.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	6 <sub>a</sub>	24.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NC	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	7 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	01,2	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	6 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	NY	Retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	15 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	21 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	12 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	12 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	OH	Retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	25 <sub>a</sub>	89.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%

					Con	nmon Mea	sures Emp	ployment l	Retention	: Race		
			W	hite	Bl	ack	As	ian	Americ	an Indian	Pacific	Islander
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	10.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
	TN	Retained	26 <sub>a</sub>	54.2%	39 <sub>b</sub>	86.7%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	45.8%	6 <sub>b</sub>	13.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	ΤХ	Retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WI	Retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	72.9%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{2}$	.0%	01,2	.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	27.1%	$0^{2}$	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
VATD	VT	Retained	31 <sub>a</sub>	93.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.1%	01,2	.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	01,2	.0%	01,2	.0%
TWI	СТ	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retentior	: Ethnicity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	AR	Retained	$2^1$	100.0%	47 <sub>a</sub>	62.7%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	37.3%
	AZ	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	11 <sub>a</sub>	44.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	14 <sub>a</sub>	56.0%
	CA	Retained	23 <sub>a</sub>	82.1%	49 <sub>a</sub>	69.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	17.9%	22 <sub>a</sub>	31.0%
	СО	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	60.9%	59 <sub>a</sub>	66.3%
		Not retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	39.1%	30 <sub>a</sub>	33.7%
	FL	Retained	89 <sub>a</sub>	63.1%	295 <sub>a</sub>	62.0%
		Not retained	52 <sub>a</sub>	36.9%	181 <sub>a</sub>	38.0%
	GA	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	48 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	IA	Retained	4 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	67 <sub>a</sub>	75.3%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	24.7%
	IL	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	IN	Retained	$0^1$	.0%	38 <sub>a</sub>	48.1%
		Not retained	$2^1$	100.0%	41 <sub>a</sub>	51.9%
	KY	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%
	LA	Retained	3 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	38.8%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	41 <sub>a</sub>	61.2%
	MI	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	60 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	36 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%
	МО	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	62 <sub>a</sub>	66.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	32 <sub>a</sub>	34.0%
	NV	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	32 <sub>a</sub>	59.3%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	40.7%
	NY	Retained	27 <sub>a</sub>	64.3%	65 <sub>a</sub>	76.5%
		Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	35.7%	20 <sub>a</sub>	23.5%
	OH	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	70 <sub>a</sub>	60.9%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	45 <sub>a</sub>	39.1%
	ОК	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	83 <sub>a</sub>	70.3%

 Table 2: Employment Retention, National Grantees (by State) by Ethnicity

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retention	1: Ethnicity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	35 <sub>a</sub>	29.7%
	PA	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	104 <sub>a</sub>	64.2%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	58 <sub>a</sub>	35.8%
	PR	Retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	SC	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	64.6%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	17 <sub>a</sub>	35.4%
	ΤХ	Retained	228 <sub>a</sub>	74.8%	180 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%
		Not retained	77 <sub>a</sub>	25.2%	80 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%
	VA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	68 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	40 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%
	WA	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	61 <sub>a</sub>	56.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	48 <sub>a</sub>	44.0%
ANPPM	AZ	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%
	CA	Retained	18 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%
	DC	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	LA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%
	PA	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Easter Seals	AL	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	43 <sub>a</sub>	87.8%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	12.2%
	СТ	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%
	IL	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%
	NJ	Retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	82.9%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	17.1%
	NY	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%
	OR	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	48.8%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	51.2%

			Common Me	easures Employ	ment Retention	: Ethnicity
			Hispa	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	UT	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	86.2%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	13.8%
Experience	AR	Retained	11,2	100.0%	44 <sub>a</sub>	71.0%
Works		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	29.0%
	CA	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	57 <sub>a</sub>	71.3%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	23 <sub>a</sub>	28.8%
	FL	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	76 <sub>a</sub>	69.7%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	33 <sub>a</sub>	30.3%
	GA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	89 <sub>a</sub>	72.4%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	27.6%
	IA	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	39 <sub>a</sub>	78.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	22.0%
	ID	Retained	4 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	73.8%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	26.2%
	IL	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	50 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%
	IN	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	68 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%
	KY	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	87 <sub>a</sub>	84.5%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	15.5%
	LA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	79.3%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	20.7%
	MI	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	61 <sub>a</sub>	64.2%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	35.8%
	MN	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	65 <sub>a</sub>	67.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	32 <sub>a</sub>	33.0%
	MO	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	105 <sub>a</sub>	70.5%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	44 <sub>a</sub>	29.5%
	MS	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%
	MT	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	38 <sub>a</sub>	79.2%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	20.8%
	ND	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	58 <sub>a</sub>	90.6%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	9.4%
	NE	Retained	3 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	27 <sub>a</sub>	73.0%

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retention	: Ethnicity
			Hisp		Not Hi	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	27.0%
	NJ	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%
	NY	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	58 <sub>a</sub>	65.9%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	34.1%
	ОН	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	83 <sub>a</sub>	67.5%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	40 <sub>a</sub>	32.5%
	ОК	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	51 <sub>a</sub>	71.8%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	28.2%
	OR	Retained	2 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	58 <sub>a</sub>	65.9%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	34.1%
	PA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	54 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	PR	Retained	86 <sub>a</sub>	79.6%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	20.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	SC	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	58 <sub>a</sub>	73.4%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	26.6%
	SD	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	50 <sub>a</sub>	79.4%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	20.6%
	ΤХ	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	120 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	36 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%
	VA	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	74.4%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	25.6%
	WI	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	55 <sub>a</sub>	70.5%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	29.5%
	wv	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	WY	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	35 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%
Goodwill	AZ	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	19 <sub>a</sub>	63.3%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	11 <sub>a</sub>	36.7%
	IN	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	77.5%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	22.5%
	NM	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retentior	: Ethnicity	
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	Not Hispanic	
	_		Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
	PA	Retained	3 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	78.4%	
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	21.6%	
	VA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%	
	WA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	74.2%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	25.8%	
IID	AR	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	
	LA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	
	MS	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	
Mature	OH	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	33 <sub>a</sub>	70.2%	
Services		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	29.8%	
ABLE	MA	Retained	3 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	
	ME	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	81.1%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	18.9%	
	NH	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	64.3%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	35.7%	
NAPCA	CA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	
	IL	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	
	MA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	
	NY	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	13 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	
	PA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	90.0%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	10.0%	
	TX	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	
	WA	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	
NCBA	AR	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	96.8%	
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	3.2%	
	DC	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	34 <sub>a</sub>	85.0%	

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retentior	: Ethnicity
			Hisp		Not Hi	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	15.0%
	FL	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	52 <sub>a</sub>	70.3%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	29.7%
	IL	Retained	3 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%
	MI	Retained	2 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%
	MS	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	53 <sub>a</sub>	91.4%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	8.6%
	NC	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	92.2%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	7.8%
	OH	Retained	2 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	98.3%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.7%
	PA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	27 <sub>a</sub>	93.1%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.9%
NCOA	CA	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	55.0%	37 <sub>a</sub>	66.1%
		Not retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	45.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	33.9%
	GA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	$1_a$	8.3%
	KY	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	46 <sub>a</sub>	64.8%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	35.2%
	LA	Retained	2 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	39 <sub>a</sub>	95.1%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	4.9%
	NC	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	28.0%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	72.0%
	NJ	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	40 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%
	NY	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	41 <sub>a</sub>	82.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	18.0%
	PA	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	63 <sub>a</sub>	76.8%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	23.2%
	TN	Retained	2 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	76.2%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	23.8%
	VA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	36 <sub>a</sub>	65.5%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	34.5%

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retentior	: Ethnicity
			Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	WV	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	73.8%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%
NICOA	AZ	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%
	CA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	MN	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	NM	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	OK	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%
	SD	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	WI	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%
Urban League	MA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%
	MI	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	73.3%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	26.7%
	NJ	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%
	NY	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	OH	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%
	PA	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	86.4%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	13.6%
QCS	MN	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
SER	CA	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%	29 <sub>a</sub>	64.4%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%	16 <sub>a</sub>	35.6%
	СО	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%
	FL	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	IL	Retained	$0^1$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retention	n: Ethnicity
			Hisp		Not Hi	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
		Not retained	2 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%
	KS	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	16 <sub>a</sub>	43.2%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	56.8%
	RI	Retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
	TX	Retained	<b>8</b> <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%
	WI	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	9 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	6 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%
SSAI	AL	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	47 <sub>a</sub>	70.1%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	20 <sub>a</sub>	29.9%
	CA	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	42 <sub>a</sub>	65.6%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	22 <sub>a</sub>	34.4%
	IA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%
	IL	Retained	3 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	40 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%
	IN	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%
	MA	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	44 <sub>a</sub>	81.5%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	18.5%
	MD	Retained	$0^1$	.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%
		Not retained	$2^1$	100.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%
	MN	Retained	1_a	50.0%	35 <sub>a</sub>	59.3%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	24 <sub>a</sub>	40.7%
	MS	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	69.7%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	30.3%
	NC	Retained	2 <sup>1</sup>	100.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	65.9%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	34.1%
	NY	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	59 <sub>a</sub>	67.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	33.0%
	ОН	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	18 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	PA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	85.3%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	14.7%

			Common Measures Employment Retention: Ethnicity			
			Hisp	Hispanic		spanic
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	TN	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	62 <sub>a</sub>	69.7%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	27 <sub>a</sub>	30.3%
	ΤX	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	23 <sub>a</sub>	69.7%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	30.3%
	WI	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	37 <sub>a</sub>	71.2%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	15 <sub>a</sub>	28.8%
VATD	VT	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	93.9%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.1%
TWI	СТ	Retained	$2^{1}$	100.0%	21 <sub>a</sub>	84.0%
		Not retained	$0^1$	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	16.0%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retention	: Minority
			Mine	ority	Not mi	nority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
AARP	AR	Retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	61.1%	26 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%
		Not retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	38.9%	14 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%
	AZ	Retained	9 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	7 <sub>a</sub>	36.8%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	63.2%
	CA	Retained	36 <sub>a</sub>	76.6%	38 <sub>a</sub>	69.1%
		Not retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	23.4%	17 <sub>a</sub>	30.9%
	СО	Retained	30 <sub>a</sub>	58.8%	44 <sub>a</sub>	71.0%
		Not retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	41.2%	18 <sub>a</sub>	29.0%
	FL	Retained	176 <sub>a</sub>	64.5%	213 <sub>a</sub>	60.9%
		Not retained	97 <sub>a</sub>	35.5%	137 <sub>a</sub>	39.1%
	GA	Retained	44 <sub>a</sub>	72.1%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
		Not retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	27.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%
	IA	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	82.4%	58 <sub>a</sub>	75.3%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	17.6%	19 <sub>a</sub>	24.7%
	IL	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%	14 <sub>a</sub>	82.4%
		Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%	3 <sub>a</sub>	17.6%
	IN	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	45.8%	30 <sub>a</sub>	48.4%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	54.2%	32 <sub>a</sub>	51.6%
	KY	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	$1_a$	50.0%
		Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	LA	Retained	28 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%
		Not retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%
	MI	Retained	28 <sub>a</sub>	62.2%	34 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%
		Not retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	37.8%	20 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%
	МО	Retained	54 <sub>a</sub>	67.5%	10 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%
		Not retained	26 <sub>a</sub>	32.5%	6 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%
	NV	Retained	16 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	19 <sub>a</sub>	54.3%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%	16 <sub>a</sub>	45.7%
	NY	Retained	83 <sub>a</sub>	75.5%	13 <sub>a</sub>	68.4%
		Not retained	27 <sub>a</sub>	24.5%	6 <sub>a</sub>	31.6%
	ОН	Retained	49 <sub>a</sub>	65.3%	22 <sub>a</sub>	51.2%
		Not retained	26 <sub>a</sub>	34.7%	21 <sub>a</sub>	48.8%
	ОК	Retained	43 <sub>a</sub>	67.2%	45 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%
		Not retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	32.8%	18 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%

Table 3: Employment Retention, National Grantees (by State) by Minority Status

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retention	n: Minority
			Mino		Not mi	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	PA	Retained	47 <sub>a</sub>	58.0%	63 <sub>a</sub>	67.7%
		Not retained	34 <sub>a</sub>	42.0%	30 <sub>a</sub>	32.3%
	PR	Retained	35 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	SC	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>51.7%</mark>	17 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>85.0%</mark>
		Not retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	48.3%	3 <sub>b</sub>	15.0%
	TX	Retained	323 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%	86 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%
		Not retained	119 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%	39 <sub>a</sub>	31.2%
	VA	Retained	58 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	10 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%
		Not retained	29 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	12 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%
	WA	Retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	59.5%	50 <sub>a</sub>	53.2%
		Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	40.5%	44 <sub>a</sub>	46.8%
ANPPM	AZ	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	CA	Retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	82.8%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	17.2%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	DC	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	LA	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%
	PA	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	53.8%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	46.2%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Easter Seals	AL	Retained	33 <sub>a</sub>	89.2%	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	10.8%	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%
	СТ	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	3 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	$0^2$	.0%
	IL	Retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	NJ	Retained	31 <sub>a</sub>	79.5%	9 <sub>a</sub>	90.0%
		Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	20.5%	1 <sub>a</sub>	10.0%
	NY	Retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%
	OR	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%

			Common Me	easures Employ	ment Retentior	n: Minority
			Mino	ority	Not mi	nority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	UT	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	19 <sub>a</sub>	86.4%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	3 <sub>a</sub>	13.6%
Experience	AR	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	82.4%	32 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
Works		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	17.6%	16 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	CA	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	88.2%	49 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	11.8%	21 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%
	FL	Retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	67.7%	63 <sub>a</sub>	70.8%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	32.3%	26 <sub>a</sub>	29.2%
	GA	Retained	57 <sub>a</sub>	73.1%	32 <sub>a</sub>	71.1%
		Not retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	26.9%	13 <sub>a</sub>	28.9%
	IA	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	40 <sub>a</sub>	75.5%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	13 <sub>a</sub>	24.5%
	ID	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	75.6%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	24.4%
	IL	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	45 <sub>a</sub>	77.6%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	13 <sub>a</sub>	22.4%
	IN	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	64 <sub>a</sub>	74.4%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	22 <sub>a</sub>	25.6%
	KY	Retained	17 <sub>a</sub>	81.0%	72 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	19.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%
	LA	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%
	MI	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%	54 <sub>a</sub>	63.5%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%	31 <sub>a</sub>	36.5%
	MN	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%	62 <sub>a</sub>	67.4%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%	30 <sub>a</sub>	32.6%
	МО	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>52.2%</mark>	100 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>73.5%</mark>
		Not retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	47.8%	36 <sub>b</sub>	26.5%
	MS	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%
	MT	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%	29 <sub>a</sub>	74.4%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%	10 <sub>a</sub>	25.6%
	ND	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	90.9%	49 <sub>a</sub>	90.7%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	9.1%	5 <sub>a</sub>	9.3%

			Common M	easures Employ	vment Retention	1: Minority
			Mino		Not mi	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NE	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	26 <sub>a</sub>	81.3%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	18.8%
	NJ	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	12 <sub>a</sub>	48.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	13 <sub>a</sub>	52.0%
	NY	Retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	71.4%	39 <sub>a</sub>	62.9%
		Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	28.6%	23 <sub>a</sub>	37.1%
	ОН	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>51.7%</mark>	73 <sub>b</sub>	72.3%
		Not retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	48.3%	28 <sub>b</sub>	27.7%
	OK	Retained	18 <sub>a</sub>	78.3%	35 <sub>a</sub>	68.6%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	21.7%	16 <sub>a</sub>	31.4%
	OR	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	54 <sub>a</sub>	65.1%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	29 <sub>a</sub>	34.9%
	PA	Retained	4 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	53 <sub>a</sub>	73.6%
		Not retained	$0^{2}$	.0%	19 <sub>a</sub>	26.4%
	PR	Retained	86 <sub>a</sub>	79.6%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	22 <sub>a</sub>	20.4%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	SC	Retained	36 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	23 <sub>a</sub>	63.9%
		Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	13 <sub>a</sub>	36.1%
	SD	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	46 <sub>a</sub>	78.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	13 <sub>a</sub>	22.0%
	TX	Retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	70.6%	106 <sub>a</sub>	78.5%
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	29.4%	29 <sub>a</sub>	21.5%
	VA	Retained	$1^{1,2}$	100.0%	28 <sub>a</sub>	73.7%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	26.3%
	WI	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	48 <sub>a</sub>	69.6%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%	21 <sub>a</sub>	30.4%
	wv	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	12 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	WY	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	35 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	5 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%
Goodwill	AZ	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	15 <sub>a</sub>	68.2%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	31.8%
	IN	Retained	14 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>63.6%</mark>	<mark>17</mark> ь	<mark>94.4%</mark>
		Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	1 <sub>b</sub>	5.6%

			Common Me	easures Employ	ment Retentior	1: Minority
			Minc	ority	Not mi	nority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NM	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	14 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%
	PA	Retained	18 <sub>a</sub>	81.8%	15 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	18.2%	5 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	VA	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	24 <sub>a</sub>	77.4%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	7 <sub>a</sub>	22.6%
	WA	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	78.6%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	6 <sub>a</sub>	21.4%
IID	AR	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	LA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	MS	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Mature	ОН	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	20 <sub>a</sub>	64.5%
Services		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	11 <sub>a</sub>	35.5%
ABLE	MA	Retained	10 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%
		Not retained	$0^{2}$	.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%
	ME	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	29 <sub>a</sub>	82.9%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	6 <sub>a</sub>	17.1%
	NH	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not retained	$0^{1,2}$	.0%	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
NAPCA	CA	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	91.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	8.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	IL	Retained	ба	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MA	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NY	Retained	13 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
	TX	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WA	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%

			Common Me	asures Employ	ment Retention	: Minority
			Mino	rity	Not min	nority
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
NCBA	AR	Retained	28 <sub>a</sub>	96.6%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	3.4%	$0^2$	.0%
	DC	Retained	34 <sub>a</sub>	85.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	б <sub>а</sub>	15.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	FL	Retained	13 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	40 <sub>a</sub>	64.5%
		Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	22 <sub>a</sub>	35.5%
	IL	Retained	27 <sub>a</sub>	87.1%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	12.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MI	Retained	33 <sub>a</sub>	57.9%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	24 <sub>a</sub>	42.1%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	MS	Retained	51 <sub>a</sub>	91.1%	$2^{2}$	100.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	8.9%	$0^2$	.0%
	NC	Retained	55 <sub>a</sub>	90.2%	22 <sub>a</sub>	95.7%
		Not retained	б <sub>а</sub>	9.8%	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.3%
	ОН	Retained	62 <sub>a</sub>	98.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	1.6%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	27 <sub>a</sub>	93.1%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.9%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
NCOA	CA	Retained	32 <sub>a</sub>	60.4%	16 <sub>a</sub>	72.7%
		Not retained	21 <sub>a</sub>	39.6%	6 <sub>a</sub>	27.3%
	GA	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	90.0%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	10.0%
	KY	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	63.6%	39 <sub>a</sub>	65.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	36.4%	21 <sub>a</sub>	35.0%
	LA	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	29 <sub>a</sub>	96.7%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	3.3%
	NC	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	31.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
		Not retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	68.8%	6 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
	NJ	Retained	23 <sub>a</sub>	88.5%	21 <sub>a</sub>	91.3%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	11.5%	2 <sub>a</sub>	8.7%
	NY	Retained	23 <sub>a</sub>	88.5%	24 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	11.5%	8 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%
	PA	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>53.3%</mark>	<mark>55</mark> ь	<mark>80.9%</mark>
		Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	46.7%	13 <sub>b</sub>	19.1%

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retention	1: Minority
			Mino		Not mi	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	TN	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%	9 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%
		Not retained	$1_{a}$	12.5%	4 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%
	VA	Retained	28 <sub>a</sub>	68.3%	9 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	31.7%	6 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%
	wv	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	52 <sub>a</sub>	72.2%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	20 <sub>a</sub>	27.8%
NICOA	AZ	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
	CA	Retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MN	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NM	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	OK	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	83.3%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	16.7%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	SD	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	WI	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
Urban League	MA	Retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	70.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	30.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	MI	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	0 <sup>2</sup>	.0%
	NJ	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	92.3%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	7.7%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	NY	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%
	ОН	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	$0^{1,2}$	.0%
		Not retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%
	PA	Retained	12 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	7 <sub>a</sub>	87.5%
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	1 <sub>a</sub>	12.5%
QCS	MN	Retained	2 <sup>2</sup>	100.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
		Not retained	$0^2$	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
SER	CA	Retained	25 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	20 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%
		Not retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	6 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%

			Common M	easures Employ	yment Retention	n: Minority	
			Minority Not minority				
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
	СО	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	
	FL	Retained	11 <sub>a</sub>	84.6%	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	15.4%	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	
	IL	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	
	KS	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	41.7%	12 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	
		Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	58.3%	18 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	
	RI	Retained	$1_{a}$	33.3%	7 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	2 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	
	TX	Retained	19 <sub>a</sub>	95.0%	8 <sub>a</sub>	88.9%	
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	5.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	11.1%	
	WI	Retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	61.5%	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	
		Not retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	38.5%	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	
SSAI	AL	Retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	60.6%	29 <sub>a</sub>	78.4%	
		Not retained	13 <sub>a</sub>	39.4%	8 <sub>a</sub>	21.6%	
	CA	Retained	36 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	10 <sub>a</sub>	52.6%	
		Not retained	18 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	9 <sub>a</sub>	47.4%	
	IA	Retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	50.0%	9 <sub>a</sub>	75.0%	
		Not retained	$1_{a}$	50.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	25.0%	
	IL	Retained	23 <sub>a</sub>	95.8%	20 <sub>b</sub>	69.0%	
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	4.2%	9 <sub>b</sub>	31.0%	
	IN	Retained	3 <sub>a</sub>	60.0%	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	
		Not retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	40.0%	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	
	MA	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	85.7%	42 <sub>a</sub>	80.8%	
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	14.3%	10 <sub>a</sub>	19.2%	
	MD	Retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>44.4%</mark>	10 <sub>b</sub>	<mark>76.9%</mark>	
		Not retained	25 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	3 <sub>b</sub>	23.1%	
	MN	Retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	37.5%	29 <sub>a</sub>	63.0%	
		Not retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	62.5%	17 <sub>a</sub>	37.0%	
	MS	Retained	20 <sub>a</sub>	76.9%	3 <sub>a</sub>	42.9%	
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	23.1%	4 <sub>a</sub>	57.1%	
	NC	Retained	10 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	21 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%	
		Not retained	8 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	6 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%	

			Common M	easures Employ	ment Retentior	n: Minority
			Mino	ority	Not mi	nority
		-	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
	NY	Retained	40 <sub>a</sub>	60.6%	21 <sub>a</sub>	77.8%
		Not retained	26 <sub>a</sub>	39.4%	6 <sub>a</sub>	22.2%
	OH	Retained	2 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%	16 <sub>a</sub>	66.7%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%	8 <sub>a</sub>	33.3%
	PA	Retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	80.0%	25 <sub>a</sub>	89.3%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	20.0%	3 <sub>a</sub>	10.7%
	TN	Retained	41 <sub>a</sub>	85.4%	25 <sub>b</sub>	53.2%
		Not retained	7 <sub>a</sub>	14.6%	22 <sub>b</sub>	46.8%
	TX	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	45.5%	19 <sub>a</sub>	76.0%
		Not retained	6 <sub>a</sub>	54.5%	6 <sub>a</sub>	24.0%
	WI	Retained	5 <sub>a</sub>	55.6%	34 <sub>a</sub>	72.3%
		Not retained	4 <sub>a</sub>	44.4%	13 <sub>a</sub>	27.7%
VATD	VT	Retained	1 <sup>1,2</sup>	100.0%	31 <sub>a</sub>	93.9%
		Not retained	0 <sup>1,2</sup>	.0%	2 <sub>a</sub>	6.1%
TWI	СТ	Retained	15 <sub>a</sub>	93.8%	9 <sub>a</sub>	69.2%
		Not retained	1 <sub>a</sub>	6.3%	4 <sub>a</sub>	30.8%

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column proportions. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

2. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

## Appendix H: Average Earnings Tables, National Grantees by State

Table I: A			Common Measures Average Earnings: Race										
		Wh	nite	Bla	ıck	As	ian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander		
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean		
AARP	AR	41	6,469 <sub>a</sub>	33	5,725 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	1	.1	0	.1		
	AZ	26	.1	7	9,216 <sup>1</sup>	0	$\cdot^1$	0	.1	0	.1		
	CA	73	9,657 <sub>a</sub>	19	7,637 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	4	8,471 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1		
	СО	71	7,617 <sub>a</sub>	21	9,572 <sub>a</sub>	2	4,160 <sup>2</sup>	4	10,404 <sub>a</sub>	1	1		
	FL	479	8,419 <sub>a</sub>	128	7,892 <sub>a</sub>	9	7,816 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	2	7,488 <sup>2</sup>		
	GA	7	6,900 <sub>a</sub>	59	7,512 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	1		
	IA	78	6,781 <sup>1</sup>	13	3,600 <sup>2</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
	IL	17	7,989 <sub>a</sub>	16	5,648 <sub>a</sub>	1	1,376 <sup>2</sup>	2	5,400 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1		
	IN	64	6,168 <sub>a</sub>	22	4,852 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
	KY	2	4,350 <sup>2</sup>	9	5,400 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1		
	LA	8	10,960 <sub>a</sub>	57	8,477 <sub>a</sub>	2	8,160 <sup>2</sup>	2	1,022 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1		
	MI	<mark>56</mark>	<mark>8,255</mark> a	<mark>39</mark>	1,553 <sub>b</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	2	12,480 <sup>2</sup>	2	16 <sup>2</sup>		
	МО	16	6,720 <sub>a</sub>	78	6,855 <sub>a</sub>	2	.1	0	.1	0	.1		
	NV	37	6,238 <sub>a</sub>	17	5,767 <sub>a</sub>	2	. <sup>1</sup>	2	.1	1	.1		
	NY	31	8,800 <sub>a</sub>	66	8,039 <sub>a</sub>	3	3,920 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1		
	ОН	44	12,018 <sub>a</sub>	73	9,307 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
	OK	64	7,892 <sub>a</sub>	52	6,596 <sub>a</sub>	2	7,575 <sub>a</sub>	9	9,814 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1		
	PA	97	9,338 <sub>a</sub>	69	10,677 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
	PR	33	4,768 <sub>a</sub>	4	2,990 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
	SC	20	6,851 <sub>a</sub>	27	5,885 <sub>a</sub>	1	$\cdot^1$	0	.1	0	.1		
	TX	352	9,364 <sub>a</sub>	125	8,293 <sub>a</sub>	7	9,600 <sup>2</sup>	5	6,774 <sub>a</sub>	1	.1		
	VA	22	7,275 <sub>a</sub>	83	5,801 <sub>a</sub>	2	9,120 <sup>2</sup>	1	6,000 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1		
	WA	97	9,985 <sup>1</sup>	24	.1	1	$\cdot^1$	3	.1	2	.1		
ANPPM	AZ	6	8,388 <sup>1</sup>	1	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
	CA	24	8,377 <sub>a</sub>	5	7,860 <sub>a</sub>	1	7,680 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1	0	.1		
	DC	0	.1	3	.1	0	$\cdot^1$	0	.1	0	.1		
	LA	7	6,736 <sub>a</sub>	11	7,539 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
	PA	11	5,557 <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
Easter Seals	AL	15	5,535 <sub>a</sub>	34	10,717 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	2	5,010 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1		
	CT	4	5,787 <sub>a</sub>	7	13,077 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	1	15,600 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1		
	IL	1	.1	9	8,559 <sup>1</sup>	1	28,344 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1		
	NJ	14	6,127 <sub>a,b</sub>	21	5,298 <sub>a</sub>	6	10,108 <sub>b</sub>	1	8,640 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1		

Table 1: Average Earnings, National Grantees (by State) by Race

				Cor	nmon Mea	sures Av	verage Ear	nings: Ra	ce		
		Wł	nite	Bla			ian	America		Pacific	Islander
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	NY	6	7,648 <sub>a</sub>	22	9,560 <sub>a</sub>	1	8,400 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1
	OR	40	9,064 <sub>a</sub>	5	8,514 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	2	12,420 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	UT	22	7,363 <sub>a</sub>	2	4,921 <sub>a</sub>	3	4,665 <sup>2</sup>	1	4,412 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
Experience	AR	48	5,766 <sub>a</sub>	15	5,358 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	1	.1	0	.1
Works	CA	75	8,807 <sub>a</sub>	9	7,283 <sub>a</sub>	1	14,547 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1	0	.1
	FL	95	8,799 <sub>a</sub>	19	7,419 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	5	7,968 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1
	GA	45	8,403 <sub>a</sub>	76	6,933 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	2	6,492 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	IA	53	6,612 <sup>1</sup>	1	5,786 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	1	3,383 <sup>2</sup>
	ID	44	7,620 <sup>1</sup>	1	.1	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	IL	59	7,753 <sub>a</sub>	4	7,500 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	2	.1	0	.1
	IN	86	6,903 <sub>a</sub>	5	6,162 <sub>a</sub>	1	6,685 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1	0	.1
	KY	84	7,036 <sub>a</sub>	15	7,100 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	5	5,482 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1
	LA	13	5,590 <sub>a</sub>	15	6,052 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	1	6,051 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	MI	86	6,367 <sub>a</sub>	9	5,929 <sub>a</sub>	1	.1	1	.1	0	.1
	MN	92	7,006 <sub>a</sub>	1	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	5	7,113 <sub>a</sub>	1	.1
	МО	139	6,408 <sub>a</sub>	9	6,462 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	10	7,231 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1
	MS	9	8,975 <sub>a</sub>	18	7,417 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	MT	40	12,489 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	10	7,331 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1
	ND	54	8,827 <sub>a</sub>	2	10,114 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	9	6,090 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1
	NE	35	9,168 <sup>1</sup>	4	5,512 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	1	.1	0	.1
	NJ	25	6,866 <sub>a</sub>	13	7,905 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	NY	62	7,406 <sub>a</sub>	23	6,394 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	3	7,264 <sub>a</sub>	1	4,341 <sup>2</sup>
	OH	104	7,098 <sub>a</sub>	25	6,599 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	OK	51	6,982 <sub>a</sub>	9	4,959 <sub>a</sub>	1	.1	13	5,601 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1
	OR	83	7,062 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	3	3,780 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1
	PA	73	7,522 <sub>a</sub>	3	9,046 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	$\cdot^1$	0	.1
	PR	100	4,968 <sub>a</sub>	9	4,706 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	SC	37	7,493 <sub>a</sub>	43	7,077 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	SD	59	6,464 <sub>a</sub>	3	7,740 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	5	12,571 <sub>b</sub>	0	.1
	TX	139	8,074 <sub>a</sub>	23	7,059 <sub>a</sub>	1	.1	0	.1	1	3,016 <sup>2</sup>
	VA	38	8,042 <sup>1</sup>	1	4,930 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	WI	69	6,745 <sub>a</sub>	7	5,904 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	1	2,574 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	wv	16	8,022 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	WY	40	9,257 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1	1	8,160 <sup>2</sup>
Goodwill	AZ	28	6,856 <sub>a</sub>	10	9,453 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	1	$\cdot^1$	0	.1

			Common Measures Average Earnings: Race									
		Wł	iite	Bla	ack	As	ian	America	n Indian	Pacific	Islander	
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	
	IN	18	7,059 <sub>a</sub>	21	6,834 <sub>a</sub>	1	3,417 <sup>2</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	
	NM	32	8,925 <sub>a</sub>	3	7,560 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	1	$10,800^2$	0	.1	
	PA	22	5,706 <sub>a</sub>	17	5,788 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	1	3,053 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1	
	VA	31	6,579 <sub>a</sub>	12	5,535 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
	WA	28	7,211 <sup>1</sup>	1	.1	2	7,020 <sup>2</sup>	1	5,760 <sup>2</sup>	0	1	
IID	AR	1	$\cdot^1$	3	5,358 <sup>1</sup>	0	$\cdot^1$	1	12,418 <sup>2</sup>	0	$\cdot^1$	
	LA	1	6,531 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	$\cdot^1$	0	$\cdot^1$	0	$\cdot^1$	
	MS	1	5,568 <sup>2</sup>	2	7,210 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
Mature Services	ОН	<mark>32</mark>	<mark>7,331</mark> a	17	<mark>4,059<sub>b</sub></mark>	0	$\cdot^1$	0	.1	0	.1	
ABLE	MA	14	12,910 <sub>a</sub>	4	9,186 <sub>a</sub>	4	11,072 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	
	ME	35	7,603 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	1	4,888 <sup>2</sup>	2	.1	0	.1	
	NH	16	8,238 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
NAPCA	CA	0	.1	0	.1	12	8,553 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	
	IL	1	20,400 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	9	4,847 <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
	MA	0	.1	0	.1	14	8,600 <sup>1</sup>	0	1	0	1	
	NY	0	.1	0	.1	13	7,343 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
	PA	0	. <sup>1</sup>	2	13,136 <sub>a</sub>	7	5,925 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	1	
	TX	0	.1	1	11,290 <sup>2</sup>	9	5,757 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	
	WA	0	.1	0	.1	12	8,165 <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
NCBA	AR	2	3,650 <sub>a</sub>	29	5,068 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
	DC	0	.1	40	11,680 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
	FL	62	8,800 <sub>a</sub>	12	7,426 <sub>a</sub>	1	7,181 <sup>2</sup>	0	$\cdot^1$	0	$\cdot^1$	
	IL	0	.1	27	20,026 <sup>1</sup>	1	19,950 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	1	12,360 <sup>2</sup>	
	MI	3	7,150 <sub>a</sub>	55	7,298 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
	MS	2	<mark>13,500</mark> a	<mark>56</mark>	<mark>4,698<sub>b</sub></mark>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1	
	NC	23	6,270 <sub>a</sub>	59	5,792 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	2	6,612 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	
	OH	1	9,880 <sup>2</sup>	62	8,143 <sup>1</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	
	PA	2	11,440 <sub>a</sub>	<mark>28</mark>	<mark>6,163<sub>b</sub></mark>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	
NCOA	CA	35	8,079 <sub>a</sub>	6	7,463 <sub>a</sub>	25	4,227 <sub>a</sub>	1	2,964 <sup>2</sup>	1	12,096 <sup>2</sup>	
	GA	10	7,200 <sup>1</sup>	1	8,357 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1	
	KY	61	7,143 <sub>a</sub>	10	7,347 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1	
	LA	<mark>32</mark>	<mark>9,471<sub>a</sub></mark>	<mark>11</mark>	<mark>5,194<sub>b</sub></mark>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1	
	NC	9	7,444 <sub>a</sub>	13	8,993 <sub>a</sub>	1	5,700 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1	0	.1	
	NJ	27	10,137 <sub>a</sub>	20	7,931 <sub>a</sub>	0	$\cdot^1$	1	$\cdot^1$	0	$\cdot^1$	

				Cor	nmon Mea	sures Av	erage Ear	nings: Ra	ce		
		Wh	nite	Bla			ian	America		Pacific	Islander
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	NY	33	6,147 <sub>a</sub>	12	8,293 <sub>a</sub>	5	6,672 <sub>a</sub>	1	8,855 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	PA	68	6,801 <sub>a</sub>	15	10,469 <sub>b</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	TN	13	5,144 <sub>a</sub>	6	4,822 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	VA	16	7,513 <sub>a</sub>	25	5,107 <sub>a</sub>	14	4,236 <sub>a</sub>	1	$\cdot^1$	0	.1
	WV	73	7,147 <sub>a</sub>	5	6,557 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
NICOA	AZ	1	.1	1	4,550 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	5	4,582 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	CA	1	6,283 <sup>2</sup>	1	$\cdot^1$	0	.1	0	$\cdot^1$	0	.1
	MN	0	.1	1	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	NM	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1	2	8,588 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	OK	3	5,720 <sup>2</sup>	0	$\cdot^1$	0	.1	6	7,147 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	SD	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	1	7,221 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	WI	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	$\cdot^1$	7	10,435 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
Urban League	MA	0	.1	7	13,160 <sup>1</sup>	1	16 <sup>2</sup>	1	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	MI	2	9,952 <sub>a</sub>	15	9,381 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	NJ	0	.1	12	16,831 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	NY	2	.1	2	13,586 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	OH	0	.1	5	7,172 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	PA	8	7,244 <sub>a</sub>	13	8,417 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	1	7,800 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
QCS	MN	4	14,665 <sup>1</sup>	1	9,152 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
SER	CA	39	9,718 <sub>a</sub>	14	11,902 <sub>a</sub>	5	6,918 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	2	13,208 <sup>2</sup>
	СО	9	4,902 <sup>1</sup>	0	$\cdot^1$	0	.1	0	$\cdot^1$	0	.1
	FL	14	11,340 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	IL	6	10,400 <sup>2</sup>	3	10,868 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	KS	31	5,314 <sub>a</sub>	5	5,377 <sub>a</sub>	1	6,935 <sup>2</sup>	0	$\cdot^1$	1	5,333 <sup>2</sup>
	RI	9	9,914 <sup>1</sup>	2	16,453 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	TX	14	11,380 <sub>a</sub>	12	7,988 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	WI	5	.1	10	$4,500^{1}$	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
SSAI	AL	39	8,300 <sub>a</sub>	29	7,374 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	2	19,608 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	CA	22	8,300 <sub>a,b</sub>	26	9,857 <sub>a</sub>	20	5,488 <sub>b</sub>	2	5,441 <sub>a,b</sub>	0	.1
	IA	12	5,479 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	1	9,384 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>
	IL	30	5,434 <sub>a</sub>	20	7,718 <sub>b</sub>	0	.1	1	13,650 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	IN	5	4,898 <sub>a</sub>	5	4,493 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	MA	52	7,570 <sup>1</sup>	1	5,905 <sup>2</sup>	1	4,176 <sup>2</sup>	1	3,840 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1
	MD	13	8,591 <sub>a</sub>	40	6,436 <sub>a</sub>	3	5,400 <sup>2</sup>	1	.1	1	. <sup>1</sup>
	MN	48	6,645 <sub>a</sub>	12	5,308 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	2	8,994 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>

			Common Measures Average Earnings: Ra								
		Wh	nite	Bla	nck	As	Asian American Ind			n Pacific Islander	
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	MS	7	4,817 <sub>a</sub>	25	5,168 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	1	4,792 <sup>2</sup>	0	. <sup>1</sup>
	NC	27	6,444 <sub>a</sub>	13	6,321 <sub>a</sub>	2	6,760 <sup>2</sup>	0	1	2	7,781 <sup>2</sup>
	NY	28	7,577 <sub>a</sub>	27	7,101 <sub>a</sub>	33	5,138 <sub>a</sub>	1	2,856 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	OH	24	4,928 <sub>a</sub>	3	7,600 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	PA	28	7,041 <sub>a</sub>	5	4,267 <sub>a</sub>	0	1	0	1	0	1
	TN	48	6,306 <sub>a</sub>	45	7,270 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	1	8,000 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	TX	28	8,835 <sub>a</sub>	7	5,782 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	0	.1	0	.1
	WI	48	6,043 <sub>a</sub>	3	5,493 <sub>a</sub>	0	. <sup>1</sup>	2	.1	0	. <sup>1</sup>
VATD	VT	33	8,390 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	0	.1	1	6,091 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
TWI	СТ	15	10,689 <sub>a</sub>	13	9,326 <sub>a</sub>	0	.1	1	11,960 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p< 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column means. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3,4</sup>

This category is not used in comparisons because there are no other valid categories to compare
 This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

4. Pairwise comparisons are not performed for some subtables because of numerical problems.

		Common Measures Average Earnings: Ethnicity									
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic						
	_	Count	Mean	Count	Mean						
AARP	AR	2	5,997 <sub>a</sub>	75	6,120 <sub>a</sub>						
	AZ	8	.1	25	9,216 <sup>1</sup>						
	CA	28	9,050 <sub>a</sub>	71	9,335 <sub>a</sub>						
	СО	23	7,870 <sub>a</sub>	89	8,105 <sub>a</sub>						
	FL	141	8,727 <sub>a</sub>	476	8,114 <sub>a</sub>						
	GA	2	4,320 <sup>2</sup>	64	7,474 <sup>1</sup>						
	IA	4	6,907 <sub>a</sub>	89	6,614 <sub>a</sub>						
	IL	1	1,376 <sup>2</sup>	33	6,805 <sup>1</sup>						
	IN	2	.1	79	5,998 <sup>1</sup>						
	KY	0	.1	11	$5,050^{1}$						
	LA	3	7,096 <sub>a</sub>	67	8,643 <sub>a</sub>						
	MI	2	36,000 <sup>2</sup>	96	5,483 <sup>1</sup>						
	МО	0	1	94	6,858 <sup>1</sup>						
	NV	5	$4,608^2$	54	6,252 <sup>1</sup>						
	NY	42	9,636 <sub>a</sub>	85	7,704 <sub>a</sub>						
	ОН	3	14,615 <sub>a</sub>	115	10,015 <sub>a</sub>						
	ОК	3	3,360 <sub>a</sub>	118	7,798 <sub>a</sub>						
	PA	12	6,552 <sub>a</sub>	162	9,919 <sub>a</sub>						
	PR	45	4,430 <sup>1</sup>	0	1						
	SC	1	.1	48	6,575 <sup>1</sup>						
	TX	305	8,418 <sub>a</sub>	260	9,423 <sub>a</sub>						
	VA	1	.1	108	6,065 <sup>1</sup>						
	WA	8	.1	109	9,985 <sup>1</sup>						
ANPPM	AZ	2	.1	5	8,388 <sup>1</sup>						
	CA	22	8,015 <sub>a</sub>	10	8,938 <sub>a</sub>						
	DC	0	.1	3	.1						
	LA	0	.1	18	7,271 <sup>1</sup>						
	PA	13	5,710 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1						
Easter Seals	AL	1	10,400 <sup>2</sup>	49	8,8111						
	СТ	2	.1	9	9,044 <sup>1</sup>						
	IL	15	10,323 <sub>a</sub>	8	6,829 <sub>a</sub>						
	NJ	12	6,785 <sub>a</sub>	35	6,031 <sub>a</sub>						
	NY	5	5,892 <sub>a</sub>	24	9,399 <sub>a</sub>						
	OR	4	15,660 <sup>2</sup>	43	<b>8,838</b> <sup>1</sup>						

Table 2: Average Earnings, National Grantees (by State) by Ethnicity

		Common	Measures Avera	ge Earnings: E	thnicity
		Hispa	mic	Not His	panic
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	UT	1	.1	29	6,573 <sup>1</sup>
Experience	AR	1	$4,800^{2}$	62	5,594 <sup>1</sup>
Works	CA	6	9,582 <sub>a</sub>	80	8,445 <sub>a</sub>
	FL	7	6,247 <sub>a</sub>	109	8,710 <sub>a</sub>
	GA	0	.1	123	7,583 <sup>1</sup>
	IA	2	10,400 <sup>2</sup>	50	6,272 <sup>1</sup>
	ID	4	7,599 <sub>a</sub>	42	7,773 <sub>a</sub>
	IL	1	9,398 <sup>2</sup>	65	7,555 <sup>1</sup>
	IN	0	.1	93	7,026 <sup>1</sup>
	KY	1	.1	103	6,893 <sup>1</sup>
	LA	0	.1	29	5,821 <sup>1</sup>
	MI	1	.1	95	6,317 <sup>1</sup>
	MN	4	3,870 <sub>a</sub>	97	7,087 <sub>a</sub>
	МО	4	4,745 <sub>a</sub>	149	6,571 <sub>a</sub>
	MS	0	.1	26	7,615 <sup>1</sup>
	MT	1	$12,000^2$	48	11,138 <sup>1</sup>
	ND	0	.1	64	8,469 <sup>1</sup>
	NE	3	7,193 <sub>a</sub>	37	9,259 <sub>a</sub>
	NJ	1	.1	38	7,338 <sup>1</sup>
	NY	1	.1	88	$7,094^{1}$
	OH	4	5,298 <sub>a</sub>	123	7,014 <sub>a</sub>
	OK	0	.1	71	6,551 <sup>1</sup>
	OR	2	5,100 <sup>2</sup>	88	7,086 <sup>1</sup>
	PA	1	17,413 <sup>2</sup>	72	7,516 <sup>1</sup>
	PR	108	4,895 <sup>1</sup>	2	8,352 <sup>2</sup>
	SC	1	$3,900^2$	79	7,312 <sup>1</sup>
	SD	0	.1	63	6,926 <sup>1</sup>
	TX	9	6,360 <sub>a</sub>	156	7,939 <sub>a</sub>
	VA	0	.1	39	$7,878^{1}$
	WI	0	.1	78	6,597 <sup>1</sup>
	WV	0	.1	16	8,022 <sup>1</sup>
	WY	5	10,084 <sub>a</sub>	40	9,251 <sub>a</sub>
Goodwill	AZ	7	5,254 <sub>a</sub>	30	8,442 <sub>a</sub>
	IN	0	.1	40	6,833 <sup>1</sup>

		Common	Measures Avera	ge Earnings: E	Ethnicity
		Hispa	inic	Not His	spanic
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	NM	16	8,764 <sub>a</sub>	18	8,850 <sub>a</sub>
	PA	3	6,078 <sub>a</sub>	37	5,592 <sub>a</sub>
	VA	0	.1	42	6,307 <sup>1</sup>
	WA	1	8,942 <sup>2</sup>	31	7,170 <sup>1</sup>
IID	AR	0	.1	4	7,711 <sup>1</sup>
	LA	0	.1	1	6,531 <sup>2</sup>
	MS	0	.1	4	6,389 <sup>1</sup>
Mature Services	ОН	1	8,000 <sup>2</sup>	47	6,301 <sup>1</sup>
ABLE	MA	3	3,591 <sub>a</sub>	20	10,720 <sub>a</sub>
	ME	0	.1	37	7,443 <sup>1</sup>
	NH	1	$14,400^2$	14	8,068 <sup>1</sup>
NAPCA	CA	0	.1	13	8,742 <sup>1</sup>
	IL	0	.1	9	7,069 <sup>1</sup>
	MA	1	11,408 <sup>2</sup>	14	8,082 <sup>1</sup>
	NY	0	.1	13	7,343 <sup>1</sup>
	PA	0	.1	10	7,831 <sup>1</sup>
	ТХ	0	.1	9	6,899 <sup>1</sup>
	WA	0	.1	11	7,711 <sup>1</sup>
NCBA	AR	0	.1	31	4,967 <sup>1</sup>
	DC	0	.1	40	11,680 <sup>1</sup>
	FL	0	.1	74	8,576 <sup>1</sup>
	IL	3	11,200 <sub>a</sub>	28	20,138 <sub>a</sub>
	MI	2	$6,500^2$	54	7,413 <sup>1</sup>
	MS	0	.1	58	5,043 <sup>1</sup>
	NC	0	.1	64	$6,505^{1}$
	ОН	2	7,670 <sub>a</sub>	60	8,282 <sub>a</sub>
	PA	1	18,720 <sup>2</sup>	29	6,086 <sup>1</sup>
NCOA	CA	20	7,510 <sub>a</sub>	56	6,199 <sub>a</sub>
	GA	0	.1	12	7,072 <sup>1</sup>
	KY	1	.1	71	7,172 <sup>1</sup>
	LA	2	13,696 <sub>a</sub>	41	8,087 <sub>a</sub>
	NC	1	.1	25	7,928 <sup>1</sup>
	NJ	6	16,495 <sub>a</sub>	44	7,954 <sub>b</sub>
	NY	8	7,604 <sub>a</sub>	50	6,889 <sub>a</sub>

		Common	Measures Aver	age Earnings: 1	Ethnicity
		Hisp	anic	Not Hi	spanic
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	PA	2	10,400 <sup>2</sup>	82	7,230 <sup>1</sup>
	TN	2	6,977 <sub>a</sub>	21	5,466 <sub>a</sub>
	VA	1	4,080 <sup>2</sup>	55	5,573 <sup>1</sup>
	wv	1	8,300 <sup>2</sup>	80	7,190 <sup>1</sup>
NICOA	AZ	0	.1	7	4,566 <sup>1</sup>
	CA	0	1	2	6,283 <sup>2</sup>
	MN	0	1	1	15,000 <sup>2</sup>
	NM	0	.1	2	8,588 <sup>2</sup>
	ОК	0	.1	9	6,862 <sup>1</sup>
	SD	0	.1	1	7,221 <sup>2</sup>
	WI	0	.1	7	10,435 <sup>1</sup>
Urban League	MA	1	.1	9	$11,282^{1}$
	MI	0	.1	15	8,917 <sup>1</sup>
	NJ	1	13,800 <sup>2</sup>	11	17,750 <sup>1</sup>
	NY	0	.1	4	13,586 <sup>2</sup>
	ОН	0	1	5	7,172 <sup>1</sup>
	PA	0	.1	22	7,967 <sup>1</sup>
QCS	MN	1	24,704 <sup>2</sup>	4	6,889 <sup>1</sup>
SER	CA	19	10,498 <sub>a</sub>	45	10,059 <sub>a</sub>
	СО	5	4,253 <sub>a</sub>	5	5,768 <sub>a</sub>
	FL	13	8,115 <sup>1</sup>	1	24,240 <sup>2</sup>
	IL	2	.1	7	10,634 <sup>1</sup>
	KS	5	1,078 <sup>2</sup>	37	5,775 <sup>1</sup>
	RI	1	.1	10	11,729 <sup>1</sup>
	TX	8	11,853 <sub>a</sub>	11	8,049 <sub>a</sub>
	WI	3	1	15	4,500 <sup>1</sup>
SSAI	AL	2	.1	67	8,092 <sup>1</sup>
	CA	6	8,580 <sub>a</sub>	64	7,854 <sub>a</sub>
	IA	0	1	14	5,869 <sup>1</sup>
	IL	3	8,800 <sub>a</sub>	50	6,710 <sub>a</sub>
	IN	0	.1	9	4,724 <sup>1</sup>
	MA	4	6,613 <sub>a</sub>	54	7,397 <sub>a</sub>
	MD	2	1	55	7,120 <sup>1</sup>
	MN	2	11,700 <sup>2</sup>	59	6,556 <sup>1</sup>

		Common Measures Average Earnings: Ethnicity			
		Hispanic		Not Hispanic	
		Count Mean		Count	Mean
	MS	0	.1	33	5,103 <sup>1</sup>
	NC	2	8,810 <sub>a</sub>	44	6,074 <sub>a</sub>
	NY	5	10,842 <sub>a</sub>	88	6,814 <sub>a</sub>
	OH	0	.1	27	5,243 <sup>1</sup>
	PA	0	.1	34	6,659 <sup>1</sup>
	TN	5	8,000 <sub>a</sub>	89	6,968 <sub>a</sub>
	TX	4	$12,000^2$	33	8,167 <sup>1</sup>
	WI	4	5,020 <sub>a</sub>	52	5,988 <sub>a</sub>
VATD	VT	0	.1	33	8,439 <sup>1</sup>
TWI	СТ	2	12,480 <sub>a</sub>	25	9,646 <sub>a</sub>

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column means. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3,4</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because there are no other valid categories to compare

2. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

4. Pairwise comparisons are not performed for some subtables because of numerical problems.

		Common Measures Average Earnings: Minority				
		Minority N		Not mi	lot minority	
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean	
AARP	AR	36	5,751 <sub>a</sub>	40	6,581 <sub>a</sub>	
	AZ	15	9,216 <sup>1</sup>	19	.1	
	CA	47	8,522 <sub>a</sub>	55	9,876 <sub>a</sub>	
	СО	51	8,708 <sub>a</sub>	62	7,617 <sub>a</sub>	
	FL	273	8,336 <sub>a</sub>	350	8,232 <sub>a</sub>	
	GA	61	7,412 <sub>a</sub>	6	6,900 <sub>a</sub>	
	IA	17	6,080 <sub>a</sub>	77	6,781 <sub>a</sub>	
	IL	19	5,237 <sub>a</sub>	17	7,989 <sub>a</sub>	
	IN	24	4,852 <sub>a</sub>	62	6,168 <sub>a</sub>	
	KY	9	5,400 <sup>1</sup>	2	4,350 <sup>2</sup>	
	LA	63	8,054 <sup>1</sup>	6	18,720 <sup>2</sup>	
	MI	45	4,293 <sub>a</sub>	54	7,099 <sub>a</sub>	
	МО	80	6,855 <sub>a</sub>	16	6,720 <sub>a</sub>	
	NV	26	5,767 <sub>a</sub>	35	6,238 <sub>a</sub>	
	NY	110	8,185 <sub>a</sub>	19	7,964 <sub>a</sub>	
	OH	75	9,464 <sub>a</sub>	43	11,798 <sub>a</sub>	
	ОК	64	7,240 <sub>a</sub>	63	7,892 <sub>a</sub>	
	PA	81	9,913 <sub>a</sub>	93	9,514 <sub>a</sub>	
	PR	45	4,430 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	
	SC	29	5,885 <sub>a</sub>	20	6,851 <sub>a</sub>	
	TX	<mark>442</mark>	<mark>8,364</mark> a	<mark>125</mark>	10,658 <sub>b</sub>	
	VA	87	5,872 <sub>a</sub>	22	7,275 <sub>a</sub>	
	WA	37	.1	94	9,985 <sup>1</sup>	
ANPPM	AZ	3	.1	4	8,388 <sup>1</sup>	
	CA	29	7,972 <sub>a</sub>	3	11,722 <sub>a</sub>	
	DC	3	.1	0	.1	
	LA	11	7,539 <sub>a</sub>	7	6,736 <sub>a</sub>	
	PA	13	5,710 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1	
Easter Seals	AL	37	10,338 <sub>a</sub>	14	5,130 <sub>a</sub>	
	СТ	9	13,498 <sub>a</sub>	3	5,787 <sub>a</sub>	
	IL	25	11,237 <sup>1</sup>	1	.1	
	NJ	39	6,165 <sub>a</sub>	10	6,802 <sub>a</sub>	
	NY	27	9,016 <sub>a</sub>	5	7,392 <sub>a</sub>	
	OR	10	11,277 <sub>a</sub>	38	8,717 <sub>a</sub>	

Table 3: Average Earnings, National Grantees (by State) by Minority Status

		Common Measures Average Earnings: Minority			
		Minority		Not minority	
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	UT	7	4,730 <sub>a</sub>	22	7,363 <sub>a</sub>
Experience	AR	17	5,315 <sub>a</sub>	48	5,766 <sub>a</sub>
Works	CA	17	8,726 <sub>a</sub>	70	8,709 <sub>a</sub>
	FL	31	7,263 <sub>a</sub>	89	8,922 <sub>a</sub>
	GA	78	6,925 <sub>a</sub>	45	8,403 <sub>a</sub>
	IA	4	6,523 <sub>a</sub>	53	6,612 <sub>a</sub>
	ID	5	7,599 <sub>a</sub>	41	7,773 <sub>a</sub>
	IL	7	7,879 <sub>a</sub>	58	7,714 <sub>a</sub>
	IN	7	6,293 <sub>a</sub>	86	6,903 <sub>a</sub>
	KY	21	6,668 <sub>a</sub>	84	7,036 <sub>a</sub>
	LA	16	6,052 <sub>a</sub>	13	5,590 <sub>a</sub>
	MI	12	5,929 <sub>a</sub>	85	6,367 <sub>a</sub>
	MN	11	5,492 <sub>a</sub>	92	7,006 <sub>a</sub>
	МО	23	6,499 <sub>a</sub>	136	6,444 <sub>a</sub>
	MS	18	7,417 <sub>a</sub>	9	8,975 <sub>a</sub>
	MT	11	7,798 <sub>a</sub>	39	12,509 <sub>a</sub>
	ND	11	6,984 <sub>a</sub>	54	8,827 <sub>a</sub>
	NE	8	6,773 <sub>a</sub>	32	9,415 <sub>a</sub>
	NJ	14	7,905 <sub>a</sub>	25	6,866 <sub>a</sub>
	NY	28	6,422 <sub>a</sub>	62	7,406 <sub>a</sub>
	OH	29	6,399 <sub>a</sub>	101	7,103 <sub>a</sub>
	OK	23	5,372 <sub>a</sub>	51	6,982 <sub>a</sub>
	OR	5	4,220 <sub>a</sub>	83	7,062 <sub>a</sub>
	PA	4	11,137 <sub>a</sub>	72	7,332 <sub>a</sub>
	PR	108	4,895 <sup>1</sup>	2	8,352 <sup>2</sup>
	SC	44	6,984 <sub>a</sub>	36	7,649 <sub>a</sub>
	SD	8	11,363 <sub>a</sub>	59	6,464 <sub>b</sub>
	TX	34	6,671 <sub>a</sub>	135	8,105 <sub>a</sub>
	VA	1	4,930 <sup>2</sup>	38	8,042 <sup>1</sup>
	WI	8	5,429 <sub>a</sub>	69	6,745 <sub>a</sub>
	WV	0	.1	16	8,022 <sup>1</sup>
	WY	6	9,699 <sub>a</sub>	40	9,257 <sub>a</sub>
Goodwill	AZ	18	8,193 <sub>a</sub>	22	7,226 <sub>a</sub>
	IN	22	6,571 <sub>a</sub>	18	7,059 <sub>a</sub>
	NM	20	8,736 <sub>a</sub>	16	9,048 <sub>a</sub>

		Common Measures Average Earnings: Minority			
		Minority		Not minority	
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	PA	22	5,634 <sub>a</sub>	20	5,645 <sub>a</sub>
	VA	12	5,535 <sub>a</sub>	31	6,579 <sub>a</sub>
	WA	5	7,241 <sub>a</sub>	28	7,211 <sub>a</sub>
IID	AR	4	7,711 <sup>1</sup>	1	$\cdot^1$
	LA	0	.1	1	6,531 <sup>2</sup>
	MS	2	7,210 <sup>2</sup>	1	5,568 <sup>2</sup>
Mature	OH	<mark>18</mark>	<mark>4,453</mark> a	<mark>31</mark>	<mark>7,296<sub>b</sub></mark>
Services					
ABLE	MA	10	8,874 <sub>a</sub>	13	13,524 <sub>a</sub>
	ME	3	4,888 <sup>2</sup>	35	7,603 <sup>1</sup>
	NH	1	14,400 <sup>2</sup>	15	7,621 <sup>1</sup>
NAPCA	CA	12	8,553 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	IL	9	$4,847^{1}$	1	20,400 <sup>2</sup>
	MA	14	$8,600^{1}$	0	.1
	NY	13	7,343 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	PA	9	$7,727^{1}$	0	.1
	TX	10	6,449 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	WA	12	8,165 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
NCBA	AR	29	5,068 <sub>a</sub>	2	3,650 <sub>a</sub>
	DC	40	$11,680^{1}$	0	.1
	FL	13	7,407 <sub>a</sub>	62	8,800 <sub>a</sub>
	IL	31	19,145 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	MI	57	$7,272^{1}$	2	7,800 <sup>2</sup>
	MS	<mark>56</mark>	<mark>4,698</mark> a	<mark>2</mark>	13,500 <sub>b</sub>
	NC	61	5,823 <sub>a</sub>	23	6,270 <sub>a</sub>
	OH	63	8,177 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	PA	29	6,646 <sup>1</sup>	1	4,160 <sup>2</sup>
NCOA	CA	53	6,022 <sub>a</sub>	22	7,443 <sub>a</sub>
	GA	1	8,357 <sup>2</sup>	10	$7,200^{1}$
	KY	11	7,347 <sub>a</sub>	60	7,143 <sub>a</sub>
	LA	13	6,611 <sub>a</sub>	30	9,158 <sub>a</sub>
	NC	16	8,170 <sub>a</sub>	8	7,444 <sub>a</sub>
	NJ	26	9,948 <sub>a</sub>	23	8,046 <sub>a</sub>
	NY	26	7,785 <sub>a</sub>	32	6,147 <sub>b</sub>
	PA	15	10,469 <sub>a</sub>	68	6,801 <sub>b</sub>

		Common Measures Average Earnings: Minority			
		Minority		Not minority	
		Count	Mean	Count	Mean
	TN	8	5,899 <sub>a</sub>	13	5,144 <sub>a</sub>
	VA	41	4,684 <sub>a</sub>	15	7,942 <sub>a</sub>
	wv	6	6,905 <sub>a</sub>	72	7,124 <sub>a</sub>
NICOA	AZ	6	4,566 <sup>1</sup>	1	.1
	CA	1	.1	1	6,283 <sup>2</sup>
	MN	1	15,000 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	NM	2	8,588 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	OK	6	7,147 <sup>1</sup>	3	5,720 <sup>2</sup>
	SD	1	7,221 <sup>2</sup>	0	.1
	WI	7	10,435 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
Urban League	MA	10	11,282 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1 •
	MI	15	9,381 <sub>a</sub>	2	9,952 <sub>a</sub>
	NJ	13	16,495 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	NY	2	13,586 <sup>2</sup>	2	.1
	ОН	5	7,172 <sup>1</sup>	0	.1
	PA	14	8,361 <sub>a</sub>	8	7,244 <sub>a</sub>
QCS	MN	2	16,928 <sup>1</sup>	3	4,626 <sup>2</sup>
SER	CA	40	10,732 <sub>a</sub>	26	9,322 <sub>a</sub>
	СО	5	4,253 <sub>a</sub>	5	5,768 <sub>a</sub>
	FL	13	8,115 <sup>1</sup>	1	24,240 <sup>2</sup>
	IL	5	$10,868^2$	5	10,400 <sup>2</sup>
	KS	12	4,820 <sub>a</sub>	30	5,784 <sub>a</sub>
	RI	3	16,453 <sup>2</sup>	9	9,914 <sup>1</sup>
	TX	20	9,615 <sub>a</sub>	9	10,182 <sub>a</sub>
	WI	13	4,500 <sup>1</sup>	5	1
SSAI	AL	33	8,018 <sub>a</sub>	37	8,300 <sub>a</sub>
	CA	54	7,659 <sub>a</sub>	19	8,244 <sub>a</sub>
	IA	2	9,384 <sup>2</sup>	12	5,479 <sup>1</sup>
	IL	24	8,135 <sub>a</sub>	29	5,456 <sub>b</sub>
	IN	5	4,493 <sub>a</sub>	5	4,898 <sub>a</sub>
	MA	7	5,984 <sub>a</sub>	52	7,570 <sub>a</sub>
	MD	45	6,384 <sub>a</sub>	13	8,591 <sub>a</sub>
	MN	16	7,602 <sub>a</sub>	46	6,450 <sub>a</sub>
	MS	26	5,148 <sub>a</sub>	7	4,817 <sub>a</sub>
	NC	18	5,881 <sub>a</sub>	27	6,444 <sub>a</sub>

		Common Measures Average Earnings: Minority			
		Minority		Not minority	
		Count Mean		Count	Mean
	NY	66	6,221 <sub>a</sub>	27	7,436 <sub>a</sub>
	OH	3	7,600 <sub>a</sub>	24	4,928 <sub>a</sub>
	PA	5	4,267 <sub>a</sub>	28	7,041 <sub>a</sub>
	TN	48	7,289 <sub>a</sub>	47	6,306 <sub>a</sub>
	TX	11	7,026 <sub>a</sub>	25	8,669 <sub>a</sub>
	WI	9	5,304 <sub>a</sub>	47	6,036 <sub>a</sub>
VATD	VT	1	6,091 <sup>2</sup>	33	8,390 <sup>1</sup>
TWI	СТ	16	10,014 <sub>a</sub>	13	10,291 <sub>a</sub>

Note: Values in the same row and subtable not sharing the same subscript are significantly different at p < 0.05 in the two-sided test of equality for column means. Tests assume equal variances.<sup>3,4</sup>

1. This category is not used in comparisons because there are no other valid categories to compare

2. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

3. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

4. Pairwise comparisons are not performed for some subtables because of numerical problems.