BRB No. 96-0880 BLA

GRAYSON R. PERKINS)	
Claimant-Petitioner)	
v.)	
WELLMORE COAL CORPORATION)	DATE ISSUED:
Employer-Respondent)	
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS')	
COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, UNITED)	
STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR)	
Party-in-Interest)	DECISION and ORDER

Appeal of the Decision and Order of Stuart A. Levin, Administrative Law Judge, United States Department of Labor.

Grayson R Perkins, Vansant, Virginia, pro se.

Ronald E. Gilbertson (Kilcullen, Wilson & Kilcullen), Washington, D.C., for employer.

Before: HALL, Chief Administrative Appeals Judge, SMITH and BROWN, Administrative Appeals Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Claimant, without the assistance of counsel, appeals the Decision and Order (95-

¹ Claimant is Grayson R. Perkins, the miner, whose application for benefits filed on December 29, 1993 was denied on May 10, 1994 and again on November 7, 1994, after consideration of additional evidence. Director's Exhibits 1, 18, 32. Tim White, a benefits counselor with Stone Mountain Health Services of Oakwood, Virginia, requested, on behalf of claimant, that the Board review the administrative law judge's decision, but Mr. White is not representing claimant on appeal. *See Shelton v. Claude V. Keen Trucking*



found that the evidence failed to establish either the existence of pneumoconiosis or total respiratory disability pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §§718.202(a) and 718.204(c). Accordingly, he denied benefits.

On appeal, claimant generally challenges the denial of benefits. Employer responds, urging affirmance. The Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (the Director), has declined to participate in this appeal.²

In an appeal filed by a claimant without the assistance of counsel, the Board considers the issue raised to be whether the Decision and Order below is supported by substantial evidence. *McFall v. Jewell Ridge Coal Co.*, 12 BLR 1-176 (1989). The Board's scope of review is defined by statute. The administrative law judge's Decision and Order must be affirmed if it is supported by substantial evidence, is rational, and is in accordance with law. 33 U.S.C. § 921(b)(3), as incorporated into the Act by 30 U.S.C. § 932(a); *O'Keeffe v. Smith, Hinchman & Grylls Associates, Inc.*, 380 U.S. 359 (1965).

To be entitled to benefits under 20 C.F.R. Part 718, claimant must demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that he is totally disabled due to pneumoconiosis arising out of coal mine employment. *See* 20 C.F.R. §§718.3, 718.202, 718.203, 718.204. Failure to establish any one of these elements precludes entitlement. *Anderson v. Valley Camp of Utah, Inc.*, 12 BLR 1-111 (1989); *Trent v. Director, OWCP*, 11 BLR 1-26 (1987).

Pursuant to Section 718.202(a)(1), the administrative law judge considered all thirteen readings of the four x-rays of record. There were twelve negative readings and one positive reading. Director's Exhibits 15-17, 26, 28, 31, 35, 36; Employer's Exhibits 1, 3, 7. The administrative law judge permissibly found that the "overwhelming majority of readings by those who are qualified as B-readers, board-certified radiologists, or both, is negative for the existence of pneumoconiosis." Decision and Order at 4; *see Adkins v. Director, OWCP*, 958 F.2d 49, 16 BLR 2-61 (4th Cir. 1992); *Edmiston v. F & R Coal Co.*, 14 BLR 1-65 (1990). The record supports the administrative law judge's additional determination that the single positive reading was "brought into question by three negative readings of the same film by

² We affirm as unchallenged on appeal and not unfavorable to claimant the administrative law judge's finding regarding length of coal mine employment. *See Coen v. Director, OWCP*, 7 BLR 1-30 (1984); *Skrack v. Island Creek Coal Co.*, 6 BLR 1-710 (1983).

readers possessing at least equal qualifications." *Id.*; Director's Exhibit 26; Employer's Exhibits 3, 7. We therefore affirm the administrative law judge's finding pursuant to Section 718.202(a)(1).

Pursuant to Section 718.202(a)(2) and (3), the administrative law judge correctly found that the record contains no biopsy evidence and the presumptions at Sections 718.304, 718.305, and 718.306 are inapplicable in this living miner's claim filed after January 1, 1982, in which there is no evidence of complicated pneumoconiosis. Decision and Order at 5; *see* 20 C.F.R. §§718.304, 718.305, 718.306. We therefore affirm these findings.

Pursuant to Section 718.202(a)(4), the administrative law judge correctly found that no physician diagnosed the existence of pneumoconiosis as defined by the Act. Decision and Order at 9; Director's Exhibits 12, 29, 30; Employer's Exhibits 5, 8, 28. We therefore affirm the administrative law judge's finding pursuant to Section 718.202(a)(4).

Because claimant has failed to establish the existence of pneumoconiosis pursuant to 20 C.F.R. §718.202(a), a necessary element of entitlement under Part 718, the denial of benefits is affirmed. *See Trent*, *supra*; *Perry v. Director*, *OWCP*, 9 BLR 1-1 (1986)(*en banc*).

Accordingly, the administrative law judge's Decision and Order denying benefits is affirmed.

SO ORDERED.

BETTY JEAN HALL, Chief Administrative Appeals Judge

ROY P. SMITH Administrative Appeals Judge

_____JAMES F. BROWN Administrative Appeals Judge