

From: Donna Hand

Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 12:39 AM

To: DOL Energy Advisory Board Information; ary Steinberg; Acosta, Alexander - OSEC; Reff, Alisa - OIG; Jack Kolar (john.kolar@usdoj.gov); info@mail.whitehouse.gov

Subject: Peer review information

Under the Information Quality Act, the DEEOIC was required to "peer review" the information before dissemination to the public. This is not being done. DEEOIC does not have any "peer reviewed" studies confirming that calcified granulomas are not consistent with chronic beryllium disease. The DEEOIC does not have "peer reviewed studies" showing that only years (10) of exposure to noise and solvents will cause hearing loss. The studies do show that the decimal level controls hearing loss.

OSHA has gone through peer reviewed studies to document the health effects of toxic substances. NIOSH has gone through "peer reviewed" studies to document the "target organs". So why doe DEEOIC deny claimants documentation from OSHA or NIOSH as a "presumption" and /or probative evidence.

Collaborative on Health and the Environment has also reviewed several studies and have a data base which show a "known causal link" between toxic substances and health effects. Why doe DEEOIC refuse to accept the documents from this data base.

Please inform the public what documentation is used to deny using the NIOSH chemical guide, OSHA, and/or The Collaborative on Health and the Environment, especially since the documents from the OSHA, NIOSH etc. have been peer reviewed and accepted by government agencies and the court.