THE OF THE

Livelihoods Services Evaluation

SUMMARY

In 2015, the Chief Evaluation Office (CEO) partnered with the <u>Bureau of International Labor Affairs</u> and funded contractor IMPAQ International to conduct the *Office of Child Labor, Forced Labor, and Human Trafficking (OCFT) Livelihoods Services Evaluation.*The mixed methods evaluation aims to assess whether evidence supports the OCFT theory of change and gather evidence on the outcomes of four different types of livelihoods services projects, particularly with respect to reducing child and/or forced labor, to inform future project design.

This Department of Labor-funded study was a result of the annual learning agenda process. It contributes to the labor evidence-base to inform <u>international labor</u> programs and policies and addresses Departmental strategic goals and priorities.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Participants experienced reductions in child, hazardous, and forced labor after program enrollment. Vocational training programs were most consistently correlated with lower prevalence of these practices, while for some projects, entrepreneurship support was correlated with higher child and hazardous labor prevalence. Educational support projects yielded mixed results.
- Program participants reportedly used the income and savings generated
 through livelihoods services for a variety of purposes, such as investing in
 businesses, meeting basic household needs, and covering educational costs.
 Across the four projects studied, participants believed that vocational training
 increased their household incomes through the higher-income jobs obtained after
 training as well as through their ability to reinvest earnings in household
 businesses. Overall, participants and stakeholders attributed reductions in child
 and forced labor largely to increased income generated as a result of project
 participation.
- Across all projects, participants reported that participation provided them with a greater awareness of labor rights and practices as well as an understanding of how their work conditions were exploitive.
- Researchers were not able to isolate the influence of livelihoods services
 from the influence of other project components, nor could they establish a
 causal relationship between these services and participant outcomes. Available
 data were not suitable for comparing the outcomes of participants with the
 outcomes of non-participants.

SEE FULL STUDY

TIMEFRAME: 2015-2019 PARTNER AGENCY: Bureau for International Affairs (ILAB) SUBMITTED BY: IMPAQ International, LLC SPONSOR: Chief Evaluation Office

DATE PREPARED: February 2022 CEO CONTACT: Yancey.Christina.L@dol.gov



Livelihoods Services Evaluation

The Department of Labor's (DOL) Chief Evaluation Office (CEO) sponsors independent evaluations and research, primarily conducted by external, third-party contractors in accordance with the <u>Department of Labor Evaluation Policy</u>. CEO's <u>research development process</u> includes extensive technical review at the design, data collection and analysis stage, including: external contractor review and OMB review and approval of data collection methods and instruments per the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), Institutional Review Board (IRB) review to ensure studies adhere to the highest ethical standards, review by academic peers (e.g., Technical Working Groups), and inputs from relevant DOL agency and program officials and CEO technical staff. Final reports undergo an additional independent expert technical review and a review for Section 508 compliance prior to publication. The resulting reports represent findings from this independent research and do not represent DOL positions or policies.